Unsteady vortex roll structures in a mixed convective air flow through a horizontal plane channel: a numerical study
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Abstract—A three-dimensional unsteady numerical simulation was conducted to investigate the buoyancy induced vortex flow structures in a forced air flow through a bottom heated horizontal plane channel. In particular, a third-order upwind finite difference scheme was chosen to solve the Navier-Stokes and energy equations. In the ranges of Reynolds number $Re$ from 20 to 50 and Rayleigh number $Ra$ up to $3\times10^5$, several roll structures were predicted. In the steady flow at high $Re$ and low $Ra$ after the initial transient, the longitudinal rolls possess spanwise symmetry and grow regularly to a fully developed state as it proceeds downstream. While in the time periodic flow at low $Re$ and high $Ra$ the rolls may tilt towards the right side wall and the flow is asymmetric or they may tilt to both sides and are symmetric, depending on the particular case studied. This roll tilting causes the rolls to terminate as they encounter the side walls. Meanwhile, new space is provided in the region near the other side of the duct or near the central vertical plane for the generation of new cells. It is also noted that the rolls are wavy in axial direction. Moreover, the rolls change their sizes during moving downstream and no fully developed state can be discerned. Based on the present data, correlating equations for the onset of convection and Hopf bifurcation were proposed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Buoyancy induced vortex flow is known to be rather complicated and can significantly affect the flow and thermal characteristics in a forced flow through a bottom heated horizontal plane channel. The understanding of this complicated vortex flow is important in various technological processes such as chemical vapor deposition in growing single crystal film, energy transport in flat plate solar collectors, cooling of microelectronic equipments, and many others. Due to the flow being three-dimensional, early studies in the literature mainly adopted experimental measurement to treat the problem. For instance, the critical Rayleigh number $Ra_c$ for the onset of vortex flow and the characteristics of steady longitudinal vortex rolls in a duct with the bottom at a higher uniform temperature than the top were measured by Mori and Uchida [1], Akiyama et al. [2], Ostrach and Kamotani [3], Kamotani and Ostrach [4], Hwang and Liu [5], and Kamotani et al. [6]. A flow regime map of Reynolds number $Re$ vs Rayleigh number $Ra$ was proposed for nitrogen gas by Chiu and Rosenberger [7] and Chiu et al. [8], to locate the boundaries between three different flow patterns, i.e., the flow with no vortex roll, a flow with a steady longitudinal roll and a flow with an unsteady longitudinal roll. At very low $Re$ Ouazzani et al. [9, 10] found that the vortex flow is in the form of transverse rolls. Similar investigations with the bottom plate heated by a uniform heat flux were conducted by Osborne and Incropera [11, 12], Incropera et al. [13, 14] and Maughan and Incropera [15, 16]. Four flow regimes with progressing complexity were identified along the channel, beginning with laminar forced convection near the inlet, followed by laminar mixed convection, transitional mixed convection and turbulent free convection. For the theoretical study, only linear stability analyses were carried out to predict $Ra_c$ by Nakayama et al. [17], Hwang and Cheng [18] and Lee and Hwang [19]. A close examination of these studies reveals that some important vortex flow characteristics such as how the vortex flow is formed at the onset point $Ra_c$ and its subsequent development in time and space, the onset of Hopf bifurcation, the unsteady flow structure beyond the Hopf bifurcation are still not well understood. To complement these early studies, a direct 3-D unsteady numerical simulation for a mixed convective air flow in a horizontal plane channel is attempted here. Attention is focused on the effects of the Rayleigh and Reynolds numbers on the detailed flow characteristics.

2. MATHEMATICAL MODELLING

Under consideration is a forced flow of average velocity $\bar{v}$ in a differentially heated horizontal rectangular duct of large aspect ratio ($A \geq 12$), as schematically shown in Fig. 1 along with the chosen coor-
A choice of a large aspect ratio duct is made here so that the predicted results are closer to those in a parallel plane channel ($A \rightarrow \infty$). Initially at time $t < 0$, the flow in the duct is fully developed and at the uniform temperature, $T_L$. The bounding walls are thermally well insulated. At time $t = 0$ the bottom plate temperature is suddenly raised to a higher value $T_H$ and the top plate is kept at the initial temperature $T_L$. Over a finite length $L_a \leq z \leq L_a + L$ and both are maintained at these levels thereafter. In spite of this change, other boundary conditions are assumed to be unaffected. When the length, time, velocity, and temperature are scaled with the duct height $d$, residence time of the flow $d/w$, average velocity of the
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forced flow \( \nu \) and temperature difference \( (T_H - T_L) \), basic equations describing the temporal and spatial evolution of the buoyancy induced vortex flow of a Boussinesq fluid studied here are

