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We present a theoretical investigation of magnetism in II-VI diluted magnetic semiconductor nanocrystals (NCs). The energy spectra, magnetizations, and magnetic susceptibilities of singly charged NCs with few substitutional magnetic Mn$^{2+}$ ions are studied as functions of NC size, magnetic dopant distribution, and concentration by using the technique of exact diagonalization. For NCs containing long range interacting Mn ions, the quantum size effects improve the stability of ferromagnetic magnetic polarons. The carrier-mediated spin interactions between magnetic ions result in the enhancement of magnetism. By contrast, the ground states of NCs containing short ranged Mn clusters undergo a series of magnetic phase transitions from antiferromagnetism to ferromagnetism, with decreasing size of NC. An analysis based on a simplified constant interaction model, supported by the numerical calculations of local mean field theory, is presented for the magnetic NCs with many magnetic ions over a wide range of Mn concentration and NC size. Accordingly, we derive the condition for the formation of magnetic polaron and predict the observable signatures of the magnetic phases in magnetization measurements.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Semiconductor quantum dots are known as promising building block in many advanced applications from optoelectronics, spintronics, to biotechnology.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\) Moreover, recent technical advances have made it possible to incorporate controlled number of magnetic ions, typically Mn$^{2+}$, into individual colloidal semiconductor nanocrystals\(^5\)-\(^8\) and self-assembled quantum dots.\(^9\)-\(^13\) Rich physical phenomena, such as giant Zeeman splitting,\(^14\) magnetic polarons,\(^13\),\(^15\),\(^16\) zero-field magnetization,\(^17\)-\(^19\) and rich fine structures of exciton-Mn complexes\(^9\)-\(^12\), in those magnetic nanostructures have been observed. The underlying physics of the most physical phenomena can be attributed to the intriguing spin interactions between magnetic ions and quantum confined carriers.

As compared with self-assembled quantum dots, nanocrystals (NCs) are particularly advantageous in size and shape control.\(^21\) The sizes of NCs can be controlled over a wide range of diameters, typically from 1 to 10 nm, by delicate fabrication processes.\(^22\) Significant size and shape effects on the electronic and optical properties of nanocrystals and nanorods have been identified in optical and resonant tunneling spectroscopies.\(^21\),\(^23\)-\(^25\) With the engineering of quantum confinement, it is possible to manipulate the carrier-Mn spin interaction effectively and further affect the effective magnetic couplings between Mn ions in magnetically doped NCs.\(^19\),\(^20\),\(^26\) Such an effective control of magnetism is of importance in the development of functional nanodevices based on diluted magnetic semiconductors needed to fill the long existing gap between semiconductor technology and magnetoelectronics.\(^19\),\(^20\),\(^26\)-\(^39\)

In this work, we present a theory of magnetism in II-VI diluted magnetic semiconductor nanocrystals. The developed theory guides us to gain deep physical insight into the magnetism in diluted magnetic semiconductor (DMS) bulk and nanosystems and is helpful with developing the effective means of magnetism control. We focus on singly charged spherical NCs coupled to substitutional divalent magnetic ions Mn$^{2+}$ via the $sp$-$d$ interactions. We show that ferromagnetic magnetic polarons (MPs) are formed in charged NCs containing long range interacting Mn$^{2+}$ and the size effects of NC improve the stability of MPs. By contrast, the NCs containing short ranged Mn clusters are found to exhibit various magnetic phases from antiferromagnetism (AF) to ferromagnetism (FM), tunable by means of size control and identifiable in temperature dependent magnetization measurements.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the model Hamiltonian for DMS NCs and the theoretical approaches for the calculation of the electronic and magnetic properties. In Sec. III, we present the numerical results for NCs with few (up to five) magnetic ions by using exact diagonalization and analyze the magnetic phase diagram of uniformly Mn-doped NCs with more magnetic ions within a simplified constant interaction model, in comparison with the numerical results calculated by the widely adopted mean field theory. We conclude in IV.

