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Abstract

“The number of mobile-connected devices will exceed the world’s population by 2014,” said Cisco. People live on mobile devices nowadays literally, and the situation is still getting worse ever since company starts to embed smart devices into accessories, for example, glasses, watches, etc. Making life better is wonderful, while losing privacy is bad. The prevalence of modern smart devices, such as smart phones and tablets, are owed to enriched third-party applications, or so-called apps. However, most mobile device users have no idea how their privacy data are potentially exposed by using or just installing apps that are badly designed. These apps may not be designed with malicious intention, but may contain software design flaws, or bugs, which could be exploited and further take over control of the device. From the moment on, invaders may pry into victims’ life without its knowing. Besides privacy leakage, invaders may take victims’ as a gateway or even a member of a botnet to further attack other victims. As a result, software quality becomes a critical issue on mobile devices. CRAXDroid is built as a platform aiming at vulnerability discovering and exploiting of Android apps.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

There are many solutions\[6\][16][17][18] which try to protect your Android phone from being offended by apps with bad intentions, such as leaking privacy personal data, embedding trojans, building army of botnets, etc. CRAXDroid is just not one of those solutions. CRAXDroid does not intend to discover apps that do evil, but to exploit apps that are poorly designed.

We believe that bugs are secret trails that lead to security flaws and enormous consequences. A process that crashes may be caused by illegal memory address access, such as stack overflow and heap overflow. By further manipulating the memory content, it is possible to reroute the control flow of the process, and let CPU execute any instruction we want. To be more specific, spawning a shell, sending out arbitrary files, and anything that does not belong to the original purposes of the process.

The above situation is a common practice in x86 desktop and server environments for over a decade. In mobile device environment, most research had been done to detect malicious apps. The largest Android apps market, Google Play, has its own technique, called Google Bouncer\[10\], to prevent malware from going public. CRAXDroid wants to show that not only malicious apps should people worry about, but those with security flaws should. CRAXDroid uses a special kind of symbolic execution, called single path concolic execution, to collect path information, and implements our own shellcode generating techniques to construct an exploit that could explode a security flaw and take over charge of the app.

The remainder of this thesis is organized as follows: After we go through some brief introductions of the background in Chapter 2, we understand how CRAXDroid uses symbolic execution to generate exploit for Android apps in Chapter 3. In Chapter 4, we see how CRAXDroid and experiments are implemented. In Chapter 5, we proves that CRAXDroid does its work by showing some exploit results. And in the final chapter, we make a conclusion and point out several future work for CRAXDroid.
Chapter 2

Background and Related Work

2.1 Android Ecosystem

2.1.1 Android

Android is an open-source mobile device operating system led by Google and OHA (Open Handset Alliance). Android is a Linux-based operating system with several layers shown in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1: Android System Architecture
2.1.2 Android x86

Android x86 is a project to port AOSP (Android Open Source Project) to x86 platform, and provides the ability to install Android on some x86 devices, such as ASUS Eee PCs.

2.1.3 Android Market

Android market is a general term that describes an online platform that provides Android apps to install or download. Google Play is the largest and official market.

2.1.4 Android app and Dalvik VM

Android apps are usually written in Java. To pack an app, the source code (*.java) is first compiled into Java bytecode (*.class). And then, the Java bytecode will be compiled into Dalvik executable bytecode (*.dex) along with native libraries. Finally, the Dalvik executable bytecode will be compressed into zip format (*.apk).

To run an app, Android system will spawn a Dalvik VM to execute the Dalvik executable bytecode. Each app is run by a Dalvik VM with a unique system user to prevent accessing each other's data.
Chapter 3

Methods

CRAX\cite{13} has been a success on generating Linux/Windows exploit automatically. CRAX-Droid leverages the power of CRAX to generate exploit for Android, which is a Linux-based operating system. To exploit Android, we start digging from the very outer skin—apps.

3.1 Main Idea

Apps are mostly written in Java and compiled into bytecode. Apps are then run by Dalvik VM (Dalvik Virtual Machine), which translates bytecode into machine specific language. Since the translation takes time and bytecode is easily decompiled into human readable Java code, JNI (Java Native Interface) is introduced in order to improve performance or to conceal business logic.

