Subcooled flow boiling heat transfer of R-407C and associated bubble characteristics in a narrow annular duct

C.A. Chen, W.R. Chang, K.W. Li, Y.M. Lie, T.F. Lin *

Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, 1001 Ta Hsueh Road, Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan, ROC

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 29 July 2008
Received in revised form 29 January 2009
Accepted 29 January 2009
Available online 28 March 2009

Keywords:
Subcooled flow boiling
R-407C
Bubble characteristics
Heat transfer
Mini-channel

A B S T R A C T

An experiment is conducted here to investigate how the channel size affects the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer and the associated bubble characteristics of refrigerant R-407C in a horizontal narrow annular duct with the gap of the duct fixed at 1.0 and 2.0 mm. The measured boiling curves indicate that the temperature overshoot at ONB is relatively significant for the subcooled flow boiling of R-407C in the duct. Besides, the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient increases with a reduction in the duct gap, but decreases with an increase in the inlet liquid subcooling. Moreover, raising the heat flux imposed on the duct can cause a significant increase in the boiling heat transfer coefficients. However, the effects of the refrigerant mass flux and saturated temperature on the boiling heat transfer coefficient are slighter. Visualization of the subcooled flow boiling processes in the duct reveals that the bubbles are suppressed to become smaller and less dense by raising the refrigerant mass flux and inlet subcooling. Raising the imposed heat flux, however, produces positive effects on the bubble population, coalescence and departure frequency. Meanwhile, the present heat transfer data for R-407C are compared with the R-134a data measured in the same duct and with some existing correlations. We also propose empirical correlations for the present data for the R-407C subcooled flow boiling heat transfer and some quantitative bubble characteristics such as the mean bubble departure diameter and frequency and the active nucleation site density.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Choosing a suitable refrigerant plays the most important part in the design of air conditioning and refrigeration systems. In addition, the chlorofluorocarbons refrigerants (CFCs) have been completely prohibited in production since 1996 and the hydrochlorofluorocarbons refrigerants (HCFCs) are planned to be phased out by 2020, due to the presence of chlorine and carbon in these refrigerants which are depleting the earth’s stratospheric ozone layer and increasing the Total Equivalent Warming Impact (TEWI). Thus, the substitution of CFCs and HCFCs becomes urgent recently. The hydrofluorocarbons refrigerants (HFCs) such as R-134a, R-407C, R-410a, R-410b and R-507 are considered to be the eligible alternatives and some are currently in use.

In air conditioning and refrigeration systems, small channel with its small volume, lower total mass and low inventory of working fluid is an appropriate option for the compact heat exchangers to improve the boiling and condensation heat transfer performance. It is important to comprehend the boiling and condensation heat transfer and flow characteristics in the small channels consisted in compact heat exchangers. The channels size in a compact heat exchanger can significantly affect the performance of the exchanger [1]. In sizing the small channels, Kandlikar and Grande [2] proposed that for the conventional channels $D_h > 3$ mm, for the mini-channels $200 \mu m < D_h < 3$ mm, and for the micro-channels $10 \mu m < D_h < 200 \mu m$. On the contrary, Kew and Cornwell [3] introduced the Confinement number, $N_{conf} = \frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{l}{D_h}\right)^2$, which represents the importance of the flow restriction by the small size channel. They showed that the effects of the channel size become extremely substantial when $N_{conf} > 0.5$.

Investigation of refrigerants R-11 and R-123 flow boiling in a horizontal small copper tube ($D_h = 1.95$ mm) by Bao et al. [4] showed that the heat transfer coefficients were independent of the refrigerant mass flux and vapor quality, but were function of the wall heat flux. Nucleate boiling was the dominant mechanism over a wide range of the tested flow conditions. Tran et al. [5] examined refrigerant R-12 flow boiling in small circular and rectangular channels ($D_h = 2.46$ and 2.4 mm). Two distinct two-phase flow regions were noted, the convective boiling dominant region at lower wall superheat ($<2.75$ K) and nucleate boiling dominant region at higher wall superheat ($>2.75$ K). The differences in the boiling heat transfer coefficients in the circular and rectangular tubes are small. The R-134a experimental data taken from an upward vertical rectangular multi-channel ($D_h = 2.01$ mm) by Agostini and Bontemps [6] concluded that bubble nucleation was the...
boiling in a vertical rectangular channel (D_h = 12.7 mm) investigated by Thorncroft et al. [15] manifested that both the bubble growth and departure rates increased with an increase in the Jacob number and the bubble departure diameter decreased with the mass flux. Low pressure subcooled flow boiling inside a vertical concentric annulus (D_h = 13 mm) examined by Zeitoun and Shoukri [16] showed that the mean size and lift duration of the bubbles increased at decreasing liquid subcooling.