II. THEORY

A. Model

The Hamiltonian for a singly charged magnetic NC coupled to arbitrary number of magnetic ions can be expressed as\(^26\),\(^34\),\(^40\)

$$H_0 = H_e + H_{	ext{Mn-Mn}} + H_{e-Mn} + H_B .$$

Here, $H_e = \Sigma_{i\sigma} E_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}$ is the single-electron Hamiltonian of Mn-free NC, where subscript $i$ labels single-electron orbital states, $\sigma = \uparrow (\downarrow)$ denotes the up (down) spin of electron, $c_{i\sigma}$ ($c_{i\sigma}^\dagger$) the creation (annihilation) operator, and $E_i$ the eigenenergy of a single electron in state $|i\rangle$. We take the second quantized form of Eq. (1) here for straightforward implementation of exact diagonalization calculations based on configuration interaction theory.\(^34\),\(^36\) Within the hard wall spherical
the principal quantum number, electron eigenenergies and wave functions are explicitly determined by the coupling constant $R_{IJ}$ respectively, where $\tilde{r}=(r, \theta, \phi)$ is the position of electron in polar coordinate, $a$ the radius of spherical NC, $m^*$ the effective mass of electron, $J_1(r)$ the spherical Bessel function, $\alpha_{nlm}$ the nth zero of $J_1$, and $Y_{nlm}(\theta, \phi)$ the spherical Harmonic function. Throughout this work, we take the values of the effective mass of electron $m^*=0.15m_0$ and the dielectric constant $\varepsilon=8.9$ for CdSe NCs, with which we have the value of effective Bohr radius $a_B=3.1$ nm and that of the effective Rydberg $R^*_{\text{eff}}=25.8$ meV.

The antiferromagnetic interaction between magnetic ions $H_{\text{Mn-Mn}}$ is short ranged and described by

$$H_{\text{Mn-Mn}}=-\sum_{I\neq J}J_{\text{MM}}(R_{IJ})\tilde{M}_I\cdot\tilde{M}_J,$$  

(4)

where $\tilde{M}_I$ ($\tilde{M}_J$) is the spin of the $I$th ($J$th) magnetic impurities Mn$^{2+}$ at position $\tilde{r}_I$ ($\tilde{r}_J$) and $J_{\text{MM}}(R_{IJ})=J_{\text{MM}}^{(0)}\exp[-\lambda(R_{IJ}/a_0)-1]<0$ is the antiferromagnetic coupling constant, rapidly increasing with decreasing the distance between magnetic ions $R_{IJ}=[\tilde{r}_I-\tilde{r}_J]$, where $J_{\text{MM}}^{(0)}=-0.5$ meV is the strength of the nearest-neighbor (NN) Mn-Mn interaction, $a_0=0.55$ nm is the lattice constant of NC material, and $\lambda=5.1.34$ Here, we characterize a magnetic ion with its spin alone and neglect the electrostatic potential effect since divalent Mn ions in II-VI compounds are isoelectronic with cations and neither introduce nor bind charged carriers.26,33

The contact ferromagnetic interaction between electrons and magnetic ions $H_{e-Mn}$ is expressed as

$$H_{e-Mn}=-\sum_{I,i,j}J_{\text{eM}}^{(i)}(R_{ij})\frac{1}{2}[c_{i\downarrow}^+c_{i\downarrow}c_{j\uparrow}^+c_{j\uparrow}M_i^z\cdot M_j^z]\;\text{c}_{i\uparrow}^+c_{i\downarrow}M_i^z],$$

(5)

where the first term on the right-hand side (rhs) describes that the $z$ component of electron spins act as an effective field acting on Mn spins $M_i^z$, and the last two terms involving operators $M_i^z=M_i^z\pm iM_i^x$ cause electron spin flip scattering compensated by Mn spin flips. The strength of the $e$-Mn interaction is given by $J_{\text{eM}}^{(i)}(R)=\sum_{R_{ij}}\frac{1}{2}|c_{i\downarrow}^+c_{i\downarrow}c_{j\uparrow}^+c_{j\uparrow}M_i^z\cdot M_j^z|^2$ determined by the coupling constant $J_{\text{eM}}^{(i)}=10.8$ meV nm$^3$>0 and the local carrier density at the positions where Mn ions are located.

