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PART I  Spatio-temporal Radio Channel Measurement and Modeling in Urban and Suburban Macro-cells

Abstract

Extended measurement of spatio-temporal radio channel for macrocellular systems in urban and suburban areas was carried out using a wideband vector channel sounder. In the measurement, TOAs (Time-of-Arrivals) and AOAs (Angle-of-Arrivals) of multipath propagation were sampled along 18 routes with a total number of 2500 measured points. From the measurement data, spatio-temporal channel characteristics such as the statistical distributions of TOA, AOA, DS (r.m.s. delay spread), and AS (r.m.s. azimuthal or angle spread), and their joint statistical properties are evaluated and discussed. It is found that a truncated Laplacian function may not well describe the probability density distribution of AOA, especially in the tail region. Joint statistical property of different channel parameters is also studied. The cross-correlation between TOA and AOA is low. However, the cross-correlation between DS and AS in the urban area is high, which is not necessary to be affected by the propagation distance. The correlation between DS/AS and the propagation distance is affected by the included angle between the mainbeam direction of the array and that of the sampled route.

1. Introduction

Present day wireless communications is increasingly pervasive, influencing every area of modern life fetching anywhere and anytime. Next generation wireless communications are designing to facilitate high-speed data communication traffic in addition to voice calls. Smart antenna system is a promising technique and utilizes the SDMA (Space Division Multiple Access) technique to add the capacity and the data rates of third-generation-and-beyond communication systems [1-2]. To apply this technique, information of spatial and temporal dispersions and the space-time joint statistical properties of radio channels are needed and important to determine and optimize the performance of wideband and high-capacity systems with SDMA [3]. For examples, the temporal dispersion provides frequency diversity for wideband systems such as WCDMA (Wideband Code Division Multiple Access), since the degree of frequency selectivity is related to the delay spread compared to the inverse of signal bandwidth. The spatial (or azimuthal) dispersion impacts antenna array systems, as it determines the correlation between spatially separated array elements, which provides the information to find and design a proper diversity scheme to mitigate small-scale fading. The azimuthal dispersion also affects the beamforming of antenna array systems using the spatial filtering techniques. Furthermore, the joint statistical property of TOA (Time-of-Arrival) and AOA (Angle-of-Arrival) is essential since multipath components (MPCs) clustered in space-time domain may have significant impact on channel capacity [4]. To understand their joint statistical property could also enhance the performance of space-time processing technique.

These channel characteristics can be explored by using measurement methods or by using spatio-temporal channel models. Channel sounding is a direct and effective way to measure detail spatio-temporal channel characteristics, which is useful not only for design and evaluation of future wideband systems but also for development and validation of spatio-temporal channel models. Many spatio-temporal channel measurement campaigns have been carried out in urban and suburban areas [5-21]. Measured frequencies included 0.9 GHz, 1.8-2.4 GHz, 5.3-5.7 GHz, and 8.45 GHz. Most works focused on the analyses of path loss, DS (r.m.s. delay spread), and AS (r.m.s. azimuthal or angle spread). Based on these studies, DS and AS
in urban area are found to be larger than that in suburban area. In the both areas, these two parameters are increased as the BS antenna height is reduced. In the same area these parameters in NLOS (Non Line-of-Sight) situation are larger than those of LOS situation. It was also found that DS increases with d, where d represents the distance between the base station (BS) and the mobile station (MS). However, AS may not have similar trend. According to our study, AS is dependent on many factors such as the propagation distance, street orientations, distribution of surrounding-building height, and locations of distant high-rise buildings. The distant high-rise buildings act as dominant reflectors/scatterers and may induce specific arrival paths with large azimuthal angles and long delays.

In these part, auto-correlation of DS/AS is found to be decreased as the distance between the two sample points is increased [9], which is a straight-forward result. In references [8,21-22], it is found that there is slightly correlation between DS/AS and d but positive cross correlation between DS and AS. However, based on our measurement results, it seems that DS/AS and d is correlated, which may be affected by street orientation and mainbeam direction of the antenna array. Table 1 summarizes detail DS and AS measurement results found in the above-mentioned references. Based on these studies, it seems that DS and AS and their correlation need to be further studied since they depend on some spatial factors such as building distribution and street orientation. In addition to that, despite many measurement campaigns of TOA and AOA for macrocellular radio channels have been reported in these literatures, studies of their joint statistical property are few. Only one related work is found, which investigates the space-time joint property of indoor environments [23].

Table 1-1 Summary of some representative measurement results of DS and AS. UB, SU, and RU represent mesurement sites of urban, suburban, and rural areas, respectively. h and d represent the BS antenna height and BS-MS distance, respectively. Subscripts “ave” and “min” represent the words of average and minimum, respectively. The definition of ρ(α,β) is given in Equation (6). Rn and fc represent reference number and transmitting frequency, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rn</th>
<th>fn (GHz)</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Measurement setup</th>
<th>Measurement result</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>0.9 UB</td>
<td>h = 20m ~ 93m.</td>
<td>With low BS, DS &lt; 2μs; with high BS, DS &lt; 8 μs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6]</td>
<td>1.8 UB, SU</td>
<td>h = 20m/45m in UB/SU.</td>
<td>DS_{ave} = 115ns/109ns in UB/SU. AS_{ave} = 8°/3° in UB/SU.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>1.873 UB</td>
<td>h = 70m.</td>
<td>DS_{ave} = 500ns. AS_{ave} = 8°.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>1.8 UB, SU</td>
<td>h = 15m/40m in UB/SU.</td>
<td>AS_{ave}=10°/5° in UB/SU. ( \rho(\tau,\phi) = 0.4/0.7; \rho(\tau,d) = 0.47/0.01; \rho(\phi,d) ): small.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>1.8 SU</td>
<td>h = 4.4m. d = 219m.</td>
<td>AS_{ave} = 4.5°.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>1.92 Campus</td>
<td>h = 1.5m (peer-to-peer).</td>
<td>DS_{ave} = 105ns.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>1.8 UB, RU</td>
<td>h = 20m/26m/32m in UB and 27m/47m in SU.</td>
<td>Up to 90% of AS CDF is 14°/24° for the 32m/20m high antenna.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>1.795 UB</td>
<td>h = 5m.</td>
<td>Up to 90% of DS CDF is 1μs/1.3μs in LOS/NLOS.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>1.9 Stadium</td>
<td>Sampled at 307 locations.</td>
<td>DS_{ave} = 314ns/731ns in LOS/NLOS. Up to 90% of DS CDF is 752ns/1085ns.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>1.8 UB</td>
<td>h = 20m/32m.</td>
<td>Median DS range: 0.4 μs ~ 1.3 μs. Median AS range: 5° ~ 15°.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>1.8 UB, RU</td>
<td>h = 1.7m (peer-to-peer).</td>
<td>DS_{ave} = 330ns/200ns in UB/RU.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AS in UB > AS in RU.
Here, extended measurement of TOA and AOA was performed in macrocellular urban and suburban environments for up-link communication. The wideband measurement was performed at 1.95 GHz of bandwidth 50 MHz by using a wideband vector channel sounder, which is a single-input-multiple-output (SIMO) system. From the measurement data, spatio-temporal channel characteristics such as the statistical distributions of TOA, AOA, DS, and AS, and their joint statistical properties are evaluated and discussed.