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} \right) + \frac{Gr}{Re^2} T
\]

\[
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \frac{u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{Re} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{PrRe} \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right)
\]

Three governing parameters in the above formulation are the Grashof number \( Gr \), Reynolds number \( Re \) and Prandtl number \( Pr \). They are defined as

\[
Gr = \frac{g \beta (T_H - T_L) d^3}{\nu^2} \quad Re = \frac{Wd}{\nu} \quad Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}.
\]

The Rayleigh number frequently appears in dealing with the mixed convective flow and is defined as \( Ra = Pr \cdot Gr \). The problem is subject to the following initial and boundary conditions:

\[
t < 0 \quad u = v = w = 0, \quad T = 0
\]

\[
t \geq 0
\]

\[
x = 0 \& A \quad u = v = w = 0 \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0
\]

\[
y = 0 \quad L_a \leq z \leq L + L_a \quad u = v = w = 0 \quad T = 1
\]

\[
y = 0 \quad 0 \leq z \leq L_a, \quad z > L + L_a \quad u = v = w = 0 \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = 0
\]

\[
y = 1 \quad L_a \leq z \leq L + L_a \quad u = v = w = 0 \quad T = 0
\]

\[
y = 1 \quad 0 \leq z \leq L_a, \quad z > L + L_a \quad u = v = w = 0 \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = 0
\]

\[
z = 0 \quad u = v = 0 \quad w = w_{in} \quad T = 0
\]

\[
z \to \infty \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0
\]

where the inlet velocity \( w_{in} \) is assumed as fully developed with the values of the constants \( m \) and \( n \) depending on the aspect ratio \( A \) (Shah and London [20]), which is

\[
w_{in} = \left( \frac{m+1}{m} \right) \left( \frac{n+1}{n} \right) \times \left[ 1 - (2y-1)^{m} \right] \left( \frac{2x}{A} - 1 \right)^{n}
\]

To account for the upstream diffusion at low Reynolds number flow, an insulated section of length \( L_a \) was added to the heated section as shown in Fig. 1. The selection of proper \( L_a \) will be discussed in the next section. The local Nusselt number which signifies the heat transfer from the bottom heated plate to the channel flow is defined and evaluated as

\[
Nu \equiv \frac{h d}{k} \equiv \frac{q_{c}}{T_H - T_L} \frac{d}{-\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = 0}
\]

where \( q_{c} \) is local convective heat flux and \( k \) is the thermal conductivity of the fluid.

3. NUMERICAL SOLUTION

In view of the nonlinearity in the inertia terms, the basic equations were solved numerically. In particular, the projection method (Peyret and Taylor [21]) was chosen to integrate the equations on a staggered grid system. This splitting (fractional step) method consists of two steps. First, a provisional velocity field was explicitly computed, ignoring the pressure gradient. Then, it was corrected by including the pressure effect and by enforcing the mass conservation law. The pressure distribution is obtained from solving the Poisson equation for pressure by the vectorized SOR method.

To enhance the numerical accuracy and stability, all the spatial derivatives were discretized by the fourth-order central differences (Hirsch [22]), except for the convective terms which were approximated by the third-order upwind difference proposed by Kawamura et al. [23]. To allow for the possible presence of an asymmetric flow with respect to the central vertical plane at \( x = A/2 \) for the time dependent flow induced at high \( Gr/Re^2 \), the computation domain includes both the left-half and right-half of the channel. Although the downstream boundary conditions were exactly specified at \( z \to \infty \), only a finite unheated section is added in the downstream to facilitate the numerical analysis. Both this unheated section and the upstream insulated section must be long enough so that the solution for the problem is independent of their sizes. Numerical tests indicated that the suitable length for the upstream and downstream unheated sections is respectively \( 0.07L \) and \( 0.46L \). Time advancement may be done either implicitly or explicitly. The first-order Euler explicit scheme was employed here since it has much lower computational cost per time step and requires much less computer memory allocation than
any equivalent implicit implementation. To resolve the smallest physical time scale in time dependent flow, the time step must be small. Thus the implicit and semi-implicit methods are not favorable as far as the computation time is concerned. The stability of the scheme is limited by the requirement that the Courant number be less than unity (Anderson et al. [24]). To ensure numerical convergence, the Courant number is set below 0.05 in the computation, which leads to