$H_B=H_+T_B$ denotes the terms introduced by the presence of magnetic field $\vec{B}||\vec{z}$, i.e., the spin Zeeman term

$$H_z=\sum_{i}\left(g_e\mu_B B_\parallel \psi_i^\dagger c_{i\uparrow}c_{i\downarrow}\right) -\sum_{I}\left(g_{\text{Mn}}\mu_B B_i M_I^z\right)$$

(6)

and the field induced kinetic energy term

$$T_B=\sum_{ijr}\left(\mu^*_{B} B_\parallel c_{i\uparrow}^+c_{i\downarrow} +\sum_{ijr}\frac{\mu^*_{B}}{2}D_{ij} c_{i\uparrow}^+c_{i\downarrow},$$

(7)

where $g_e=1.2$ ($g_{\text{Mn}}=2.0$) is the g factor of electron (Mn) in CdSe NCs, $\mu_B=eh/2m_0\mu_B^*=(m_0/m^*)\mu_B$ the (effective) Bohr magneton, $\sigma_\parallel$ the z component of electron spin, $I_B=\sqrt{\hbar/(eB)}$ the magnetic length, and $D_{ij}$ defined as $D_{ij}=(i(x^2+y^2)/a^2)^{30}$. The first term on the rhs of Eq. (7) is referred to as the orbital Zeeman term and vanishes for a single electron with zero angular momentum ($m_i=0$) in the ground state (GS). The second term in Eq. (7) associated with Langevin diamagnetism is negligible for strongly quantized NCs subject to weak magnetic fields ($a<<I_B$).55-58 Thus, we have the magnetic field dependent Hamiltonian $H_B=H_z$. In other words, the magnetic response of a singly charged magnetic NC mainly involves the spin of electron. The contribution of electron orbital motion to the magnetization of system is negligible.

B. Numerical approaches

We employ the technique of exact diagonalization (ED) to calculate the energy spectra of single-electron-few-Mn complexes in magnetic NCs. We select a number $N_1$ of lowest energy single-electron states and construct all possible electron-Mn configurations $[m_{i1}s_z;M_{1z},M_{2z},\ldots,M_{N_1z}]$ classified by the $z$ component of the angular momentum of electron $m_{i1}$, the $z$ component of electron spin $s_z$, and the $z$ component of the spin of the $i$th Mn ion $M_{ij}^z=-5/2,-3/2,\ldots,5/2$. Then, we build up the corresponding Hamiltonian matrices and carry out direct diagonalization. The convergence of results is tested by increasing the number and choice of single-electron basis. For single-electron cases, converged results are obtained usually with only $N_1=2$ (with only the lowest electronic $s$ orbital of NC) because of strong quantization energy of NCs (2 orders of magnitude larger than the electron-Mn interaction). The main numerical difficulty nevertheless comes from the fact that the total number of single-electron-many-Mn configurations $N_1\cdot6^{N_{\text{Mn}}}$ rapidly increases with increasing number of Mn. We employ the LANCASTER eigensolver to find the low-lying eigenstates and eigenenergies for large matrices with high accuracy.

The magnetization of magnetic NCs at temperature $T$ is numerically calculated by evaluating the definition of magnetization.43

$$M=-\frac{\partial E}{\partial B}\bigg|_{T,V} = 1\beta \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial B}\bigg|_{T}$$

(8)

where $\beta=1/(k_BT)$, $Z=\Sigma_i\exp(-E_i/\beta)$ is the canonical ensemble equilibrium partition function, $E_i$ is the $i$th eigen-
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III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A. Nanocrystals with few magnetic ions

We start with NCs doped with few magnetic ions, for which exact diagonalization studies are possible. Figure 1(a) shows the numerically calculated low-lying energy spectra (relative to the GS energy) of singly charged NCs doped with two Mn$^{2+}$ ions at $R_1=(a/2,0,0)$ and $R_2=(a/2,0,0)$ and three Mn$^{2+}$ ions at $R_1=(a/2,2,0)$, $R_2=(a/2,0,0)$, and $R_3=(0,0,a/2)$. The GSs of the NCs containing the long ranged Mn’s are those of ferromagnetic MPs with maximum spin of Mn’s. The insets show the binding energy $E_b$ of the MPs versus NC radius. Note that $E_b$ increases significantly as $a \approx a_B = 3.1$ nm.