2. Measurement Setup and Sites

2.1 Measurement system

The RUSK wideband vector channel sounder [24] is employed here to measure directional mobile radio channels. The system diagram of the sounder is illustrated in Figure 1. It consists of a mobile transmitter (Tx) with an omni-directional antenna, and a fixed receiver (Rx) with an 8-element ULA (Uniform Linear Array), where each element is a 0.5 wavelength dipole antenna and the spacing between neighboring elements is 0.4 wavelengths. The effective azimuth range of the ULA is 120°. The mainbeam of the ULA points a fixed direction during the measurement. Periodic multi-frequency excitation of 50 MHz bandwidth is used, i.e., the time resolution of the system is 20ns. Calibration process of the rubidium reference removes the tracking error of the measurement system and provides a reference plane to ensure the accuracy of the absolute delay time.

Fig. 1-1 System diagram of the wideband vector channel sounder.

The channel impulse responses of the antenna array are recorded as “vector snapshots” in
rapid succession. After receiving by the Rx, signals are gathered at the digital receiving unit and sent to a personal computer (PC) to analyze the power, TOA, and AOA of the received impulse response. The AOA is estimated by using Unitary ESPRIT with the sub-array smoothing technology [25]. Then DS and AS represented by notations $\sigma_\tau$ and $\sigma_\phi$, respectively, are given by [26]

$$\sigma_\tau = \sqrt{\tau^2 - \bar{\tau}^2}$$

(1) and

$$\sigma_\phi = \sqrt{\phi^2 - \bar{\phi}^2}$$

(2) with

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{\sum_i P(\tau_i)\tau_i^2}{\sum_i P(\tau_i)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \frac{\sum_i P(\tau_i)\tau_i}{\sum_i P(\tau_i)}$$

(3)

$$\bar{\phi} = \frac{\sum_i P(\phi_i)\phi_i^2}{\sum_i P(\phi_i)} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \frac{\sum_i P(\phi_i)\phi_i}{\sum_i P(\phi_i)}$$

(4)

where $P(\tau_i)$ and $P(\phi_i)$ are the powers of the i-th MPC arriving at the BS with delay $\tau_i$ and AOA $\phi_i$, respectively.

### 2.2 Measurement sites and setup

Extended spatio-temporal channel impulse response measurements were conducted in different macrocellular environments, including urban and suburban areas. A summary of measurement sites is given in Table 1-2. At each site, the Rx and its antenna were mounted on a rooftop; the Tx and its antenna were carried in a trolley with antenna height of 1.8 meter above the ground. The transmission power is equal to 1W at frequency 1.95 GHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>$h$ (m)</th>
<th>Numbers of sampled routes and points</th>
<th>$d$ (m)</th>
<th>Measurement Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large City (Taipei city)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Total number of the sampled routes is 9 and each has 500 meters long approximately. Total sampled points are about 1800. Most of them are in NLOS situation.</td>
<td>50–510</td>
<td>Heavily built up area with an average building height of 10 stories. The maximum one is 26 stories. Each story has a height of about 3.5 meter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small/Medium City (Chungli city)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Total number of the sampled routes is 4 and each has 250 meters long approximately. Total sampled points are about 400. Most of them are in NLOS situation.</td>
<td>50–320</td>
<td>Typical urban area with an average building height of 6 stories. The maximum one is 16 stories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Total number of the sampled routes is 5 and each has 150 meters long approximately. Total sampled points are about 300. Most of them are in LOS or NLOS situations.</td>
<td>70–360</td>
<td>Small village with buildings of 3–6 stories and gardens with trees and pools.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1-2 Layout of six measured routes in Taipei city. The arrow direction of Rx shows the mainbeam direction of the ULA. Paths 1 to 6 are the six measured routes.

Measurement was done along selected routes with a speed of 10 kilometers per hour. Numerous parked vehicles and trees were lined along the roads in which many types of buildings were involved such as office buildings, schoolhouses, apartments, stores, etc. These buildings have framed windows and flat roofs of 1 to 26 stories with story height about 3.5 meters. Walls of these buildings are made with bricks, reinforced concrete, and/or glass. The layout of six measured routes in Taipei city is illustrated in Figure 1-2.

3. Measurement Analysis

3.1 TOA and AOA Statistics

3.1.1 Probability density distribution

Well-known statistical models are applied here to study measured TOA and AOA distributions of up-link macrocellular radio channels. An one-sided exponential decaying function and a truncated Laplacian function are adopted to describe TOA's and AOA's probability density distributions, respectively [14,27]. The former and latter functions can be written as

TOA(τ) = 1/τ_σ_1 exp(−τ/τ_σ_1) and

AOA(φ) = (√2/φ_σ_2) exp(−√2 |φ|/φ_σ_2),

respectively. In processing the measured data, each MPC in the power delay-azimuth spectrum is considered if its peak power is greater than −87 dBm and is within 30 dB difference compared with the strongest component of the spectrum due to the dynamic range and sensitivity of the sounding system. Note that each local power delay-azimuth spectrum is derived by averaging over the samples measured within a few tens of wavelength.

Measured and computed AOA probability density distributions (pdds) in Taipei (a large city), Chungli (a small/medium city about 50 Km away from Taipei), and the suburban area are shown in Figures 1-3(a), 1-3(b) and 1-3(c), respectively. Angle spread measured in Taipei city is the largest among all areas. It is found that the proposed function cannot well match the measured AOA distribution in the urban areas especially in the tail region, which is shown in Figures 1-3(a) and 1-3(b).
Fig. 1-3 Measured and computed probability density distributions of AOA for (a) Taipei; (b) Chungli; and (c) the suburban area, where dots and solid line represent the measured and computed results, respectively.

To analyze further, AOA distributions with the samples chosen from different excess delay ranges including 601~1200ns and 1801~2400ns, are plotted in Figures 1-4(a) and 1-4(b), respectively. It is found that the deviation is increased, especially in the tail region, as the excess delay increases. One of the reasons is that the high-rise buildings, which are localized only in some small areas, act as distant major scatterers and induce significant clustered paths at a specific direction. This phenomenon may be more evident in the case of large propagation range, i.e., with large excess delay, since the effective scattering zone becomes large enough to include more site-dependent high-rise buildings.