\[ \Delta t < 0.05 \times \min \left( \frac{\Delta x}{u_{\text{max}}}, \frac{\Delta y}{v_{\text{max}}}, \frac{\Delta z}{w_{\text{max}}} \right) \]  

(10)

The sequence of numerical operation is as follows:

1. Explicitly calculate the provisional velocity.
2. Solve the pressure equation by the vectorized Gauss-Seidel method with successive over-relaxation. Solution for the pressure is considered as convergent when the mean relative pressure difference between two consecutive iterations is below \( 10^{-4} \), that is

\[ \frac{\sum_{i,j,k} \left| (p_{i,j,k}^{m+1}) - (p_{i,j,k}^{m}) \right|}{\sum_{i,j,k} \left| (p_{i,j,k}^{m+1}) \right|} < 10^{-4} \]  

(11)

where \( i, j, k \) are respectively the indices of the nodes in the \( x, y, z \) directions, \( m \) is the iteration number and \( I, J, K \) are the total numbers of nodes in the \( x, y, z \) directions, respectively. This ensures the mass imbalance at each node is less than \( 10^{-4} \) of the inlet mass flowrate.
3. Explicitly calculate the desired velocity and temperature fields at the new time step.

Procedures (1)–(3) were repeatedly applied from the initiation of the transient to a final steady state or to a statistical state when the flow was no longer steady at long time.

For a low Reynolds number flow studied herein a uniform grid is placed in the computational domain with \( \Delta x = A/l, \Delta y = 1/j \) and \( \Delta z = 1.53L/K \). \( I, J \) and \( K \) varied from 31 to 121 depending on the particular set of parameters to be investigated.

To verify the proposed numerical scheme, a series of program tests were conducted. First, the predicted spanwise average Nusselt number variations with the axial coordinate for the pure forced convection (\( Gr/Re^2 = 0 \)) of air in a rectangular duct were found to be in excellent agreement with the numerical and experimental results of Incropera et al. [14]. Then, the steady mixed convection of nitrogen in a high aspect ratio rectangular duct was simulated. The comparison of the computed axial velocity profiles for a typical case with \( Pr = 0.71 \), \( Re = 44.8 \), \( Gr/Re^2 = 3.43 \) and \( A = 10 \) with the experimental data of Chiu et al. [8] shows good agreement. Further comparison was made in Fig. 2 to test the data of Ostrach and Kamotani [3] for the spanwise temperature distributions. The agreement is also good. Finally, a grid test was performed. Sampled results from such a test were compared for the local Nusselt number at selected axial stations at the steady state for a typical case with \( Pr = 0.71, Re = 50, Ra = 9000 \). The differences in the results calculated from four different grids were all less than 2%. Furthermore, we compared the calculated frequency of the flow oscillation for a case with a high buoyancy at \( Ra = 20000 \). Again, the differences in the results from using these grids were less than 4%. Through these program tests, the adopted solution procedures are considered to be suitable for the present study.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Computations were specifically carried out for air flow (\( Pr = 0.71 \)) in a duct of \( A \) fixed at 12 and \( L \) fixed at 15. The Reynolds number ranges from 20 to 50 and Rayleigh number \( Ra \) from 6000 to 31000. Systematic calculation was conducted to capture the detailed flow characteristics. Results were first obtained for \( Re = 50 \) and \( Ra = 6000 \). Then, \( Re \) is fixed at 50 and \( Ra \) is raised to 7000, 8000 and 9000. The predicted flow is steady in the entire duct after the initial transient has died out for these cases. As \( Ra \) is raised to 10000, the time periodic flow eventually prevails in the downstream end of the heated section. At an even higher \( Ra \), time periodic flow exists in a larger region and is closer to the inlet. In the following only a small sample of the results will be presented to illustrate mainly the onset of vortex flow and the unique roll structures in the unsteady flow.