The magnetic susceptibility defined as the partial derivative of magnetization with respect to magnetic field, $\chi = \partial M / \partial B$, is calculated by the standard three-point numerical derivation.

with the maximum spin of Mn’s ($\text{GS}=5N_{\text{Mn}}/2=5$). The insets of Fig. 1 show the calculated binding energy of the MPs, defined by $E_b = E_{\text{GS}}(J_{\text{FM}} \rightarrow 0) - E_{\text{GS}}$ as a function of NC radius, where $E_{\text{GS}}$ is the ground state energy of system and $E_{\text{GS}}(J_{\text{FM}} \rightarrow 0)$ is the energy of the lowest states calculated with disabling the e-Mn coupling. The binding energies of the MPs are positive for all NC sizes under consideration and increases monotonically with decreasing size of NC. Notable is the fact that the increase of $E_b$ is particularly pronounced as $a \approx a_B = 3.1$ nm. Similar features of magnetism are obtained also for NCs doped with three Mn$^{2+}$ ions at $R_1 = (a/2,0,0)$, $R_2 = (-a/2,0,0)$, and $R_3 = (0,0,a/2)$, as shown in Fig. 1(b).

To gain more physical insight, we carry out the following analysis based on a simplified constant interaction model extended from the theory by Gould et al.\cite{17} In the simplified model, we consider a single electron frozen in the lowest s orbital and assume constant Mn-Mn and e-Mn interactions. Thus, we have the solvable effective spin Hamiltonian for a single-electron-many-Mn complex in magnetic NCs,

$$H_{eff} = -J_c \tilde{S} \cdot \vec{M} - J_{M} \sum_{\mu \nu} \tilde{M}_{\mu} \cdot \tilde{M}_{\nu},$$  \hspace{5cm} (9)$$

where $\tilde{M}=\sum_{\mu} \tilde{M}_{\mu}$ denotes the total spin of Mn’s and $J_c \geq 0$ ($J_{M} \geq 0$) is the effective e-Mn (Mn-Mn) interaction constant. For uniformly Mn-doped NCs, we take $J_c = J_c^{(0)} \left[ 1 - \frac{a}{a_0} \right]$ and $J_{M} = J_{M}^{(0)} \exp\left[ -\lambda \left( \frac{n_{\text{e}} x_{\text{Mn}}}{} \right)^{-1/3} - 1 \right]$, where $x_{\text{Mn}}$ is the Mn concentration and $n_{\text{e}}=4$ is the number of cation in a unit cell.

With Eq. (9), we can choose $J_c = M + \Delta M$ and $M$ as quantum numbers for labeling single MP states as $(J_c,J_{M})$ with $J_c \geq 1/2$. The eigenenergies of states $|J,J_{M}=M+1/2,M\rangle$ are thus explicitly given by $E(M+1/2,M) = \frac{1}{2} M + \frac{J_c}{2} (M+1) - 35 N_{\text{Mn}}/4$, where $M = 0, 1, \ldots, 5N_{\text{Mn}}/2$ (or even (odd) $N_{\text{Mn}}$). After some algebra, we derive the total spin of Mn’s in the GS:

$$M_{\text{GS}} = \text{integer part} \left[ \frac{J_c}{2(J_{M} + 1)} \right] + c - c,$$  \hspace{5cm} (10)$$

with the upper limit $M_{\text{GS}} = 5N_{\text{Mn}}/2$, where $c = 0 (1/2)$ for even (odd) number of Mn’s. Accordingly, we have the condition $|J_c|/J_{M} \geq 5N_{\text{Mn}}$ for the formation of FM MP and that $|J_c|/J_{M} < 2$ under which electron-Mn complexes in NCs retain AF. The binding energy of MP with $M_{\text{GS}} = 5N_{\text{Mn}}/2$ reads

$$E_b = \frac{J_c}{2} M_{\text{GS}} - \frac{J_{M}}{2} M_{\text{GS}} (M_{\text{GS}} + 1).$$  \hspace{5cm} (11)$$

In dilute-Mn cases ($x_{\text{Mn}} \rightarrow 0$), we have $J_{M} \rightarrow 0$ and $M_{\text{GS}} = 5N_{\text{Mn}}/2$, and the binding energy of MP is given by

$$E_b = \frac{3}{8\pi} \frac{M_{\text{GS}}^{(0)}}{a_0^3},$$  \hspace{5cm} (12)$$

determined by the strength of e-Mn interaction, the total spin of Mn’s, and the size of NC. With the parameters for CdSe:Mn NCs used in this work, the binding energy of MP is estimated as $E_b \sim N_{\text{Mn}} (a_B/a)^3 \times 10^{-3}$ meV. This accounts for the significant increase of $E_b$ as $a \approx a_B$ shown in the insets of Fig. 1.