Fig. 1-4 Dashed line represents the histograms of AOA in Taipei city for excess delay ranges at (a) 601~1200ns and (b) 1801~2400ns. The solid line represents the computed probability density distribution of AOA. Long excess delay leads to large deviation.

Figures 1-5(a), 1-5(b), and 1-5(c) show the measured and computed TOA probability density distributions in Taipei, Chungli, and the suburban area, respectively. It is observed that the proposed function can fit the measured results well. The measured result shows that multipath propagation in the metropolitan area and the suburban leads the largest and the smallest, mean excess delay and delay spread, respectively. It is consistent with others’ research results [19-20]. It is noted that up to 90% of TOA in Taipei, Chungli, and the suburban area are approximately equal to 2400ns, 1500ns, and 1000ns, respectively. This trend that large cities have larger TOA is similar to that reported in [20]. From these figures, it is observed that the TOA spread in the urban area is much larger than that in the suburban area.
3.1.2 Joint probability density distribution

Figures 1-6(a), 1-6(b), and 1-6(c) show the joint TOA and AOA probability density distributions in Taipei, Chungli, and the suburban area, respectively.

Fig. 1-5 Measured and computed probability density distributions of excess delay are represented by dots and solid line, respectively, for (a) Taipei City; (b) Chungli; and (c) the suburban area. Mean excess delays in these three areas are 1708ns, 906ns, and 717ns, respectively.

Figure 6 shows a trend that the AOA spreading width is decreased as the TOA is increased. It is because that the number of the effective paths that have large TOA, i.e., with long propagation range, arriving at the Rx through multiple-reflection-diffraction modes (in transversal plane) is decreased in NLOS situation due to the increase of the number of building blocks (obstacles) between Tx and Rx. Therefore, the path along Tx-Rx direction (in vertical plane) will be dominant as the propagation distance increases since both the number and magnitude of the MPCs propagating through the transversal planes become small, which decreases the azimuthal spreading.

Fig. 1-6 Probability density distribution of joint TOA and AOA in (a) Taipei City; (b) Chungli; and (c) the suburban area.

To further investigate the joint space and time property, the correlation coefficient between two channel parameters is introduced and given by [9]

\[
\rho(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (\alpha(n) - \mu_{\alpha}) (\beta(n) - \mu_{\beta})}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (\alpha(n) - \mu_{\alpha})^2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\beta(n) - \mu_{\beta})^2}}
\]  

(6)
where \((n)\) and \((n)\) are the spatio-temporal channel parameters of the n-th received MPC including TOA, AOA, DS, and AS. \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are the mean value of \((n)\) and \((n)\), respectively. With this equation, the cross-correlation coefficients between TOA and AOA in Taipei, Chungli, and the suburban area are evaluated from the measurement data and are equal to 0.13, 0.15, and 0.11, respectively, which shows that TOA and AOA are slightly correlated.

3.2 r.m.s. Delay Spread (DS) and r.m.s. Azimuth Spread (AS)

3.2.1 Cumulative distribution function

The parameters, DS and AS, measure delay and azimuthal dispersions of radio channel due to multipath propagation. From Figure 1-7(a), which shows the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the DS, up to 90% of DS are approximately equal to 420ns, 310ns and 150ns, for Taipei, Chungli, and the suburban area, respectively. This trend is consistent with other previous results [19-20]. Notice that the last 10% of DS in the suburban area covers a wide dispersion range from 150ns to 450ns, which is larger than that of other environments. It reveals the fact that the number of high-rise buildings in the suburban area, which act as the dominant scatterers and may induce those large delay spreads, is smaller than other environments. Therefore, only small chance of large delay spread occurs in the suburban area.

![Fig. 1-7 Measured CDF of (a) DS; and (b) AS in Taipei, Chungli, and the suburban area. Mean DSs in three areas are 278ns, 185ns, and 97ns, respectively; mean ASs in three areas are 18.2°, 16.6°, and 13°, respectively.](image)

The AS in the urban environment is usually larger than that in the suburban environment, which is shown in Figure 1-7(b). Here, up to 90% of AS are approximately equal to 30°, 30° and 24° in Taipei, Chungli, and the suburban area, respectively. It seems that DS is more area-dependent than AS according to the evidence that the relative difference of the three curves in Figure 1-7(a) is much larger than that in Figure 1-7(b).

3.2.2 Joint statistic property

The cross-correlation coefficient between DS/AS and \(d\) for the three areas are summarized in Table 1-3. It is observed that there is no strong correlation between DS and \(d\) in all areas, which is similar as the results reported in [8] and [21]. However, the correlation between AS and \(d\) is higher than DS in three areas. It is also noticed that the correlation between DS/AS and \(d\) in the urban area is higher than that in the suburban area.
Table 1-3 Cross-correlation coefficient between DS/AS and $d$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\rho(\alpha,\beta)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large city (Taipei)</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.3833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small/medium city (Chungli)</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.2993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.0854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large city (Taipei)</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.4656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small/medium city (Chungli)</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.4567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.3323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1-4 Cross-correlation coefficient between DS/AS and $d$ versus included angle between the ULA mainbeam direction and that of the sampled route.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Included angle</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\rho(\alpha,\beta)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large city</td>
<td>$\theta = 90^\circ$</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.6147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large city</td>
<td>$\theta = 0^\circ$</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.3469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small/medium city</td>
<td>$\theta = 90^\circ$</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.6195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small/medium city</td>
<td>$\theta = 0^\circ$</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.2411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>$\theta = 90^\circ$</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.6341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>$\theta = 0^\circ$</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.2335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large city</td>
<td>$\theta = 90^\circ$</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.6333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large city</td>
<td>$\theta = 0^\circ$</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.4345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small/medium city</td>
<td>$\theta = 90^\circ$</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.2176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small/medium city</td>
<td>$\theta = 0^\circ$</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.6712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>$\theta = 90^\circ$</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>$\theta = 0^\circ$</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>0.1591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is found that the cross-correlation between DS/AS and $d$ will be affected by the included-angle between the ULA mainbeam direction and the direction along the sampled route. In Table 1-4, it is observed that, while the both directions are perpendicular to each other, the correlation between AS and $d$ in both the urban and suburban areas is larger than the case while the both directions are in parallel. It is easy to understand that the AS is decreased with distance in the parallel case since the main propagation paths are confined in the measured street. In the Table, it is also observed that the correlation between DS and $d$ is low in the suburban area and may be high in the urban area dependent on the street orientation.

Figures 1-8(a), 1-8(b), and 1-8(c) show the measured joint probability density distributions of DS and AS in Taipei, Chungli, and the suburban area, respectively. The DS and AS in these areas are dependent with their cross-correlation coefficients larger than 0.4.

