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Previous research has identified the importance of cycling training programs and examined the relationship between cycling attitudes and the behavior of cyclists; another important factor that needs further research is obstacles to cycling in cities. This study investigates cycling difficulties confronted by Taiwanese students in the Hsin-Chu technopolis while riding their bikes to and from school. For an empirical perspective, a questionnaire was designed to collect data related to perceptions of cycling difficulties and to provide a descriptive analysis of students and their parents. Data were collected from samples in urban and suburban environments. The Rasch model was applied to analyze the cycling abilities of the students as well as their perceptions of cycling difficulty. Findings indicate that boys have better cycling abilities than girls, urban students have better abilities than suburban students, ability parallels age (older students have better cycling ability), and parents’ attitudes toward cycling to school parallel their children’s abilities (parents of students with better cycling ability are less concerned). Various impediments to cycling are identified and, based on students’ perceptions, levels of difficulty are assigned. Implications of the results are discussed, and recommendations are offered, so as to facilitate matching bicycle use with Taiwan’s status as a worldwide leader in bicycle manufacturing.

Hsin-Chu Science Industrial Park is world famous for being the so-called, “Asia’s Silicon Valley.” The park is located in the Taiwanese county of Hsin-Chu and, together with the city of Hsin-Chu, makes up a flourishing industrial technopolis that, in the last 25 years of rapid high-tech development, has seen its production value soar to U.S. $36 billion annually.

The population has also grown to 920,000 citizens, who have the highest average household income in Taiwan. More than 1/10th of the region’s population also works in the science park. Because of this rapid economic growth, car ownership in the region has become the highest among Taiwan’s counties (342 cars per 1,000 people in Hsin-Chu County) and cities (322 cars per 1,000 people in the city of Hsin-Chu). The specific empirical example concerned the Hsin-Chu technopolis, in the northwestern part of Taiwan (see Figure 1).

With increasing awareness that global warming and related climate change are factors affecting life and health, the Hsin-Chu technopolis urgently needs to review its systems of transportation and patterns of behavior of its citizens to provide a more habitable and sustainable environment. Research has revealed that students in the Hsin-Chu area depend heavily on their parents to get to school using both motorcyles and cars. Specific data are as follows:

- Forty-seven percent of junior high school students (ages 13 to 15) in the city and 53% in the county get to school by car or motorcycle
- Ninety-four percent of students in the city and county can cycle, and most of them own bicycles (79%); however, only 15% of them ride their bikes to school.

Thirty years ago, most Taiwanese students walked or cycled to school but with the current and increasingly heavy road traffic, many parents are justifiably concerned about their children’s safety when using either of these two relatively insecure modes of transportation. As a result, the number of students who walk or cycle to school has dropped from 28% to 15% (1).

Walking and cycling to school can provide important opportunities for students to explore their neighborhoods, develop social skills, and experience a sense of responsibility and independence as well as exercise their bodies (2). The Ministry of Education in Taiwan is drawing up a plan to encourage students to walk or cycle to school.

Denmark was the first European country committed to promoting a Safe Routes to School Program for children riding their bikes or walking. That program has spread throughout Europe, Canada, and, most recently, the United States (2).

In the United Kingdom, there has been a 50% decline in cycling and more than 90% of students have never cycled to school. To deal with this situation, the British government began a 21st century cycling proficiency program (the Bikeability Award Scheme), which started in April 2007 (3).

Initially, £10 million (£1 = $1.97 in 2007 U.S. dollars) was budgeted to fund the project with a view to provide students with a realistic experience about cycling practice on the road. The program will include cycling proficiency courses for 100,000 students as well as the development of more cycling lanes linked to schools. Local governments are also responsible for providing training programs through road safety bureaus and volunteers. The curriculum includes, among other things, cycling skills, emergency braking procedures, and considerations when crossing roads. The program...
includes a written test on traffic laws and a practical cycling exam at the end of the class (3).

The situation is remarkable as not only the government but society as a whole and nonprofit organizations are giving careful consideration to the importance of walking and cycling. For example, Sustrans (a sustainable transport charity in the United Kingdom) works on a practical program to encourage people to walk, cycle, and use more public transport systems in their daily lives to reduce automobile traffic and its adverse effects. The charity is also making an extra effort to promote the Safe Routes to School Program by establishing a package and its adverse effects. The charity is also making an extra effort to promote the Safe Routes to School Program by establishing a package.