4.1. Onset of vortex flow

To illustrate the buoyancy induced onset of the vortex flow, the predicted steady axial flow development for \( Re = 50 \) and \( Ra = 9000 \) are shown in Fig. 5 by plotting the cross-plane streamlines and isotherms at eight selected cross sections. The results indicate that in the entry region two vortex rolls first appear near the side walls. Elsewhere, the flow is still unidirectional and is forced convection dominated. As the flow moves downstream, more rolls are induced. At \( z = 14.30 \) five pairs of longitudinal vortex rolls occupy the cross-section. Note that the vortex flow is symmetric with respect to the vertical central plane at \( x = A/2 \). The above results clearly indicate that the onset point depends on the spanwise location. If the onset point is taken as the location at which the spanwise average Nusselt number enhanced by the vortex flow, exceeds the forced convection value by 3%, our predicted results can be correlated as

\[ Ra = 1672 \times \left( \frac{z}{RePr} \right)^{-1.1}. \]  

(12)

The predicted onset point locates slightly downstream of the data from experimental measurement by Kamotani et al. [6] and Chiu and Rosenberger [7] and from stability analysis by Lee and Hwang [19].
4.2. Structure of vortex flow

Downstream of the onset point the buoyancy induced vortex flow is in the form of longitudinal rolls for all cases studied here. When the steady flow prevails for $Re = 50$ and $Ra \leq 9000$, the rolls grow gradually with the downstream distance. Those near the side walls become fully developed before the flow leaves the heated section. These fully developed rolls are nearly the same size and the roll diameter is approximately equal to the duct height $d$. The axes of the fully developed rolls are parallel with the side walls. Moreover, the secondary flow intensity of the rolls increases very slightly with the axial distance.

This unique roll structure results in the special temperature field at selected cross-sections shown in Fig. 3 and is also clearly reflected in the air temperature distribution in the middle horizontal plane at $y = 0.5$ and the local Nusselt number distribution on the bottom plate given in Fig. 4.

At increasing Rayleigh numbers the flow becomes time dependent as mentioned above. Additionally, more rolls are induced in the channel. For instance, as $Ra$ is raised from 9000 to 10 000 with a fixed Reynolds number, the roll number at the cross section $z = 12.62$ varies with time from 12 to 14 or 16. The predicted vortex flow at this cross section at three time instants...
Fig. 3. Steady isotherms and streamlines at selected cross-sections for $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 50$ and $Ra = 9000$ at $z = (1) 2.52 (2) 4.21 (3) 5.89 (4) 7.57 (5) 9.26 (6) 10.94 (7) 12.62$ and (8) 14.30. In the streamlines the solid lines represent the counter-clockwise recirculations, while the clockwise cells are indicated by the dashed lines.

Fig. 4. The steady local Nusselt number distribution on the bottom plate and the temperature distribution in the middle horizontal plane ($y = 0.5$) for $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 50$ and $Ra = 9000$.

is compared with our experimental flow visualization (Chang, Yu and Lin [25]) in Fig. 5. The variation of the roll number among 12, 14 and 16 is confirmed by the experiment although the details of the roll structures exhibit some discrepancies, especially for the 12 rolls near the central plane at $x = A/2$. Further comparison is made in Fig. 6 for the numerically predicted planform of the velocity field and the top view of the flow field by our experiment [25] at time $t = 300$. Good agreement is noted in this comparison.

As the flow does not reach steady state at higher Rayleigh numbers, a close inspection of the detailed flow patterns reveals that very different roll structures were noted. The results of time periodic cross-plane streamlines and isotherms for a representative case with $Re = 50$ and $Ra = 20000$ are displayed in Fig. 7(a) and (b) at two selected time instants in a typical periodic cycle when the statistical state is prevalent. It is important to note from these results that the rolls tilt to the right side as they move downstream. Obviously, the flow is no longer symmetric with respect to the vertical central plane at $x = A/2$. The spanwise symmetry of the flow is broken in this time periodic flow. The results for other cases at the same Reynolds number indicate that the degree of roll tilting is only slightly affected by the Rayleigh number. As the rolls hit the side wall, they terminate. A close inspection of the streamlines, however, reveals that the clockwise
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\[ \psi = -2.37(0.46)2.38 \quad t = 1725 \]

\[ \psi = -2.34(0.46)2.33 \quad t = 1775 \]

\[ \psi = -2.57(0.49)2.47 \quad t = 1475 \]