To use JNI, app developers first implement the desired program logic in C/C++/Assembly, and compile the source code into a native shared library. Apps then load the shared library through System.loadLibrary() method in order to use it. While JNI extends the power of Android apps, it also increases the chance that the apps suffer from known vulnerabilities, such as stack overflow, heap overflow, etc.

To exploit a program basically means to hijack the control flow of a process via known vulnerabilities. And the hijacking task usually involves PC (program counter), or referred to IP (instruction pointer) on some architectures, forging. The PC register stores a memory address, which contains an instruction to be run by the CPU. If the PC register could somehow be affected by some user controllable input, a hacker might be able to make the CPU execute customized, and usually malicious, instructions. For example, instructions to spawn a shell or instructions to establish connections to remote hosts.

CRAX uses S\textsuperscript{2}E\cite{1}, a system analysis platform based on QEMU machine emulator and KLEE symbolic execution engine, to find out how critical factors, such as PC, are related to user controllable input. As shown in Figure 3.1, let’s say we have a string with forty ‘A’s as the input string, in which the 1st, 11th, 21st and 31st bytes are concatenated as a four-byte string during the process execution and results in PC overwritten. Normally, a hacker will
then modify the input string into a slightly different one, such as “AAAABBBBCCCC…”, and rerun the program again to see if anything changed to the PC value. The above action will be proceeded over and over again until the hacker can identify the exact four bytes that decide the PC value.

---

**Figure 3.1:** Vague relationship between input string and program counter

**Figure 3.2:** Clear relationship between input string and program counter

*CRAAX* makes this process easy relying on symbolic execution. A hacker first symbolizes the input string, the input string thereby becomes expressions instead of constant values. For example, reading from the first byte of the string results in the expression “(ReadLSB w8 0x0 v0_symdata_0)” instead of the original value ‘A’. The expression means “Reads 8 bits starting from offset 0 of symbolic value v0_symdata_0”. When PC is found tainted, with the example we just mentioned, instead of “AAAA”, an expression like Listing 1 will be retrieved. The result clearly shows that PC is overwritten by a string concatenated by offset 0x0 (1st), 0xa (11th), 0x14 (21st), and 0x1e (31st) of v0_symdata_0, as shown in Figure 3.2.

**Listing 1:** Expression of concatenating 1st, 11th, 21st and 31st bytes

(Concat w32 (ReadLSB w8 0x1e v0_symdata_0)
 (Concat w24 (ReadLSB w8 0x14 v0_symdata_0)
 (Concat w16 (ReadLSB w8 0xa v0_symdata_0)
 (ReadLSB w8 0x0 v0_symdata_0)))))
3.2 Exploit Scenario 1

Figure 3.3: An Exploit Scenario on Android

To further generate exploit for a vulnerable shared library of a Android app, a scenario is presented as Figure 3.3 shown. Suppose a app uses a shared library, which reads from local files and then copy the content into local buffers using dangerous functions, for example, strcpy or strncpy. The first step is to feed a file that will trigger the vulnerability. CRAX installs a sensor at the place where the PC register updates value. Once the sensor detects that the value contains symbolic expressions instead of constant value, the exploit generating process starts.

3.3 Exploit Scenario 2

The previous scenario takes place underneath Dalvik VM completely, which makes it almost no different from exploiting an ordinary linux application. To let CRAXDroid better live up to its name, another scenario is designed. As shown in Figure 3.4, this scenario is almost identical to the previous one, except the input file is read by the Dalvik VM instead of the shared library. Although a small change, it makes big different.