Fig. 1-8 Joint probability density distribution of DS and AS for (a) Taipei; (b) Chungli; and (c) the suburban area. The cross-correlation coefficients between DS and AS in these areas are 0.53, 0.44, and 0.41, respectively.
This phenomenon is more evident when the sampled area is narrow down to a route. Figures 1-9(a) and 1-9(b) show the measured DS and AS along Shinyi Road in Taipei, respectively. It is found that along the same route the AS and DS are dependent with their cross-correlation coefficient equal to 0.67. The both figures reveal that DS and AS are correlated with d when d is larger than 100 meters. Figures 1-10(a) and 1-10(b) illustrate the measured DS and AS along Dunhua South Road in Taipei, respectively. In this case, the cross-correlation coefficient between DS and AS is equal to 0.61 and it follows a similar trend (versus d) as that in Figure 1-9.

Fig. 1-9 Measured (a) DS; and (b) AS along Shinyi Road in Taipei city.

Fig. 1-10 Measured (a) DS; and (b) AS along Dunhua South Road in Taipei city.

4. Conclusion

In this chapter, quantitative analysis of spatio-temporal radio channel characteristics has been performed using the extended AOA and TOA measurement data in macrocellular urban and suburban environments. The measurement was carried out by using a wideband vector channel sounder at 1.95 GHz of 50 MHz bandwidth. It is verified that a probability density distribution of TOA for urban and suburban environments can be described by using a one-side exponential decaying function. It is also found that a truncated Laplacian function may not well describe the probability density distribution of AOA, especially in the tail region.

Joint statistical property between different channel parameters is studied also. It is found that the AOA spreading width is decreased as the TOA is increased. The cross-correlation between TOA and AOA is low. The DS and AS in urban area are larger than that in the suburban area. These two parameters will be affected by the included angle between the mainbeam direction of the ULA and that of the sampled route. Cross-correlation of DS/AS under different conditions is analyzed. Generally, cross-correlation coefficient in the urban area is larger than that in the suburban area. In the same area, the correlation coefficient between AS and d will be higher as the direction of the measured route is perpendicular to that of the ULA mainbeam than the parallel case. However, the correlation between DS and d is not so strong as the former. In the urban area, cross-correlation between DS and AS is high, which is not necessary to be affected by d.
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PART II  A Physical and a Physical-Statistical Spatio-Temporal Radio Channel Model for Macrocellular Environments

Abstract

This part presents a new hybrid spatio-temporal channel model for macrocellular radio channels in urban environments, which combines a 3-D (Three-dimensional) site-specific model with a statistical model. The former model employs a deterministic approach with the ray-tracing method to describe the direct wave, specular reflection waves, and single and multiple-over-rooftop diffracted waves. The latter model applies a statistical approach to describe scattered fields due to local scatterers around mobile stations and effective scatterers on the illuminated walls of dominant buildings. It is proposed that the effective scatterers are uniformly distributed on the walls with a given effective scatterer number density, which is determined by a measurement-based method. By comparing the computed TOA (Time-of-Arrival), AOA (Angle-of-Arrival), r.m.s. angle spread and r.m.s. delay spread of multipath propagation in urban environment with the measured ones, the hybrid spatio-temporal radio channel model has been proved to be effective and accurate.

1. Introduction

To add the capacity of third-generation-and-beyond communication systems, smart antennas have utilized the SDMA (Space Division Multiple Access) technique [1]. The technique adaptively processes the transmitting array signal at base stations (BS) to increase the signal gain of a mobile receiver by pointing its main beam toward that receiver and to reduce the overall interference by pointing its nulls to other mobile receivers [2]. For the radio communication systems with SDMA, the spatial distribution of the multipath components is important to determine the performance of the radio link [3]. Therefore, to apply this technique, spatial and temporal dispersions of radio channels are required, which can be provided by spatio-temporal radio propagation models.

Many spatio-temporal models have been developed based on either simple geometric or measurement models and most of them are statistical models. Overview of these models is given in [4]. In Lee’s model [5], effective scatterers distribute uniformly in a circle ring whose center is the mobile station and radius is 100–200 wavelength. The effective scatterer represents the effect of many scatterers within a region. The Geometrically Based Circular Model (GBCM) for macrocellular environment assumes that scatterers distribute within a circle whose center is the mobile station (MS) with a chosen radius [6-7]. In Gaussian Wide Sense Stationary Uncorrelated Scattering (GWSSUS) model, scatterers are grouped into many clusters [8-11]. However, GWSSUS model does not indicate how to decide the number and the location of clusters. Raleigh’s model [12-13] that is called time-varying vector channel model provides small scale Rayleigh fading and theoretical spatial correlation properties. The propagation environment considered in Raleigh’s model is densely populated with large dominant reflectors. The above models employ a statistical approach and cannot describe the channel characteristics for specific sites. The site-specific model [14-17] applying the ray-tracing method, a deterministic approach, can overcome this deficiency. However, the site-specific model fails to properly describe the effects of randomly positioned scatterers and diffuse scattering phenomena, which may have a significant impact on the random nature of received fields.

In this part, a novel hybrid (physical and statistical) spatio-temporal channel model is pre-
presented by combining a 3-D site-specific deterministic model with a geometrically based statistical model. The former model describes the direct wave, specular reflection waves, and single and multiple-over-rooftop diffracted waves due to ground, building walls and edges with a deterministic approach. However, it neglects the scattered fields due to randomly positioned local scatterers around the mobile station (MS), and due to scattered objects being parts of the buildings such as windows, decorative masonry, electric cables, heating pipes, etc. The latter scattered fields may be dominant in the received signal especially in the NLOS (Non Line-of-Sight) propagation situation. Measurement results also show that the multipath components due to these scatterers arrive in groups. In each group, the paths are clustered in space-time domain [18]. Therefore, the latter model applies a statistical approach to describe scattered fields due to local scatterers around mobile stations and effective scatterers on the illuminated walls of dominant buildings. It is proposed that the effective scatterers are uniformly distributed on the walls with a given effective scatterer number density, which is determined by a measurement-based method.

With employing a physical and statistical approach, the hybrid model can effectively predict the field point-by-point by using the site-specific model and can describe the random effect due to the environment by using the statistical model. Hence the model may be linked to specific locations because of its deterministic nature or may be applied to a general situation because of its statistical nature.

2. Measurement Setup, Sites and Data Analysis

A. Measurement Setup

For measurement of time-varying and directional mobile radio channels, a RUSK vector channel sounder is employed [19], whose system diagram is illustrated in the Figure 2-1. The sounding system consists of a mobile transmitter (Tx) with an omni-directional antenna, and a fixed receiver (Rx) with an 8-element ULA (Uniform Linear Array), where each element is a 0.5 wavelength dipole antenna and the spacing between neighboring elements is 0.4 wavelength. Periodic multi-frequency excitation with 120MHz bandwidth is used, i.e., the time resolution is 8.3ns. The Doppler bandwidth of 20kHz allows complete statistical analysis of the time-varying radio channel with respect to different azimuthal directions of the impinging waves. Tx/Rx synchronization is maintained by two rubidium references. Calibration process of the rubidium reference removes the tracking error of the measurement system and provides a reference plane to ensure the accuracy of the absolute delay.