Fig. 5. Comparison of the numerically predicted and experimentally visualized vortex cells at cross-sections \( z = 12.62 \) at three different time instants for \( Pr = 0.71, Re = 50 \) and \( Ra = 10000 \).

rotating rolls gradually shrink as they approach the side wall. They disappear on arriving at the side wall. Then the counterclockwise roll near the side wall merges with the adjacent roll to form a big elongated roll. On travelling downstream this roll again shrinks but does not disappear. Meanwhile, this roll tilting provides new space near the left side-wall and new rolls may be generated there. The axial location at which the new cells are induced changes significantly with time in a period. The new rolls grow in size as they proceed downstream. Moreover, roll splitting is noted in the entry region of the heated section. These complicated roll inclination, termination, generation, merging, splitting, decay and growth cause the rolls to be very different in size, and no fully developed state is attained.

To further illustrate the roll structure, Fig. 8 shows the associated vector velocity maps in two selected vertical planes parallel with the side walls at two selected time instants in a period. For clear illustration, the domain of the plots is not proportional to the actual one. The results clearly manifest that the flow is wavy in the longitudinal direction. Each wavy roll has a different phase. The wavelength is about 6.7. These results together with those in Fig. 7 suggest that the longitudinal vortex rolls are inclined and wavy and oscillate periodically with time. In addition, the roll sizes vary significantly with space and time.

The unique roll structure in the time periodic flow described above is again reflected in the local Nusselt number distribution on the bottom plate and air temperature in the horizontal plane at \( y = 0.5 \), as shown in Fig. 9. These results again clearly show the roll termination and generation.

Up to this point attention has been focused on the spatial structure of the vortex rolls. To quantify the temporal characteristics in this asymmetric flow, time records of the temperature \( T \) and axial velocity \( w \) at sixteen selected locations, specified in Fig. 1, in one selected cross section are given in Fig. 10 for \( t \geq 150 \). The corresponding power spectrum densities for these records indicated that the flow in the entire duct oscil-
lates at the same fundamental frequency ($f_1 = 0.096$) as its harmonics. In a given cross-section the amplitude of the oscillation is also position dependent especially in the entry region. A close inspection of these results reveals that the temperature oscillations at various locations are not in the same phase, neither are the velocity oscillations. Comparing the time histories at the corresponding points symmetric with respect to the central plane, $x = A/2$ discloses that the flow asymmetry is rather significant in the side wall region, obviously due to the roll generation and termination there. Near the central plane the flow is almost symmetric with nearly the same wave form and amplitude but out of phase in the flow oscillation. Finally, it is necessary to point out that at $Ra = 31000$ the flow becomes quasiperiodic with the appearance of a second fundamental frequency.

To enhance our understanding of the unsteady flow characteristics, the phase space trajectories relating the velocity components $u$, $v$ and $w$ in periodic motion at the same detection points in the cross-section $z = 6.45$ are shown in Fig. 11. A single enclosed curve (limiting cycle) is noted for each plot implying that the flow is completely periodic [26]. The results indicate that the trajectories of $u$, $v$ and $w$ in a period are very different at different locations and there are complicated relationships between the velocity components at a give location.

Having discussed the effects of the Rayleigh number on the flow evolution, attention is turned to the influences of the Reynolds number. For this reason a straightforward numerical experiment was carried out. Knowing that the steady flow resulted for $Re = 50$ and $Ra = 9000$, a calculation was performed for reducing $Re$ from 50 with $Ra$ fixed at 9000. The computed data show that after the initial transient the flow finally evolves to a time periodic state for $20 \leq Re \leq 40$. For $Re = 10$ nonperiodic flow appears. Typical results for flow structures from this experiment are illustrated in Fig. 12 for $Re = 30$ and $Ra = 9000$. It is worth noting that the longitudinal rolls in the left half of the duct tilt to the left-side wall, while those in the right-half tilt to the right wall. Note that the rolls also terminate before they encounter the side walls. Meanwhile, new rolls are generated in the duct core near the central plane. The vortex rolls are symmetric with respect to the central plane $x = A/2$, in this time dependent flow. This is also the case for $Re = 40$ and 20. Also note that the roll tilting is insensitive to the Reynolds number.