In the United States, the number of students walking or cycling to school has dropped drastically, from 66% to 13% (2). This radical change in habits has led to issues such as greater traffic congestion, increased chemical and acoustical air pollution in areas surrounding schools, and childhood obesity.

FHWA convened a steering group of bicycle safety experts and, in 1998, developed the first National Bicycle Safety Education Curriculum. This curriculum lists several bicycle safety educational topics and targets cyclists of different ages and abilities (7).

At the same time, the Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center developed a program and checklist to rate a community’s level of “bikeability.” This checklist was divided into the following five score-grouping categories:

- 26–30 = a bicycle-friendly community,
- 21–25 = a good community,
- 16–20 = conditions for riding are okay,
- 11–15 = conditions are poor, and
- 10 and below = the worst conditions.

This review identified the importance of cycling training and examined the relationship between cycling attitudes and behavior as well as some of the things being done in various countries to change attitudes and patterns of bicycle use; however, it has not addressed obstacles to cycling. In Taiwan, there is a need for policies to provide systematic training on cycling safety but, unlike in other countries, the issue presents an additional consideration: Europe and the United States have focused on training and implementation (8–11), but in Taiwan students simply do not cycle to school. Therefore, this study attempts to investigate the current status of students’ perceived cycling difficulties, their ability levels, and impediments to riding their bikes to school.

**RESEARCH DESCRIPTION**

In December 2006, as a first step in the study, the authors administered the Pedestrian and Bicycle Information Center checklist to students in 22 schools in the Hsin-Chu technopolis area. The average bikeability score was 14.64, which means conditions are poor. This result reflects a nonfriendly environment for students to ride their bikes to school.

To obtain objective data, a survey was conducted to collect student and parent information and a descriptive analysis was applied to examine their characteristics by using the Rasch model as a basis for analyzing the data. Random sampling led to the choice of two junior high schools; one located in the city of Hsin-Chu, which represents an urban location, and the other in Hsin-Chu County, which represents a suburban location. A total of 687 valid questionnaires were collected in the city school and 923 were collected in the county school.

The data were organized to represent three categories of variables to determine cycling difficulties and the abilities of students 13 to 15 years old:

- Student personal characteristics, which include gender and age (grade),
- Family characteristics and the parents’ thoughts and attitudes about letting their children ride bikes to school, and
- Location of schools (city or county).

Three hypotheses were proposed to explain the differences and relationships among these variables:

1. Male and female students have different cycling abilities.
2. Students with better cycling ability are in higher grades than students with less cycling ability.
3. Students with better cycling ability have greater parental support for cycling to school than students with less cycling ability.
4. Students with better cycling ability have more educated parents than students with less cycling ability.
5. Students’ cycling abilities differ according to whether they live in an urban or a suburban area.
6. Students’ perceptions of cycling to school are affected by traffic conditions, which include narrow shoulders, crossing intersections, left turns, uneven paving, shared roads, trucks, heavy traffic, and occupied shoulders.
7. Students’ perceptions of cycling to school are affected by physical conditions, which include darkness, rain, and wind.
ITEM RESPONSE THEORY AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

An 11-item questionnaire was used to collect data based on students’ ratings of items using a five-point Likert-type scale (5 = strongly agree, 4 = agree, 3 = neutral, 2 = disagree, and 1 = strongly disagree). A Rasch model was applied to investigate the difficulties of students cycling to school. Following the methodology of Massof and Fletcher (12), the variables selected to represent these obstacles are latent and were inferred from the subjects’ answers and the observer’s judgments about the subjects’ behavior.

Item response theory (13, 14) was used to estimate the values of these latent variables based on an ordinal scale interval of scores collected in the questionnaires. Item scores are called “raw scores.” If the raw scores form a one-dimensional ordinal scale, then when the data are displayed with the items ordered according to item raw scores and with the subjects ordered according to individual raw scores, the data matrix will conform to a Guttman scale (12).

A Guttman scale reflects a situation in which item raw scores are monotonic with item difficulty, and test scores are monotonic with the subject’s ability (12). Item response theory begins with a definition of the latent variable and measures θ, a variable that is an attribute of student n and has a unique value for each student θn. Each item i in the theory requires a threshold value of θ and has a difficulty of bi.

The probability (P) that student n will give a particular response to item i can be represented by the following function, as explained by Birnbaum (15):

\[ P(\theta_n, b_i) = c + \frac{d - c}{1 + e^{-\theta_n - b_i}} \]

where

\[ c = \text{lower performance}, \]
\[ d = \text{upper performance}, \]
\[ a_i = \text{discriminability of the item}. \]

There are three types of item response theory: one-parameter logistic model, two-parameter logistic model, and three-parameter logistic model.