Symbolic execution lives on symbol propagating and constraints collecting. When execution comes to a conditional branch, for example, jz, jnz, jge, etc., and a operand is symbolized, the execution engine will collect the constraint, named “path constraint”. The path constraints that would eventually lead to the “success” in Listing 2 would be resolved to Listing 3. Given a solver the path constraint, it will generate solutions that satisfy the constraint, such as the string “Y<A”.
Figure 3.4: Another Exploit Scenario on Android

Listing 2: An Example of Simple Branching

```plaintext
1 if (data[0] != 'Z') {
2     if (data[1] != '>') {
3         if (data[2] != 'B') {
4             print("success");
5         }
6     }
7 }
```

Listing 3: The path constraint generated from Listing 2

```plaintext
(And w8 (Eq false
    (Eq (w8 0x42)
        (ReadLSB w8 0x2 v0_symdata_0)))
(And w8 (Eq false
    (Eq (w8 0x3E)
        (ReadLSB w8 0x1 v0_symdata_0)))
(Eq false
    (Eq (w8 0x5a)
        (ReadLSB w8 0x0 v0_symdata_0))))
```
Back to the scenario, since we don’t know whether symbol propagating would work properly inside Dalvik VM, the first scenario is designed as a quick proof of concept to prove that there are chances that vulnerabilities would hide under shared libraries and *CRAXDroid* is capable of exploiting them. The second scenario intends to prove that symbols would be propagated through Dalvik VM and contaminate shared libraries without problems. Therefore, not only files, more sources would start to become dangerous.
Chapter 4

Implementation

Using QEMU makes S^2E capable of performing whole system symbolic execution on all architectures supported by QEMU. Since CRAX has the knowledge of exploiting Linux x86 applications, Android x86 is chosen to be the entrypoint to exploit Android.

4.1 Android x86

Another reason to choose Android x86 is that it provides direct QEMU support. By building Android x86 with "make iso_img", it returns an installation iso image that can be used to install Android on any storage media, just like any other regular Linux distribution does. Figure 4.1 shows the first step of the installation. Normally, it takes around five steps to finish installation. The highlighted option in Figure 4.1 is a quick path that I built for one-step installation.

Figure 4.1: Android x86 Installation ISO Image

There are two command line executables pre-embedded into to Android x86 source tree
for app testing. The first one is `s2ecmd`, which is mainly used to toggle switch of single path concolic execution. The second one is `symfile`, see Listing 8 on page 19. `symfile` is used to mark a file content as symbolic source as a input of app testing. Our version of `symfile` is different from the one provided by `s2ecmd`, which required the target file to be stored in a ramdisk. Our `symfile` will first map the target file into a bunch of memory space by using `mmap`. Thus, accessing data from the file will be accessing data from the mapped memory space.

4.1.1 Scenario 1 - propagate underneath Dalvik VM

Android x86 suffers from the same kind of vulnerabilities just like any other system that runs on the x86 architecture does, stack overflow, heap overflow, format string vulnerability, etc. Any app that leverages the power of native library becomes a potential threat. To prove that `CRAXDroid` is able to generate exploit automatically on Android x86, a vulnerable app is constructed intentionally as shown in Listing 9 and Listing 10.

The vulnerable app contains a stack overflow vulnerability caused by improper use of the function `strcpy`. `strcpy` is known to cause stack overflow if boundary checking is not done properly. For example, copying content of a buffer with 10 bytes to a buffer with only 5 bytes would overwrite the stack by 5 bytes. Combining with the nature of x86 architecture, which store instruction pointers (EIP) on the stack as shown in Figure 4.2, attackers would be able to forge the EIP value and intrude the running process.

![x86 stack layout](image)

Figure 4.2: x86 stack layout
CRAXDroid first maps a local file into memory by using `mmap` and marks the part of the memory as symbolic to keep track of the flow of the file content. After the vulnerable app is launched, the target file is opened and read by the loaded native library. Since the file is mapped to a chunk of memory, the content would be read from the chunk of memory instead of physical hard drive. Once the process retrieves the saved instruction pointer from the returning stack frame, a pre-deployed sensor set by CRAXDroid would check if the EIP is contaminated by the symbolic input and trigger the progress of exploit generating if the examination goes true.