Figure 2(a) shows the calculated low-field magnetic susceptibility $\chi (B/T \rightarrow 0) \equiv \chi_0$ (black solid line) of the...
two-Mn NC at temperature $k_B T = 0.1$ meV and the expectation values of $M^2$ for the ground states, $\langle GS | M^2 | GS \rangle$ (black dashed line). The NCs containing the long ranged Mn's exhibit paramagnetism ($\chi_0 > 0$) over all sizes of the NCs under consideration. The susceptibilities of the quantum confined magnetic polarons can be well described by

$$\chi_0 = \frac{g_s^2 J^2 (J + 1)}{3 k_B T} \left[ 1 - \frac{2(2J + 1)e^{-\Delta/k_B T}}{(J + 1)(2J - 1)} \right],$$

which is derived from Curie’s law (the first term) concerned with only the GS level of MP with angular momentum $J = 5N_{Mn}/2 + 1/2$ and corrected by the weak effect from the next excited level states with $J - 1$ (the second term), where $\Delta > 0$ is the energy difference between the ground states and the excited level states and $g_s = (g_s + g_{Mn})/2 = (g_{Mn} - g_s)/2(J + 1)$ is the Landé g factor ($g_s \sim 2$ as $M \gg S = 1/2$). The numerically calculated susceptibilities $\chi_0 \sim 1.6$ meV/T$^2$, as shown in Fig. 2(a), are in agreement with the values evaluated by Eq. (13). With Eq. (13), it is straightforward to account for the slight decrease of the susceptibilities (black lines in Fig. 2) of the MPs confined in larger NCs (with smaller $\Delta$).

In reality, the spatial distribution of magnetic ions in NCs is likely random. To address the issue, we study another extreme case of nonuniformly Mn-doped NCs, which contain two nearest NN Mn’s at $R_1, R_2 = (a/2, 0, 0)$ with $|R_1 - R_2| = a_0$. In bulk systems, such short range interacting Mn clusters are known to exhibit AF and decrease the net magnetization of system. Figure 3(a) shows the numerically calculated energy spectra of singly charged NCs containing the short ranged Mn’s. In Fig. 2(a), we show the numerically calculated magnetic susceptibility (red solid line) and the total spin of Mn’s (red dashed line) for the NC. For large NCs with $a > 1.9$ nm, the two-Mn cluster in the NCs exhibits AF ($M = 0$) because the low electron local density at Mn sites leads to a weak electron-Mn coupling. With decreasing size of NC, the short ranged two-Mn cluster undergoes a series of magnetic state transitions from antiferromagnetism ($M = 0$) to ferromagnetism ($M = 4$), with decreasing NC size.

The magnetic phases of magnetic MPs could be identified by carrying out the temperature dependent magnetization measurement. Figure 4(a) shows the inverse of magnetic susceptibility $\chi_0^{-1}$ as a function of temperature for the NCs containing two NN Mn’s with radii $a = 1, 2, 3$ nm, corresponding to the fully spin polarized (FM), partially spin polarized, and spin unpolarized (AF) GSs, respectively. For small NCs with $a \leq 1$ nm, $\chi_0^{-1} \sim T$ follows Curie’s law.
The reciprocal magnetic susceptibilities $1/\chi_0$ versus temperature ($T$) of magnetic NCs with various sizes ($a=1, 2, 3$ nm) and containing (a) two short-ranged NN Mn’s at $\vec{R}_1, \vec{R}_2 \sim (0,0,a/2)$ and (b) three NN Mn’s at $\vec{R}_1, \vec{R}_2, \vec{R}_3 \sim (0,0,a/2)$. The ground states of the NCs with the three different radii $a=1, 2, 3$ nm correspond to the fully spin-polarized (FM), partial spin-polarized, and spin unpolarized (AF) electron-Mn complexes, respectively. The reciprocal susceptibilities of the NCs with AF GSs follow the Curie-Weiss relation with negative Weiss temperature $\theta$.

\[ \chi_0 \propto 1/T, \] indicating the FM GSs. For large NCs with $a \geq 3$ nm, the Mn clusters do not retain the magnetic ordering and the susceptibilities versus $T$ follow the Curie-Weiss relation, $\chi_0 \propto 1/(T-\theta)$, with negative Weiss temperature $\theta < 0$.\(^\text{19,20}\)

Figure 2(b) shows the calculated magnetic susceptibilities and the total Mn spin of singly charged NCs containing three Mn’s with different spatial distributions, as depicted in the figure. The temperature and quantum size dependences of the magnetic susceptibilities of the three-Mn NCs follow the similar behavior to that of the two-Mn NCs previously discussed.