The channel impulse responses of the antenna array are recorded as “vector snapshots” in rapid succession. After receiving by Rx, signals are gathered at the DRU (Digital Receiving Unit) and are sent to a PC (personal computer) to analyze the received power, TOA (Time-of-Arrival), and AOA (Angle-of-Arrival) of the received impulse response. The AOA is estimated by using Unitary ESPRIT with the sub-array smoothing technology. An overview about array signal processing including the estimation of the AOA and a comparison of ESPRIT with other algorithms can be found in [20].

The receiving array antenna was mounted on a rooftop with the transmission power 1W at frequency 2.44 GHz. The Tx antenna was carried in a trolley at a height of 1.8 meter above the ground. Measurement was done at fixed points and along selected routes with a walking speed. The measurements were carried out between 10:00 A.M. ~ 8:00 P.M. with pedestrians around and vehicles passing by.

B. Measurement Sites

Spatio-temporal channel impulse response measurement was performed along six different
roads in Taipei city and the layout of the measured routes is shown in Figure 2-2. In the figure, the position of ULA, i.e., Rx, is shown, which was located on a roof of 40 meter height above the ground. The main beam of the ULA was set to point a fixed direction during the measurement. The propagation condition along each route is listed in Table 1. Numerous parked vehicles and trees were lined along the roads. Many types of buildings were involved such as office buildings, schoolhouses, apartments, stores, etc. These buildings have framed windows and flat roofs of 1 to 17 stories height with 3.5 meters height of each story. Walls of these buildings were made with materials such as brick, concrete, and/or glass.

C. Measurement Analysis

(a) Propagation modes

Figures 2-3(a) and 2-3(b) show time variant AADS (Aperture Averaged Delay Spectrum) and DAAS (Delay Averaged Azimuth Spectrum) measurement results along route 1. The AADS is calculated by averaging the squared magnitude of the impulse response over all the array elements. The impulse response is computed by using the inverse Fourier transformation of the measured complex frequency transfer function [19]. The DAAS is computed by averaging the squared magnitude of delay-azimuth spectrums (DASs) over delay time. Here, a delay-azimuth spectrum is determined by processing a set of eight-array element impulse responses with the Unitary ESPRIT algorithm.

It is observed that the direct path has the largest received power, as shown in Figure 2-3(a) and Group A in Figure 2-3(b). The paths with long delay time shown in Figure 2-3(a), corresponding to the paths shown in Group B of the Figure 3(b), are due to multiple-reflection of the street walls. Figure 2-4(a) shows the measured DAAS along route 2, which is alone the street with similar building heights of the both sides. Two received path groups (Groups A and B) are observed, which have similar angle dispersions but different AOAs. The ray-tracing method using in the site-specific model can predict their path trajectories as shown in Figure 2-4(b). It is found that Group A is resulted from the rooftop-diffracted waves (path trajectory Tx-D1-Rx, shown in Figure 2-4(b)), and the wave reflected by the walls and then diffracted by the rooftop produces Group B (path trajectory Tx-B-D2-Rx). Group C represented the corner-diffracted waves due to distant high-rise buildings (path Tx-E-Rx). It is noted that the other two propagation paths, Tx-D1-Rx and Tx-C-D1-Rx, have the same azimuthal angle, and may not be distinguishable and are clustering together if their propagation delay difference is small.

From the measurement results, several major propagation mechanisms are observed, which include (1) the direct wave; (2) multiple-reflected waves; (3) waves guided by street canyons; (4) waves diffracted over multiple-rooftop; and (5) waves reflected and scattered by high-rise buildings. The first two modes are important for LOS propagation and the last four modes are critical to NLOS propagation. With these major mechanisms, macrocellular channel models can be simplified, especially for the site-specific model shown in the section 3.B.

(b) DAS cluster phenomenon

To investigate DAS cluster phenomenon, measurement of DAS in a simple propagation environment was conducted. Geometry of the BS and the MS positions and propagation environment are shown in Figure 2-5(a). With the measured DAS shown in Figure 2-5(b), three obvious DAS clusters I, II and III are found. In each cluster, the region with lighter color represent the stronger field strength. To analyze the cause of these clusters, the ray-tracing method is applied to trace the propagation paths and to determine the positions of associated reflected-points or diffracted-points on the building. The result shows that peak A of cluster I
and peak B of cluster III are due to the direct wave and the specular reflected wave of the building wall, respectively. The geometry of the traced paths is shown in Figure 2-5(a). Therefore, DAS cluster I is interpreted as the summation of the direct wave and its scattered waves by the local scatterers around the MS. DAS cluster II represents the diffused/reflection waves due to ground. The specular reflected wave of the building wall and the scattered waves due to building edges and the objects being part of the building such as windows, bricks, electric cables, etc., yield DAS cluster III.

Notice that, in Figure 2-5(b), the measured maximum time dispersion of the DAS cluster III is equal to \(0.096 \, \mu s\), which is also equal to the maximum TOA difference between paths 1 and 2 as shown in Figure 2-5(a), and these two paths have arrived at the BS with the same azimuthal angle. So, their TOA difference depends on the vertical dimension of the reflected wall. Furthermore, the angle dispersion of DAS cluster III is approximately 110 degrees, which is determined by the horizontal view angle of the reflected wall seen from the BS. Hence, the vertical and horizontal dimensions of the reflected wall can determine the time and angle dispersions of the DAS cluster, respectively.

(c) Spatial channel modeling and validation

According to the above analysis, it is found that most multipath components of DAS cluster III are mainly due to building-wall reflection. The diffraction wave of the building edge, which is not so obvious compared with other scattered waves due to the discontinuities on the wall, may be considered together with the wall reflection and scattering. Therefore, it is proposed that the size of effective scattering zone is equal to the wall size of the dominant building. Scattering effect of the zone can be modeled by introducing effective scatterers into it, which forms a scatterer cluster [3]. It is assumed that effective scatterers are uniformly distributed on the whole wall surface. Figures 2-6(a) shows the comparison between measured and computed DASs due to scattering of the dominant wall surface when the MS is located on the extended center axis of the building surface. The shaded area in the figure, i.e., the computed DAS, is determined by inserting uniformly distributed scatterers with density of 1 scatterer per unit meter square. The comparison result illustrates that our spatial channel model can properly predict the received DAS. Similar results are found in Figures 2-6(b) and 6(c), where the MS is moved to the left and right sides of the extended center axis, respectively. The DAS cluster center is moved and its shape is changed as the MS moves.