4.1.2 Scenario 2 - propagate through Dalvik VM

Scenario 2 is implemented slightly different from scenario 1. The source code is listed in Listing 11 and Listing 12. The input file is opened and read into a buffer in the Dalvik VM and passed to the native library through JNI. By successfully generating exploit, we could prove that it is possible to exploit a app by giving bad input from the app layer.
Chapter 5

Results

5.1 Exploiting Android x86

Listing 4: Crash Input

```
0000000: 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
0000010: 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
0000020: 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
0000030: 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
0000040: 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
0000050: 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 6161 aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
```

Listing 4 shows the progress of exploit generation. A file, also known as “crash input”, as shown in Listing 4, with 90 (0x5a) bytes of the size is mapped into memory and marked as symbolic as shown on line 8. Starting from line 10, the message indicates that some part of the symbolic data has tainted the EIP register and thus triggers the exploit generating process. The record shows that the EIP register is overwritten by value 0x61616161, which is the hexadecimal representation of the string “aaaa”, starting from the 27th (0x1b) byte of the overall symbolic data. The process then searches through all memory space to collect memory chunks that are tainted by the symbolic input. We name these chunks of memory as symbolic array. In this example, three symbolic arrays all with size 90 bytes have been found. The process then tries to inject three pieces of data into a symbolic array, a piece of pre-generated shellcode, a NOP sled, and the address pointed to somewhere in-between the NOP sled. The process would start to generate exploit with the largest array, and move on to the next one if the current one does not satisfy all the constraints.
Listing 5: Exploit Progress of Scenario 1

1. ShellCode size: 47
2. [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a08): Target: partial
3. [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a39): file open successfully
4. [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a50): Start mmaping
5. [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a71): mmap successfully
6. [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a83): Done mmaping
7. [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a90): Start making symbolic
8. [State 0] Inserting symbolic data at 0xb786d000 of size 0x5a with name 'symfile'
9. [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048aae): Done making symbolic
10. [State 0] EIP is tainted by 0x61616161, original value is (ZExt w64 (ReadLSB w32 0x1b v0_symfile_0))
11. [State 0] 180 constraints in the state
12. [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0x80e9075, width 90
13. [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0x82d8638, width 90
14. [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0xbfd9ff61, width 90
15. [State 0] Generating exploit on symbolic array 0x80e9075
16. [State 0] ShellCode starts at 0x80e90a0, width 47
17. [State 0] NOP sled starts at 0x80e9094, width 12
18. [State 0] Set EIP between 0x80e9094 and 0x80e90a0
19. [State 0] Pruned 0 out of 180 constraints
20. [State 0] Write exploit to file exploit-80e9075.bin
21. [State 0] Generating exploit on symbolic array 0x82d8638
22. [State 0] ShellCode starts at 0x82d8663, width 47
23. [State 0] NOP sled starts at 0x82d8657, width 12
24. [State 0] Set EIP between 0x82d8657 and 0x82d8663
25. [State 0] Pruned 0 out of 180 constraints
26. [State 0] Write exploit to file exploit-82d8638.bin
27. [State 0] Generating exploit on symbolic array 0xbfd9ff61
28. [State 0] ShellCode starts at 0xbfd9ff8c, width 47
29. [State 0] NOP sled starts at 0xbfd9ff80, width 12
30. [State 0] Set EIP between 0xbfd9ff80 and 0xbfd9ff8c
31. [State 0] Pruned 0 out of 180 constraints
32. [State 0] Write exploit to file exploit-bfd9ff61.bin
33. [State 0] Ended exploit generating

An example of generated exploits is shown as Listing 6 in hexadecimal format. The exploit input first starts with several '0x01' bytes. These '0x01' are chosen by the solver, since there is no constraint related to these bytes. Followed by are four bytes that will overwritten the EIP register, “0x080e9075” in little-endian order. The last part is the shellcode that will write the string “Exploit!” into Android logging service. The shellcode could be considered the same as the following C code snippet

```c
execve("/system/bin/log", ["/system/bin/log", "Exploit!"], NULL);
```
Finally, the generated exploit file is fed back to the vulnerable app to verify that it is a usable exploit. As shown in Figure 5.1, the vulnerable app is fired up and loads the native library correctly. The process is then hijacked by our exploit. The ActivityManager figures out that the process is dead, so it logs down the incident. And the following message—“Exploit!”, indicates that the exploit succeeds.
Listing 7: Exploit Progress of Scenario 2