### B. Many-Mn magnetic polarons

For NCs containing more Mn’s, it is nontrivial to solve the many-body problem because of the huge number of configuration basis required in numerical calculations. Nevertheless, the solvable model described by Eqs. (9)–(11) and (13) allows us to evaluate the total spin, the binding energies, and the magnetic susceptibility of charged NCs doped with many Mn’s over a wide range of $x_\text{Mn}$ and $a$. The validity of the simple model for NCs uniformly doped with few Mn ions is confirmed by exact diagonalization studies. For many-Mn NCs, we examine the validity and explore the limitation of the simplified model by means of the comparison with the numerical results of mean field theory.\(^\text{26,37}\)

Figure 5 shows the $\chi_0$ of singly charged NC with radius $a=3$ nm as a function of number of Mn ions calculated within the simplified model. In the regime of dilute-Mn concentration $N_\text{Mn}/N_\text{Mn} < 55$ ($x_\text{Mn} < 1.5\%$), the low-field susceptibility $\chi_0$ increases monotonically with increasing number of Mn ions. In fact, the low-field magnetic susceptibilities of the NCs show a quadratic dependence on the number of Mn ions (see the inset of Fig. 5). Substituting the total spin $J=(5N_\text{Mn}+1)/2$ of a FM MP into Eq. (13), we derive the $\chi_0$ of magnetic NCs in the dilute-Mn regime,

\[ \chi_0 = \frac{(25g^2J^2/12k_B)N_\text{Mn}^2}{M_{G0}/N_\text{Mn}}. \]

If Mn ions were decoupled from each other, the total $\chi_0$ would simply be the sum of magnetic susceptibility $\chi_\text{Mn}$ of each Mn ion, i.e., $\chi_0 \approx N_\text{Mn} \chi_\text{Mn}$, showing a linear dependence on $N_\text{Mn}$. The quadratic relationship indicates the existence of some spin correlation between Mn’s, resulting in the enhancement of paramagnetism. The calculated $\chi_0$ from the simplified model is in agreement with the results numerically calculated by ED for single MP in the NC with $N_\text{Mn} = 1, 2, \ldots, 5$ (see the inset of Fig. 5). In the ED calculation, we consider the NCs with the number of Mn ions up to 5, successively positioned at $R_1=(a/2,0,0)$, $R_2=(-a/2,0,0)$, $R_3=(0,0,a/2)$, $R_4=(0,0,-a/2)$, and $R_5=(0,0,0)$.

Figures 6 and 7 show the averaged Mn spin $\langle M \rangle = M_{G0}/N_\text{Mn}$ evaluated by Eq. (10) within the constant interaction model for NCs uniformly doped with many Mn ions, in comparison with the averaged Mn magnetization $\langle M \rangle_{MF}$ numerically calculated by the local mean field theory. Under the mean field treatment, the spatially discrete magnetic moments provided by magnetic Mn ions are replaced by an effective continuous field of Mn magnetization. The theory has been developed in various versions, widely applied to DMS bulks, thin films, and nanostructures. Here, we adopt the local mean field theory previously developed in Refs. 26 and 37 for magnetic quantum dots. A detailed description of the theory is given in the Appendix.

As we see in Figs. 6 and 7, the magnetizations of Mn-doped NCs as functions of Mn concentration and NC size
FIG. 6. (Color online) Averaged Mn spin of Mn-doped NC with radius \(a=3\) nm as a function of Mn\(^{2+}\) number \(N_{\text{Mn}}\). Black solid line: The averaged Mn spin \(<M>/N_{\text{Mn}}\) of the ground states calculated in the constant interaction model. Dashed lines: Averaged magnetization per Mn, \(<M>/N_{\text{Mn}}\), calculated by local mean field theory for various temperatures.