3. The Hybrid Spatio-Temporal Channel Model

A. Hybrid Model

In the present hybrid model, the received electric field after the antenna is determined by a superposition of deterministic and randomly scattered statistical rays, which is given by

\[ E_r = E_{dr} + E_{sr} \]  (1)

\[ E_{dr} = \rho^* \sum_i \bar{E}_{di} \]

where \(E_{dr}\) represents the summation of each deterministic ray field \(E_{di}\), which is computed by the site-specific model. \(\rho^*\) is the complex conjugation of unit polarization vector of the receiving antenna. \(E_{sr}\) represents the summation of the scattered fields. The scattered field is due to the local scatterers around the MS and the effective scatterers on the wall surfaces of the dominant buildings. The geometry of deterministic and random rays of the hybrid model is illustrated in Figure 2-7. The effective scatterers are uniformly distrib-
uted on the whole scattering wall surface, the gray area in the figure. Here, single-bounce assumption is made [21-22].

**B. 3-D site-specific model**

The 3-D site-specific model includes multipath propagation in both vertical and transversal planes [14-17]. The vertical plane is defined by the transmitter and the receiver positions and is perpendicular to the earth surface, where propagation paths due to direct wave, ground-reflected wave, and multiple rooftop-diffracted waves are considered. The transversal plane is perpendicular to the vertical plane, where propagation paths due to wall-reflected waves and corner-diffracted waves are considered. The complex received field of i-th ray propagating on the vertical plane or transversal plane is given by

\[ E_{di} = E_0 \cdot G_n \cdot G_n \cdot L_i(d) \cdot f_d \cdot \prod_j D_{ij} \]  

or

\[ E_{di} = E_0 \cdot G_n \cdot G_n \cdot L_i(d) \cdot L_D(\phi_i) \cdot f_r \cdot \prod_j \Gamma_{ij} \]  

respectively. \( E_0 \) is the electric field one meter away from the transmitting antenna, \( G_n \) and \( G_n \) are the field-amplitude radiation patterns of the transmitting and the receiving antennas, respectively. \( L_i(d) \) and \( L_D(\phi_i) \) are the path loss with a unfold length \( d \) and the corner diffraction loss with a diffraction angle \( \phi_i \) [23], respectively. \( \prod_j D_{ij} \) is used to compute the multiple diffraction over the rooftops and the method of RTM (Ray-Transmission Matrix) is employed [24]. \( \prod_j \Gamma_{ij} \) computes multiple-reflected waves by walls. Since some of the energy is scattered by the effective scatterers, \( f_r \) and \( f_d \) are the attenuation factors due to diffused reflection and multi-diffraction [25], respectively. These losses can be included in the scattering field. The ray-fixed coordinate systems and the edge-fixed coordinate systems [26-27] are applied in evaluation of reflection and diffraction coefficients, respectively. In the LOS situation, the ground-reflected wave is considered and is given by

\[ E_{di} = E_0 \cdot G_n \cdot G_n \cdot L_i(d) \cdot f_d \cdot \Gamma_g \]  

where \( \Gamma_g \) is the ground-reflection coefficient. Equation (4) can represent the direct wave by setting \( \Gamma_g = 1 \) and \( f_d = 1 \).

The objects such as buildings in cellular environments are modelled by a number of facets and edges of the order of several hundreds. The ray tracing technique is used to trace the major propagation paths from the transmitter location to the receiver location and the image method is applied to determine the intersections of rays and the objects of the environment, which will be used repeatedly many times in the computation.

Note that, according to reference [28], the authors found that the effect of double diffraction in the transversal plane is much smaller than that of single diffraction and other double effects such as double reflection, which is verified by comparing the computation and measurement...
results. The authors also claimed that it would take much longer computational time if the double diffraction is considered. Hence, based on the measurement result analysis in section II.C and to save the computational time, only the dominant propagation modes, except double diffraction in the transversal plane, are considered in the proposed model.

C. Statistical model

\[ E_{sr} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} E_i^c + E_{local} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( E_i^c \) represents the scattered field due to the i-th scattering wall surface. \( M \) represents the total number of scattering walls, whose positions are determined the ray-tracing method applied in the site-specific model. \( E_i^c \) is given by

\[ E_i^c = S_i^c \sum_{n=1}^{N_{eff}} a_n \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \( S_i^c = E_{di} \) is the maximum effective scattered field strength from i-th wall surface. \( a_n \) represents the complex scattering coefficient due to n-th scatterer on the associated wall surface. It is assumed to have uniformly distributed amplitude and phase in \((0,1)\) and \((0, \frac{\pi}{2})\), respectively. \( N_{eff} \) represents the total number of effective scatterers on the associated wall surface.

\( E_{local} \) is the scattered field due to the local scatterers around the MS such as pedestrians and vehicles. The local scatterers are assumed to distribute uniformly in a sphere centered at the MS \([6-7,21-22]\). Here, its diameter is assumed to be equal to the width of the street where the MS is located. The formulation of \( E_{local} \) is the same as that of \( E_i^c \) except that \( S_i^c \) is replaced by the direct wave for the LOS situation or the multiple rooftop-diffracted wave for the NLOS situation.

From the analysis of the DAS cluster phenomenon, the total number of effective scatterers on each wall surface is given by

\[ N_{eff} = \Delta \theta \cdot \Delta r \cdot D_{scatterer} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where \( \Delta \theta \) and \( \Delta r = \frac{h \cdot c}{\lambda} \) represent the maximum angular and time dispersions dependent on the horizontal and the vertical dimensions of the associated wall, respectively. \( \Delta \theta \) is determined by the width of the associated wall, whose height is equal to \( h \). \( c \) is the light speed in free space. \( D_{scatterer} \) is the effective scatterer number density (ESND) per unit \( \text{delay} \cdot \text{angle} \). Several investigations \([21-22]\) have suggested values for the size of the scattering zone and the number of effective scatterer. However, it seems that value of these parameters have not been verified with conscientious experiments.
D. ESND (Effective Scatterer Number Density)

ESND is determined by a measurement-based method and the procedure to determine one sampled value is given as following:

1. Recognize DAS clusters from a measured time average delay-azimuth spectrum at a sampled point.
2. Identify how many peaks in each DAS cluster and its size.
3. Assume that each identified peak is due to one scatterer, since single bounce assumption is made. Hence, the total number of scatterers is equal to the total number of the identified peaks in a cluster.
4. Calculate sampled ESND with the scatterer number divided by the corresponding DAS cluster size.

It is noted that the total number of the peaks in procedure (2) is varied with the signal bandwidth. Mean value of $D_{scatterer}$ versus the signal bandwidth is shown in Figure 2-8, which has been averaged over approximately 2000 samples taken from the measurement data along routes 2~5. It is observed that mean value of $D_{scatterer}$ is proportional to the signal bandwidth. Notice that the procedure above can also be applied to determine the ESND of the MS local scatterer.