The simplified one-parameter item response model is identical to the probabilistic measurement model developed by the Danish mathematician George Rasch (12–15). His model is applied to this research.

RASCH MODEL

To simplify the Rasch model, dichotomous responses were considered. The probability that student n will respond to item i with an “agree” answer (success) is represented by the following function:

\[ P(1|\theta_n, b_i) = \frac{e^{\theta_n - b_i}}{1 + e^{\theta_n - b_i}} \]

The probability that student n will respond to item i with a “disagree” response (failure) is represented as follows:

\[ P(0|\theta_n, b_i) = 1 - P(1|\theta_n, b_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\theta_n - b_i}} \]

The raw score percentage is then converted into an agree-to-disagree (success-to-failure) ratio, or odds ratio. The odds ratio reflects the likelihood that student n will agree with item i.

\[ \frac{P(1|\theta_n, b_i)}{P(0|\theta_n, b_i)} = e^{\theta_n - b_i} \]

Then, the logarithm of odds ratio (logit) is as follows:

\[ \ln \left( \frac{P(1|\theta_n, b_i)}{P(0|\theta_n, b_i)} \right) = \theta_n - b_i \]

In 1978, Andrich (16) modified the Rasch model to make it applicable to polytomous rating scale data, which is also used in this study.

\[ \ln \left( \frac{P_m}{P_{m+1}} \right) = \theta_n - b_i \] (1)

In Andrich’s modified Rasch model, each item i has its own threshold Fx for each category x. Therefore, \( b_i = b_i + F_{ix} \), and Equation 1 becomes Equation 2.

\[ \ln \left( \frac{P_m}{P_{m+1}} \right) = \theta_n - b_i - F_{ix} \] (2)

The partial credit model is derived as follows, where \( P_{mx} \) is the probability of student n choosing answer x in item i.

\[ P_{mx} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{\theta_n - b_i}}{\sum_{i=0}^{m} e^{\theta_n - b_i}} \]

MODEL FIT AND RELIABILITY

The Rasch model provides a mathematical framework against which test developers can compare their data. This model is based on two hypotheses: one is unidimensionality, which means useful measurement involves the examination of only one student attribute at a time; the other is local independence.

Bond and Fox (17) argued that “student” and “item” performance deviations from the “fit” can be assessed and the item’s “difficulty” and “student ability” are estimated on a logit scale. There are two fit statistics in the Rasch model—infit and outfit:

• The infit statistic is a weighted standardized residual and
• The outfit statistic is an average of the standardized residuals.

Standardized fit statistics (Zstd) must be within the 95% confidence interval to be considered as having acceptable values between –2.0 and +2.0 standard deviations from the mean; however, Oreja-Rodriguez and Yanes-Estévez (18) suggested that a range between –3.0 and +3.0 is also acceptable.
For this research, two junior high schools in the Hsin-Chu technopolis area of Taiwan were chosen at random for administering the questionnaire.

Hsin-Chu County has a population of around 920,000, with 530,000 living outside the city and 390,000 within the city of Hsin-Chu. The population ratio is 1.35 to 1 and the sampling of students is almost the same as the population ratio: 923 from Hsin-Chu County and 687 from the city of Hsin-Chu. The sample matches the distribution of the population and therefore can be considered representative.

Questionnaires were administered in spring 2007 and 1,610 valid responses were obtained. The research process was then divided into three tasks:

1. Descriptive analysis of students’ profiles,
2. Rasch analysis, and
3. Cycling difficulties and cycling abilities analysis.

Descriptive Analysis of Students’ Profiles

With regard to Hypothesis 1 (as represented in Figure 2), the variables and data obtained are organized and represented in Table 1. The results are as follows:

- With regard to the gender variable, girls were slightly in the majority (53%) of the overall sample of students.
- In terms of grade and age, the 14-year-old grade group was the largest (35%), followed by 13-year-olds (33%) and 15-year-olds (32%).

- With regard to the parents’ education variable, 72.1% are at or above the college level, in both the city and the county. This is not an unexpected finding as the research area is a science-based technopolis, and the average education is higher than in other areas.
- With regard to the parents’ attitude toward children cycling to school, 27.4% of parents supported the idea, and 16.0% parents were opposed to it. The vast majority (56.6%) did not have an opinion.