predicted by both theories show similar basic features. For Mn-doped NCs with moderate Mn concentration \((N_{\text{Mn}} \approx 30)\), averaged Mn magnetization is high (in nearly FM phases) and insensitive to the change of Mn number. The magnetization calculated by the mean field theory in the regime is generally lower than the averaged Mn spin calculated within the constant interaction model because of the effect of finite temperature. As \(N_{\text{Mn}} \approx 50\), the Mn magnetization and the magnetic susceptibility as well (see the inset of Fig. 5) decrease rapidly with increasing \(N_{\text{Mn}}\). In the regime, the Mn concentration is high so that the short ranged AF Mn-Mn interactions becomes comparable to the FM e-Mn interactions. However, the averaged Mn magnetization in the rich Mn regime seems to be underestimated in the constant interaction model because the exponentially decaying AF interaction between Mn’s is assumed constant. Qualitatively, the results presented here are consistent with those previously reported by Fernandez-Rossier and Brey (Fig. 3 in Ref. 26).

Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show the magnetic phase diagram of Mn-doped NCs with respect to Mn concentration \(x_{\text{Mn}}\) and NC radius \(a\) calculated by using the constant interaction model and the local mean field theory (for \(T \to 0\), respectively. Both results show that NCs are in FM phase \((<M>/N_{\text{Mn}} -> 5/2)\) only if both Mn concentration \(x_{\text{Mn}}\) and NC size \(a\) are sufficiently small. The binding energies of the single-electron-many-Mn MPs as a function of Mn concentration \(x_{\text{Mn}}\) and NC radius \(a\) are evaluated by Eqs. (10) and (11) and shown in Fig. 8. Notable is the fact that the MPs confined in small Mn-doped NCs \((a<2.5\) nm) possess the highest binding energy as the Mn concentration is moderately low \(4% <x_{\text{Mn}}<8\%\) rather than extremely low. This is because the absolute value of \(E_b\) depends on both the spin polarization of Mn’s and the number of Mn ions in NC [see Eq. (12) with \(M_{GS}=<M>/N_{\text{Mn}}\)]. Consequently, the most stable MPs are formed in small NCs but with the intermediately low Mn concentration.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we present a theoretical investigation of magnetic properties of II-VI semiconductor nanocrystals incorporated with substitutional magnetic ions Mn\(^{2+}\). For NCs with few magnetic ions, we carry out exact diagonalization for the calculation of the energy spectra, magnetizations, and magnetic susceptibilities of magnetic NCs with the number of Mn ions up to 5. For NCs with more Mn’s, we take a simplified constant interaction model for the analysis of the electronic and magnetic properties of magnetic NCs over a wide range of NC size and Mn concentration. The issue of the validity of the simplified model for NCs with many Mn’s is clarified by means of the comparison with the numerical results of mean field theory. We show that NCs containing short ranged Mn cluster can exhibit various distinctive magnetic phases from antiferromagnetism to ferromagnetism, tunable by means of the size control of NC. We derive the condition of magnetic polaron formation and predict the signatures of the magnetic phases observable in temperature dependent magnetization measurements as the manifestation of carrier-mediated magnetism in diluted magnetic semiconductors. The carrier-mediated spin correlation between magnetic ions results in the enhancement of paramagnetism evidenced by the quadratic relationship of magnetic susceptibility versus magnetic ion concentration.
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**APPENDIX: LOCAL MEAN FIELD THEORY**

In this appendix, we review the local mean field theory previously developed for diluted magnetic semiconductor nanostructures. We employ the theory here for studying the magnetism of strongly quantized NCs uniformly doped with many Mn’s, in comparison with the results given by the simplified constant interaction model.

In the spirit of mean field treatment, the e-Mn and Mn-Mn interactions in Eq. (1) are replaced by an Ising-like coupling between electron spin and a local effective field \( h_{\text{eff}}(\vec{r}) \) provided by the magnetization of Mn’s. In the theory, the Hamiltonian of a singly charged NC with many Mn’s is written as \( H_{\text{MF}} = H_r - s_r h_{\text{eff}}(\vec{r}) \), where \( H_r \) is the single-electron Hamiltonian of Mn-free NC, the \( z \) component of electron spin is \( s_z = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \) for \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \), and the local field \( h_{\text{eff}}(\vec{r}) \) experienced by the spin electron is given by

\[
h_{\text{eff}}(\vec{r}) = J_{\text{af}} R_{\text{Mn}}(M_r(\vec{r})),
\]