E. Comparison of the computed and measured results

Computed and measured PASs (power azimuth spectrums) at a sampled point of route 2 are shown in Figures 2-9(a) and 2-9(c), respectively. From the comparison, the site-specific model shows a good prediction accuracy since the computed pulse peaks a~f match the measured one well. In the subfigure of Figure 2-9(a) the trajectory of major propagation paths determined by the ray-tracing method is shown, which helps in determining the cause of the received peaks. With the computed and the measured PDSs (power delay spectrums) shown in Figures 2-9(b) and 2-9(d), respectively, the DAS cluster phenomenon was obvious in the experimental result when comparing cluster group b in the both figures. The comparison demonstrates that the site-specific model can predict accurately the AOAs and TOAs of the major paths but may not predict the DAS cluster phenomenon. Figures 2-9(e) and 2-9(f) illustrate one sample of PAS and PDS computed by using the statistical sub-model, respectively. Here, both $fr$ and $fd$ are equal to 0.67, which is determined from our measurement result and its magnitude is similar as that in [25].

F. Validation of Hybrid Model

The hybrid model is validated by comparing computed r.m.s. AS (root mean square of angle spread) and r.m.s. DS (root mean square of delay spread) with the measured ones. Definitions of r.m.s. DS ($\sigma_f$) and r.m.s. AS ($\sigma_\theta$) can be found in [26]. Since the four measured routes (routes 2~5) have been selected to analyze the DAS cluster phenomenon and to determine the mean value of $D_{scatterer}$ as shown in Figure 2-8, the left measurement data (routes 1 and 6) is used to validate the hybrid model (the blind test approach is adopted).

Computed and measured r.m.s. AS and r.m.s. DS along route 1 are shown in Figures 2-10(a) and 2-10(b) as a function of the measured distance D, respectively. In Figure 2-10(a), r.m.s. AS computed by the site-specific model has the similar trend as the measured one but the model underestimates the result since no scattering effect is considered. It is observed that the
result computed by the hybrid model matches measured result well because that the scattering effect due to the scatterer cluster is included. Note that, from Figure 2-8, $D_{\text{scatterer}}$ is equal to $184/(\mu s \cdot rad)$ of 120 MHz signal bandwidth. Since most sampled points along this route are in LOS situation, the direct wave is dominant in the received field and the scattering and diffused-reflection effects have been suppressed. Therefore, r.m.s. AS and r.m.s. DS computed by the both models are close to the measured result.

Computed and measured r.m.s. AS and r.m.s. DS along route 6 (NLOS propagation) are shown in Figures 2-11(a) and 2-11(b), respectively, as a function of the measured distance. The figure reveals obviously that the hybrid model has a better prediction accuracy than the site-specific model.

Based on the above comparisons, although the improvement of the hybrid model is not much, it is believed that the hybrid approach will not only make channels close to reality but also will add a dimension in exploring the random nature of radio channels. It will be helpful to enable some advanced technologies such as smart antennas and MIMO (Multiple-Input-Multiple-Output), which need spatial and spatial-temporal characterization and their joint behavior of the signal propagating through random channels.

4. Conclusion

This part presents a new hybrid (physical and statistical) spatio-temporal radio channel model for the macrocellular environment in urban areas. The model not only accurately estimates the channel parameters such as TOA, AOA, r.m.s. DS, and r.m.s. AS but also adds a dimension in exploring the random nature of radio channels. It combines a 3-D site-specific model with a statistical model. The former model using the ray-tracing method can accurately predict TOAs and AOAs of dominant multipaths such as direct, reflected, and diffracted waves. The latter model applies a statistical approach to describe scattered fields due to local scatterers around mobile stations and effective scatterers uniformly distributed on the scattering walls of dominant buildings. These fields are observed as the DAS cluster phenomenon in spatial and temporal domains through measurement. In our model, the effective scatterer number of the associated scattering walls varies when the MS moves, which is different from the previous proposed papers [21-22] and could be applied for different macrocellular environments. By comparing the computed spatio-temporal channel characteristics such as TOA, AOA, r.m.s. DS, and r.m.s. AS with the measured ones, the hybrid model is proved to be an effective and accurate spatio-temporal radio channel model.

Table 1 Measured route parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route number</th>
<th>Measured length (m)</th>
<th>Street width (m)</th>
<th>Propagation condition</th>
<th>Distance between Tx and Rx (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>50~310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>230~380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>405~525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>345~505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>220~430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NLOS&amp;LOS</td>
<td>145~390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2-1 System diagram of the RUSK vector channel sounder.

Fig. 2-2 Layout of six measured routes in Taipei city, where the height of each building along the roads is marked (unit: meter). The arrow direction of Rx shows the main beam direction of the ULA.

Fig. 2-3 (a) Time variant aperture averaged delay spectrum (AADS) along route 1. (b) Time variant delay averaged azimuth spectrum (DAAS) along route 1.
Fig. 2-4 (a) Time variant DAAS along route 2. (b) Ray trajectory of the propagation paths at the sampled point corresponding to the measured position.

Fig. 2-5 (a) Geometry of propagation environment. Several major propagation paths are shown. (b) DAS clusters I, II, and III are shown. Each cluster is resulted from the direct/ reflected/diffracted/scattered waves having similar spatio-temporal characterization.
Fig. 2-6 (a) Measured and computed DASs due to scattering of the dominant wall surface when the MS is located on the extended center axis of the building surface. (b) Measured and computed DASs when the MS moved to the left side of the extended center axis. (c) Measured and computed DASs when the MS moved to the right side of the extended center axis. The shape and size of DAS change when the MS is moved.
Fig. 2-7 Effective scatterer density geometry of the hybrid model. The effective scatterers are assumed uniformly distributed in the effective scattering zones (the gray areas). These zones include dominant building walls and local area around the MS.

Fig. 2-8 Mean value of $D_{\text{scatterer}}$ versus transmitted signal bandwidth. The dash line is the linear regression fitting line.
Fig. 2-9 Computed and measured results of spatio-temporal channel characteristics at a sampled point of route 2. (a) Computed power-azimuth spectrum by the site-specific model; (b) Computed power-delay spectrum by the site-specific model; (c) Measured power-azimuth spectrum; (d) Measured power-delay spectrum; (e) A sample of computed power-azimuth spectrum by the statistical model; (f) A sample of computed power-delay spectrum by the statistical model.
Fig. 2-10 Computed and measured (a) r.m.s. angle spread and (b) r.m.s. delay spread versus measured distance D along route 1.