Finally, Table 1 summarizes some major flow characteristics for all the cases studied here. In addition to the criterion from the onset of vortex rolls given earlier, the onset of Hopf bifurcation (periodic oscillation) based on the present data is correlated as

$$Ra = 4907 \times \left( \frac{z}{RePr} \right)^{-0.31}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

It is also noted in the table that the fundamental frequency of the flow oscillation increases with decreasing Rayleigh and Reynolds numbers.

5. CONCLUDING REMARK

Through a direct numerical simulation this study unraveled the unique longitudinal roll structures in time periodic mixed convective flow in a bottom heated horizontal flat duct. The induced vortex flow always shows spanwise symmetry when the flow is steady, while for time periodic flow the vortex rolls can be asymmetric for some cases and symmetric for others. Over the range of the parameters investigated the transverse rolls were not predicted. It is of interest to locate the domain of the parameters for the existence of the transverse rolls in the near future. Effects
Fig. 7. Isotherms and streamlines for $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 50$ and $Ra = 20000$ at cross-section $z = (1) 2.52$ (2) 4.21 (3) 5.89 (4) 7.57 (5) 9.26 (6) 10.94 (7) 12.62 and (8) 14.30 at two time instants in a period (a) $t$ and (b) $t + 2t_p/4$ where $t_p$ is the period of flow oscillation ($t_p = 10.42$).
Table 1. Summary of some major flow characteristics for all cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Re</th>
<th>Ra</th>
<th>Flow condition</th>
<th>Roll number (pair)</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Onset of Hopf bifurcation z</th>
<th>Rolls tilting to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>Steady</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>Both side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>Steady</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Both side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>Steady</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Both side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>Steady</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Both side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>$f_1 = 0.002$</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>Both side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$f_2 = 0.126$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>$f_1 = 0.111$</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>Right side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$f_2 = 0.126$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>$f_1 = 0.106$</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>Right side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>$f_1 = 0.096$</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>Right side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>$f_1 = 0.080$</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>Right side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>31000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>$f_1 = 0.067$</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Right side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$f_2 = 0.080$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>$f_1 = 0.121$</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>Both side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>$f_1 = 0.112$</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>Both side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>$f_1 = 0.046$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>Both side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>$f_1 = 0.105$</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>Right side wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>Periodic</td>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>$f_2 = 0.098$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>Right side wall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. The vector velocity maps in two selected vertical planes parallel with the side walls at $x = (1) 5.90$ and (2) 8.88 for $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 50$ and $Ra = 20000$ at two selected time instants in a period: (a) $t = t_p/8$ and (b) $t + 5t_p/8$. 
Fig. 9. The local Nusselt number distributions on the bottom plate and the temperature distributions in the middle horizontal plane at $y = 0.5$ for $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 50$ and $Ra = 20,000$ at four time instants in a period: (1) $t$ (2) $t + t_0/4$ (3) $t + 2t_0/4$ and (4) $t + 3t_0/4$. 
Fig. 10. Time samples and power spectrum densities at selected detection points for \( w \) and \( T \) for \( Pr = 0.71 \), \( Re = 50 \) and \( Ra = 20000 \) at cross section \( z = 8.70 \).
Unsteady vortex roll structures

Fig. 11. Phase space trajectories of $u$, $v$ and $w$ at eight detection points for $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 50$ and $Ra = 20000$ at $z = 6.45$.

Fig. 12. Isotherms and streamlines for $Pr = 0.71$, $Re = 30$ and $Ra = 9000$ at $z = (1) 2.52$ (2) 4.21 (3) 5.89 (4) 7.57 (5) 9.26 (6) 10.94 (7) 12.62 and (8) 14.30 at a selected time instance in a period at $t + \tau_p/8$ ($\tau_p = 8.95$).
of the duct inclination are expected to be important and will be explored later.
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