(A1)

where \( n_{\text{Mn}} \) denotes the density of Mn ions. The averaged local magnetization of Mn’s reads

\[
\langle M_r(\vec{r}) \rangle = MB_{\text{M}}(M_b(\vec{r})/kT),
\]

(A2)

where \( B_{\text{M}} \) is the Brillouin function and

\[
b(\vec{r}) = \frac{J_{\text{af}}}{2} (n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow) - J_{\text{ef}}^{\text{MF}} \langle M_r(\vec{r}) \rangle
\]

is the local mean field felt by the Mn. \( n_\sigma = \int f(E_{\sigma \uparrow}, T) |\psi_{\sigma \uparrow}(\vec{r})|^2 \) the mean value of electron density with spin \( \sigma \), and \( J_{\text{ef}}^{\text{MF}} \) is the effective field given by the AF interaction with neighbor Mn’s, \( f(E_{\sigma \uparrow}, T) = \exp(-E_{\sigma \uparrow}/kT)/\Sigma_{\sigma \uparrow} \exp(-E_{\sigma \uparrow}/kT) \) is the occupancy probability of state \( |i, \sigma \rangle \), and \( \psi_{\sigma \uparrow}^{\text{MF}} \) is the single-electron wave function satisfying the Schrödinger equation

\[
H_{\sigma \uparrow}^{\text{MF}} \psi_{\sigma \uparrow}^{\text{MF}} = E_{\sigma \uparrow}^{\text{MF}} \psi_{\sigma \uparrow}^{\text{MF}}.
\]

(A4)

Since the AF Mn-Mn interaction spatially exponentially decays, here, we consider only the AF Mn-Mn interactions between the NN Mn’s and take the form of the AF coupling \( J_{\text{AF}}^{\text{MF}} = J_{\text{AF}}^{(0)} \exp[-\lambda(d/d_0 - 1)] \) in Eq. (A3), where \( d = n_{\text{Mn}}^{1/3} \) is the averaged distance between NN Mn’s. Solving the coupled equations [Eqs. (A1)–(A4)], one can obtain the local field \( b(\vec{r}) \) and the averaged local magnetization per Mn ion \( \langle M_r(\vec{r}) \rangle \). The magnetism of a Mn-doped NC is characterized with the averaged Mn magnetization defined by \( \langle M_{\text{MF}} \rangle = \frac{1}{V_0} \int \langle M_r(\vec{r}) \rangle d^3r \), where \( V_0 \) is the volume of NC.

For strongly quantized NCs at low temperatures, we can make some simplifications for the theory. For magnetic NCs with high Mn concentration and finite magnetization (in the non-AF phase), electron spin is nearly fully polarized if the temperature \( kT \ll \langle h_{\text{eff}} \rangle \). One can show that the condition is fulfilled as \( kT \ll \langle N_{\text{Mn}} \rangle \langle \langle h_{\text{eff}} \rangle \rangle \). For the NCs considered in this work with typical volume \( V_0 \sim 10^2 \text{nm}^3 \), the condition is satisfied as long as \( N_{\text{Mn}} > 10 \) and the thermal energy of temperature \( kT \ll 1 \text{meV} \). Furthermore, for NCs with typical strong quantization \( \sim 10^2 \text{meV} \), the influence of \( h_{\text{eff}} \) on the electronic structure of NC is negligible. Accordingly, we take the approximation \( n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow = |\psi_{\text{eff}}^{\text{MF}}|^2 = |\psi_{\text{eff}}|^2 \) in Eq. (A3), where \( \psi = \sqrt{\pi/(2a^3)} \sin(\pi r/a) \) is the wave function of the single-electron ground state of Mn-free NC in the hard wall sphere model and simplify Eq. (A3) to

\[
b(\vec{r}) = \frac{J_{\text{af}}}{2} |\psi(\vec{r})|^2 - J_{\text{ef}}^{\text{MF}} MB_{\text{M}}(M_b(\vec{r})/kT).
\]

(A5)

As a result, only Eqs. (A2) and (A5) are required to find the solutions of \( b(\vec{r}), \langle M_r(\vec{r}) \rangle \), and \( \langle M_{\text{MF}} \rangle \). The numerically calculated \( \langle M_{\text{MF}} \rangle \) by the local mean field theory for Mn-doped NCs with various sizes and Mn concentrations are shown in Figs. 6 and 7(b).
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