1 ShellCode size: 47
2 [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a08): Target: partial
3 [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a39): file open successfully
4 [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a50): Start mmaping
5 [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a71): mmap successfully
6 [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a83): Done mmaping
7 [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048a90): Start making symbolic
8 [State 0] Inserting symbolic data at 0xb78dd000 of size 0x5a with name 'symfile'
9 [State 0] Message from guest (0x8048aae): Done making symbolic
10 [State 0] EIP is tainted by 0x61616161, original value is (ZExt w64 (ReadLSB w32 0x1b v0_symfile_0))
11 [State 0] 450 constraints in the state
12 [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0x80e9075, width 90
13 [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0x82d8040, width 90
14 [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0xb5d1040c, width 90
15 [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0xb5d124dc, width 1
16 [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0xb5d124de, width 1
17 [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0xb5d124e0, width 1
18 ... [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0xb5d165ea, width 1
19 [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0xb5d165ee, width 1
20 [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0xbfd9fe50, width 4
21 [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0xbfd9ff24, width 1
22 [State 0] Found Symbolic Array at 0xbfd9fff1, width 90
23 [State 0] Generating exploit on symbolic array 0x80e9075
24 [State 0] Could not generate exploit on symbolic array 0x80e9075
25 [State 0] Generating exploit on symbolic array 0x82d8040
26 [State 0] Could not generate exploit on symbolic array 0x82d8040
27 [State 0] Generating exploit on symbolic array 0xb5d1040c
28 [State 0] Could not generate exploit on symbolic array 0xb5d1040c
29 [State 0] Generating exploit on symbolic array 0xbfd9fff1
30 [State 0] Could not generate exploit on symbolic array 0xbfd9fff1
31 [State 0] Ended exploit generating
Chapter 6
Discussion and Conclusions

CRAXDroid aims at exploiting vulnerabilities inside Android apps. To be specific, programming logic that works fine under normal condition, but fails when unexpected input are fed with. Android x86 is taken as the first Guinea pig to show that CRAXDroid does its works. However, most devices running Android are built on ARM architecture, and exploiting software on ARM architecture is quite different from exploiting software on x86 architecture, since the two are designed differently. Raspberry Pi is taken to examine stack overflow vulnerabilities and to study ARM calling convention. Taking advantage from QEMU makes cross-platform testing easy. Two linux distributions that support ARM are taken to improve CRAXDroid. One is Debian ARM, which is emulated by ARM926 (ARMv5) CPU, and the other one is Raspbian, which is emulated by ARM1176 (ARMv6) CPU. Three programs are tested against the two distributions, and two programs are successfully generated exploit on Raspbian, while only one is generated on Debian ARM.

While CRAXDroid development is still in its early stage, there are several features to be implemented next

• Emulating Android ARM with pure QEMU
Although AOSP Android provides a emulator based on QEMU to emulate Android, the emulator is highly customized, and might not fit for S2E to use. To make Android ARM runs on pure QEMU, a customized kernel is needed, and the installer from Android x86 could be brought in to help.

• Real Exploit For ARM
Currently, we use the same exploit generate technique to generate exploit for both x86 and ARM architecture. However, the generated exploit would not work on ARM, since ARM is born with non-executable stack. More exploit techniques, such as ROP (Return Oriented Programming), need to be brought in to generate feasible exploit for both ARM and x86.