Fig. 2-11 Computed and measured (a) r.m.s. angle spread and (b) r.m.s. delay spread versus measured distance D along route 6.
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Part III  Antenna-Array Spacing on Outdoor MIMO Capacity

Abstract
In this part, effect of antenna-array element spacing on 4x4 MIMO capacity is investigated through extensive measurement in macrocellular environments. It is found the increase of the array element spacing decreases the correlation among spatial channels, i.e., increasing the capacity. It is also found that the increase of signal bandwidth will increase the signal resolution and hence number of MPCs increases, which enhances the capacity.

1. Introduction
The explosive growths of the wireless industry and the internet are creating a huge market opportunity for wireless multimedia services. Limited internet access at low speeds (a few tens of kilo-bits per second at most) is already available as an enhancement to some second-generation (2G) cellular systems. However those systems were originally designed with the sole purpose of providing voice services and at most short messaging, but not high-speed data transfers. To increase system capacity of mobile networks of 3G and B3G communication systems, smart antennas have utilized SDMA (Space Division Multiple Access) technique to increase signal gain and to reduce interference. Multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) systems, which have multiple antenna elements at both the transmitter and receiver, are proposed [1]. Large capacity is obtained via the potential decorrelation among the MIMO radio spatial channels within the limited radio spectrum allocated to these systems, which can be exploited to create many parallel subchannels [2]. However, the potential capacity gain is highly dependent on the number of multipath components (MCPs) and angular spread of AOA (Angle-of-Arrival) due to propagation. Fully correlated MIMO radio channels only offers one subchannel capacity and completely decorrelated spatial radio channels potentially offer multiple subchannels capacity depending on the antenna array arrangement and propagation effects. There are some related research works on [2],[3],[4] and [5]. In this part, impacts of propagation conditions such as LOS, OLOS and NLOS, propagation distance, local scatterer distributions, signal bandwidth and antenna arrangement on 4x4 MIMO capacity are investigated through extensive measurement in the campus of National Chiao-Tung University (NCTU) in Hsin-Chu.

2. Capacity of MIMO Systems
For an M x N MIMO system operating in a radio environment, its capacity is given by
\[ C_{\text{MIMO}} = \log_2 \det(I_M + \frac{\rho}{N} HH^\dagger) \text{ bps/Hz} \]
where \( H \) represents the channel matrix of size M x N. \( I_M \) represent MxM identity matrix, \( M \) is the number of receive array. \([\cdot]^\dagger\) means the operator of conjugate and transpose.

For completely decorrelated spatial radio channels,
\[ C_{\text{MIMO}} = k \cdot \log_2 (1+\rho) \text{ bps/Hz} \quad k=\min\{M,N\} \]
which shows that the capacity grows linearly with \( k=\min\{M,N\} \) rather than logarithmically.
3. Measurement set-up and campaign

In the measurement, the MIMO capacity is calculated with $\rho = 30$ dB and a 4x4 MIMO channel matrix, $H$, is realized by the RUSK channel sounder [6] at 2.44 GHz. The sounder has an 8-element uniform linear patch array (ULA) with 120 degree 3dB beamwidth and 0.4 wavelength spacing serving as the receiving antenna. The monopole Tx antenna (single element) was moved along a straight line during the measurement. Four neighboring Tx positions are grouped to form a virtual 4-element Tx linear array without mutual coupling.

Four measurement routes are planned and are all located at the campus of NCTU as shown in Figure 3-1. The total route lengths of route nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4 are 50 m, 170 m, 200 m, and 250 m, respectively. Along each route three propagation conditions, LOS (Line-of-Sight), OLOS (Obstructed LOS) and NLOS (Non LOS), are included. The corresponding measured route length for each condition may be different. For an example, route sectors 0m-30m, 30m-35m, and 35m-50m are the ranges of the OLOS, OLOS and NLOS conditions for route no.1, respectively. The OLOS condition means that the direct path is shaded or blocked by trees, pedestrians or vehicles. In the NLOS situation, the direct path is blocked by buildings.

4. Measured result analysis

A. Effect of array element spacing

Figure 3-2 illustrates three CDFs of the measured MIMO capacity along route no.1 for the three propagation conditions. It is found that when the element spacing increases, the capacity increases due to the increase of decorrelation effect between the elements. The results along other routes demonstrate the same phenomenon for all propagation conditions and the averaged capacity along each route is summarized and shown in Table 3-1.

B. Effect of radio bandwidth

Figure 3-3 shows the measured maximum, mean and minimum values of the capacity versus the radio bandwidth for all the propagation conditions along route no.1. It is found that the capacity increases as the radio bandwidth increases. It is because that larger radio bandwidth resolves more multipath components and leads to less spatial correlation.

5. Conclusion

Large capacity is obtained via the potential decorrelation among MIMO spatial radio channels. A fully correlated MIMO radio channel only offers one equivalent subchannel for transmission and a completely decorrelated MIMO spatial radio channel potentially offer multiple subchannels depending on the antenna array arrangement and propagation effects. It is found the increase of the array element spacing decreases the correlation among spatial channels, i.e., increasing the capacity. It is also found that the capacity increases as the radio bandwidth increases. It is because that larger radio bandwidth resolves more multipath components and leads to less spatial correlation.
Fig. 3-1 Measurement routes shown in the NCTU campus map.

Fig. 3-2 Three CDFs of the measured MIMO capacity for the LOS condition along route no.1.

Fig 3-3. The maximum, minimum and mean values of capacity versus the radio bandwidth for all propagation conditions along route no.1.

Table 3-1. Averaged capacity [bps/Hz] for different array element spacing. Three propagations along each route are considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prop. Cond.</th>
<th>array element spacing</th>
<th>Route no.1</th>
<th>Route no.2</th>
<th>Route no.3</th>
<th>Route no.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>10 $\lambda$</td>
<td>13.1102</td>
<td>23.258</td>
<td>21.7373</td>
<td>24.2358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 $\lambda$</td>
<td>14.0515</td>
<td>23.6484</td>
<td>22.4905</td>
<td>25.8312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 $\lambda$</td>
<td>14.7372</td>
<td>24.0421</td>
<td>24.0124</td>
<td>26.3559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLOS</td>
<td>10 $\lambda$</td>
<td>14.9382</td>
<td>24.623</td>
<td>23.8253</td>
<td>25.8532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 $\lambda$</td>
<td>16.6158</td>
<td>25.2158</td>
<td>24.8374</td>
<td>29.0958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 $\lambda$</td>
<td>17.7987</td>
<td>25.6486</td>
<td>27.7044</td>
<td>30.6944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLOS</td>
<td>10 $\lambda$</td>
<td>15.65</td>
<td>24.6787</td>
<td>25.732</td>
<td>27.6917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 $\lambda$</td>
<td>17.6539</td>
<td>26.5171</td>
<td>26.1283</td>
<td>30.0702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 $\lambda$</td>
<td>18.9749</td>
<td>27.6596</td>
<td>27.9885</td>
<td>31.0142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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