Rasch Model Analysis

This research applied the Rasch model to analyze the cycling abilities of students from two schools in the technopolis.

The mean item difficulty was set at 0, and the mean measure of student ability was set at −0.15 logit, which means the item content (i.e., the situation to which the item referred) was considered to be slightly more difficult for the students.

In the Rasch model, reliability is estimated for both students and items. A reliability index larger than 0.80 means that the scale (student or item) is reliable. Table 2 shows that student reliability (0.87) is greater than 0.80, which falls within the reliable range. Item reliability (0.99) is far greater than 0.80, which means the results are very reliable. Thus, this questionnaire and the results obtained are useful in measuring the cycling difficulties of school students for the Hsin-Chu case.

Cycling Difficulties and Students’ Abilities

As derived from the WINSTEPS software output, eight of the 11 items have been ranked according to their level of difficulty ($B_i$) as shown in Figure 2.
in Table 3. Three of the 11 items were dropped from the analysis because no significant between-group differences were found for those items.

Those eight items’ infit Zstds and outfit Zstds fall within ±2.00 standard deviations from the mean, which means those items are reliable. The higher the item’s difficulty measure, the more difficult that task was perceived to be by the students. The respective item difficulty ranges are +0.35 to −0.73 logit.

The results indicate the following:

- Students cycling to school perceive the most difficult conditions as being the presence of trucks, heavy traffic, and rainy and windy conditions.
- Darkness, cars occupying the shoulder, making left turns, and crossing intersections are considered low difficulty and easy to overcome.

### Table 1: Personal and Family Characteristics of Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>City Students</th>
<th>County Students</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>1,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 years old</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 years old</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 years old</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>1,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents’ Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate school</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>1,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents’ Attitudes Toward Cycling to School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>1,610</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Reliability of Rasch Model Applied in Hsin-Chu Case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students, 1,610 input, 1,610 measured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Score</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infit Zstd</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outfit Zstd</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person reliability</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items, 8 inputs, 8 measured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw score</td>
<td>4518.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infit Zstd</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outfit Zstd</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item reliability</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** RMSE = root-mean-square error.

### Table 3: Results of Item Ranking According to Raw Score and Difficulties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Raw Score</th>
<th>Difficulty</th>
<th>Infit Zstd</th>
<th>Outfit Zstd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Can you safely ride your bike when there are trucks on the road?</td>
<td>4,198</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can you safely ride your bike when there is heavy traffic on the road?</td>
<td>4,225</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can you safely ride your bike when it is raining?</td>
<td>4,454</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>−1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can you safely ride your bike when it is very windy?</td>
<td>4,473</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−1.2</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can you safely ride your bike when crossing intersections with fast-moving traffic?</td>
<td>4,486</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can you safely ride your bike when turning to the left?</td>
<td>4,532</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can you safely ride your bike when cars occupy the shoulder?</td>
<td>4,593</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can you safely ride your bike when it is dark?</td>
<td>5,189</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
students possess bicycles and only a small percentage (16.0%) of parents are opposed to the idea of their children cycling to school, so there must be other reasons for students not cycling to school. The current study examined a variety of potential obstacles to cycling and the extent to which students perceived them to present difficulties while riding.

The abilities of students to cycle are generally poor. However, it is logical to think that the old adage “practice makes perfect” might be applicable in this situation. It is reasonable to expect students who do not practice riding to have less ability than those who have more practice. It was also evident from the data that ability is related to age; specifically, as children age they become more proficient in riding. This is a normal developmental principle; however, without opportunity to participate the skill will never be developed—in general, ability is concomitant with time spent on the task.

The data in this study indicate that boys have better cycling ability than girls; however, as noted in the previous paragraph, skill development parallels time practicing and there is no reason to believe that males have some type of innate superiority to females in the area of cycling ability. Further research would be needed for a definitive answer, but the literature on physical ability testing indicates that, although males have a slight advantage in upper body strength, no significant difference has been found for physical agility, which is more related to cycling ability.