• Testing on Various ARM architectures
There are many ARM architectures, from ARMv1 to ARMv8. It is unclear if CRAX-Droid works on all of them. Modern smart phones are equipped with Cortex family CPUs, which are ARMv7 architectures. We should test ARMv7 as well.
Appendices
Listing 8: symfile.c

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <sys/mman.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include <string.h>
#include "s2e.h"

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    char* filenamestr;
    unsigned int symbolic_start = 0;
    unsigned int symbolic_offset = 0;

    if (argc == 2) {
        s2e_message("Target: the whole file");
        filenamestr = argv[1];
    } else if (argc == 4) {
        s2e_message("Target: partial");
        filenamestr = argv[1];
        symbolic_start = atoi(argv[2]);
        symbolic_offset = atoi(argv[3]);
    } else {
        s2e_warning("Wrong arguments");
        exit(1);
    }

    int file = open(filenamestr, 2, 0600);
    if (file < 0) {
        s2e_warning("file open failed");
        exit(1);
    }

    struct stat buffer;
    int status = fstat(file, &buffer);

    if (argc == 2) {
        symbolic_start = 0;
        symbolic_offset = buffer.st_size;
    }

    char* addr = mmap(0, buffer.st_size, PROT_READ|PROT_WRITE, MAP_SHARED, file, 0);

    char* content = (char*)malloc(buffer.st_size);
    memcpy(content, addr, buffer.st_size);

    if (addr == (char*)MAP_FAILED) {
        s2e_warning("mmap failed");
        s2e_warning(strerror(errno));
        exit(1);
    }

    s2e_make_concolic(addr + symbolic_start, symbolic_offset, "symfile");

    close(file);
    return 0;
}
```
Listing 9: VulnApp.java

```
package sqlab.craxdroid.vulnapp;

import android.app.Activity;
import android.os.Bundle;
import android.view.View;

public class VulnApp extends Activity {
    @Override
    public void onCreate(Bundle savedInstanceState) {
        super.onCreate(savedInstanceState);
        setContentView(R.layout.main);
        main();
        finish();
    }

    static {
        System.loadLibrary("VulnApp");
    }

    public static native int main();
}
```
#include <jni.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <android/log.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <fcntl.h>

void foo(char *input) {
    char buf[15];

    strcpy(buf, input);
    printf("%s", buf);

    return;
}

JNINSTRUCTOR J NicALL Java_sqlab_craxdroid_vulnapp_VulnApp_main (JNIEnv *env, jclass class) {
    int file;
    struct stat buffer;
    int status;
    char* buf;

    file = open("/data/local/tmp/input", O_RDONLY);
    status = fstat(file, &buffer);

    __android_log_print(ANDROID_LOG_VERBOSE, "VulnApp",
        "File size: %d
", buffer.st_size);

    buf = (char*) malloc(buffer.st_size);
    if (buf != NULL) {
        int n = 0;
        if ((n = read(file, buf, buffer.st_size)) > 0) {
            __android_log_print(ANDROID_LOG_VERBOSE, "VulnApp",
                "Read size: %d\n", n);
            foo(buf);
        }
        free(buf);
    }

    close(file);

    return 0;
}
package sqlab.craxdroid.vulnapp2;

import android.app.Activity;
import android.os.Bundle;
import android.view.View;
import java.io.File;
import java.io.FileReader;
import java.io.BufferedReader;
import java.io.IOException;

public class VulnApp extends Activity {
    @Override
    public void onCreate(Bundle savedInstanceState) {
        super.onCreate(savedInstanceState);
        setContentView(R.layout.main);

        File file = new File("/data/local/tmp","input");
        String line = "";

        try {
            BufferedReader br = new BufferedReader(new FileReader(file));
            line = br.readLine();
        } catch (IOException e) {
        }
        main(line);
        finish();
    }

    static {
        System.loadLibrary("VulnApp2");
    }

    public static native int main(String content);
}
Listing 12: VulnApp2.c

```c
#include <jni.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <android/log.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <sys/stat.h>
#include <fcntl.h>

void foo(char *input) {
    char buf[15];
    strcpy(buf, input);
    printf("%s", buf);
    return;
}

JNIEXPORT jint JNICALL Java_sqlab_craxdroid_vulnapp2_VulnApp_main(JNIEnv *env, jclass class, jstring content) {
    char* buf = (*env)->GetStringUTFChars(env, content, NULL);
    foo(buf);
    return 0;
}
```
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