The results of this study suggest that a larger issue appears to be at the root of the lack of student cycling; specifically, perceived obstacles within the environment in which they would be riding appear to be at the heart of students not riding bikes to school. Of 11 possible impediments to cycling (eight related to traffic conditions and three related to weather; see Figure 2), the three most often rated as being problematic were heavy traffic, trucks, and rain. Dealing with darkness, cars occupying the shoulder, and making left turns were rated as less difficult obstacles.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Not a lot can be done about weather conditions; however, addressing the other issues that have emerged as impediments to cycling is no different than what has been done, and is currently being done, in Europe and the United States (21, 22). It appears logical...
that if most students currently use cars and motorcycles as the primary mode of transportation to school, and “heavy traffic” is one of the major impediments to cycling, there is an isipasive relationship between the two. Specifically, if more students rode their bicycles to school the volume of motor-driven traffic would decrease.

Ideally, the installation of cycling lanes would circumvent the vast majority of the issues that students perceived as leading to difficulty in cycling to school. That may not be possible in all areas. In lieu of the “ideal,” the government could implement a “cycling awareness” campaign that would educate drivers about the presence of cyclists on shared roads and provide guidelines for driving safely in their presence. Many cities in the United States have implemented a system of school safety zones (7). During the hours when students are traveling to and from school, flashing lights on the roadsides inform drivers that speed limits have been temporarily reduced—usually to 20 mph. Penalties for violating the speed limit are severe.

To deal with the issue of trucks, it might be possible to restrict their times on city streets to allow students to cycle to and from school when trucks are banned. Some new logistics would be required on the part of the trucking companies, but it would not be impossible and all parties would soon adjust.

This study examined a relatively small, though important, number of impediments to cycling, but various other potential obstacles should be examined: for example, citizens’ perceptions of sharing roads with increasing numbers of bicyclists, police willingness to enforce more stringent traffic laws, and the impact of decreasing traffic flows at peak cycling hours. More unusual, though nonetheless real, issues of providing cycling classes, mandating practical cycling tests, and the use of safety equipment (e.g., helmets) also need to be examined.

The authors discussed the case of the United States, where the number of students walking or cycling to school has dropped considerably from 66% to 13% compared with Taiwan’s situation. Again, in Taiwan, there is a need for policies to provide systematic training on cycling safety but, unlike in other countries, the issue presents an additional consideration. Europe and the United States have focused on training and implementation.

All the issues raised by this study that are obstacles to cycling and that prevent students from riding their bicycles to their schools should become priority targets for the Taiwanese government to encourage and expand cycling opportunities.

CONCLUSIONS

In the early 18th century, bicycles were fashionable toys for European nobility and became a worldwide craze by the end of the 19th century. Entering the 20th century, people in the world faced a transportation revolution as the new technology brought faster and more comfortable modes of transportation that changed the way people traveled, took their leisure, and worked the land. The new technology changed human behavior in general; however, bicycles were still deeply rooted in the cultural zeitgeist. By the 1930s, the British believed bicycles gave their country a new kind of outdoor culture; in the Netherlands, bikes were seen as an indispensable and beloved part of society.

Taiwan has 23 million residents and one of the highest population densities on earth, with a growing dependence on motor-driven transportation, as evidenced by the presence of 5.7 million cars and 11.7 million motorcycles. Vehicles with internal combustion engines have, therefore, become an inseparable way of life in Taiwan and a staple of its economy (23), despite the fact it is also the third largest multinational maker of bicycles in the world (they are mainly made for export purposes).

Motorcycles are the most common feature of Taiwanese city traffic because of their mobility, convenience, and easy parking, but they are also a huge problem as cities’ infrastructures are far from adequate to cope with such a large number of them. Roads are also jammed with cars and trucks, and peak hours have become an unbearable daily experience for drivers and a very risky situation for those who want to ride a bike. In addition, environmental problems derived from the chemical emissions produced by those vehicles are causing severe health issues (throat, lung, and skin problems, as well as cancer) and are a burden for the Taiwanese health care system.

The government of Taiwan has taken notice of this situation and, after neglecting walking, cycling, and public transportation systems for a long time, has started promoting them and encouraging citizens to use them.

Cycling to school provides students with opportunities to have meaningful social interactions and provides physical benefits while protecting the environment. It is, therefore, socially correct for the government of Taiwan to promote this alternative mode of transportation as a relevant part of life and lifestyle today.

The results of this study suggest that, with a large population and numerous automobiles, the government of Taiwan needs to consider in the short run how to encourage increasing use of bicycles to ensure that children can safely cycle to school. Therefore, the government must decide how best to use the land to improve the state of the roads and street networks (based on pedestrians’ and cyclists’ needs) and produce a package of regulations (as discussed earlier) to provide a smooth and safe cycling experience and a healthy high-quality standard of life for all Taiwanese citizens.
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