Chen [17] proposed an early general empirical correlation model for flow boiling in channels which divided the boiling heat transfer coefficient into two parts: a microconvective (nucleate boiling) contribution estimated by the pool boiling correlations and a macroconvective (non-boiling forced convection) contribution estimated by the single-phase correlation. In order to account for the diminished contribution of nucleate boiling as the forced convective effects increased at a higher vapor quality, he introduced an enhancement factor E and a suppression factor S to respectively accommodate the forced convection augmentation and nucleate boiling retardation. Gungor and Winterton [18] modified the Chen’s correlation and proposed the correlations for the enhancement and suppression factors. A general subcooled flow boiling correlation based on a large amount of data measured from pipes and annuli was developed by Shah [19]. An improved correlation from Liu and Winterton [20] introduced an asymptotic function to predict the heat transfer coefficient for vertical and horizontal flows in tubes and annuli. Later, Zhang et al. [21] modified the Chen’s correlation to predict the heat transfer in mini channels. Besides, Tran et al. [5] modified the heat transfer correlation of Lazarek and Black [22] with the Reynolds number of the flow replaced by the Weber number to eliminate viscous effects in favor of the influences from the surface tension. Similar correlations were proposed by Fujita et al. [23]. Kandlikar [24] divided the subcooled flow boiling into the partial boiling, fully developed boiling and significant void flow regions. Meanwhile, appropriate correlations were presented to predict the heat transfer in the each region. In a following study [25], he developed correlations to predict transition, laminar and deep laminar flows in mini-channels and micro-channels.

The above literature review clearly indicates that the flow boiling heat transfer of HFC refrigerants in small diameter channels

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A_o</td>
<td>outside surface area of the heated inner pipe, m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bo</td>
<td>Boiling number, Bo = \frac{q}{\dot{m} \cdot \rho_l}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c_p</td>
<td>specific heat, J/kg°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_h</td>
<td>hydraulic diameter, m, D_h = (D_o - D_i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_p</td>
<td>dimensionless mean bubble departure diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_p</td>
<td>bubble departure diameter, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_d</td>
<td>dimensionless mean bubble departure frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_r</td>
<td>bubble departure frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>Froude number, Fr = \frac{G}{\rho_l g D_h^3}, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_r</td>
<td>friction factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>acceleration due to gravity, m/s^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>mass flux, kg/m^2s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h_r</td>
<td>boiling heat transfer coefficient, W/m^2°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i_f</td>
<td>enthalpy of vaporization, J/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_l</td>
<td>liquid thermal conductivity, W/m°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n_ac</td>
<td>active nucleation site density, n/m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_ac</td>
<td>dimensionless active nucleation site density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_conf</td>
<td>Confinement number, N_conf = \frac{1}{\beta_{ac} \cdot \lambda_{ac}}, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ja</td>
<td>Jakob number, Ja = \frac{\lambda_{ac} \cdot \lambda_{ac}}{\beta_{ac} \cdot \lambda_{ac}}, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nu</td>
<td>Nusselt number, Nu = \frac{h_r D_h}{k_l}, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greek symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\Delta T_s</td>
<td>wall superheat, (T_w - T_s), °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\Delta T_{sub}</td>
<td>inlet subcooling, (T_{sat} - T_r), °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\delta</td>
<td>gap size, mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\mu_l</td>
<td>viscosity of liquid refrigerant, N · s/m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\rho_v</td>
<td>vapor and liquid densities, kg/m^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\Delta \rho</td>
<td>density difference, \Delta \rho = \rho_v - \rho_l, kg/m^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\sigma</td>
<td>surface tension, N/m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
remains largely unexplored. In a recent study [26], we measured the saturated flow boiling heat transfer and investigated the associated bubble characteristics for R-407C in a horizontal narrow annular duct. In this continuing study, we move further to explore the heat transfer and bubble behavior in subcooled boiling flow of R-407C in the same duct. The effects of the imposed heat flux, gap size, and R-407C mass flux, inlet subcooling and refrigerant saturated temperature on the boiling heat transfer characteristics will be examined in detail. Particularly, flow visualization is conducted to examine the bubble characteristics such as the mean bubble departure diameter and frequency from the heating surface, intending to improve our understanding of the subcooled flow boiling processes in a narrow channel. Data from this study for R-407C will be compared with those for R-134a reported in the previous study [27] and with some existing correlations for small diameter channels proposed in the open literature.

2. Experimental apparatus and procedures

The experimental system employed in the previous study [26] is also used here to investigate the subcooled flow boiling of R-407C in a narrow annular duct. It is schematically depicted in Fig. 1. The experimental apparatus consists of three main loops, namely, a refrigerant loop, a water–glycol loop, and a hot-water loop. As schematically shown in Fig. 2, the test section of the apparatus is a horizontal annular duct with the outer pipe made of Pyrex glass to permit the visualization of boiling processes in the refrigerant flow. The glass pipe is 160 mm long with an inside diameter of 20.0 mm. Its wall is 4.0 mm thick. Both ends of the pipe are connected with copper tubes of the same size by means of flanges and are sealed by O-rings. The inner copper pipe has 16.0 or 18.0-mm nominal outside diameter with its wall being 1.5 or 2.5 mm thick and is 0.41 m long. Thus the gap of the annular duct is 2.0 or 1.0 mm \((D_h = 4.0 \text{ or } 2.0 \text{ mm})\). Note that the outside surface of the inner pipe is polished by fine sandpaper. Besides, to insure the gap between the inner and outer pipes being uniform, we first measure the outside diameter of the inner pipe and the inside diameter of the glass pipe by digital calipers whose resolutions are 0.001 mm with the measurement accuracy of ±0.01 mm. Then we photo the top and side view pictures of the annular duct and measure the average radial distance between the inside surface of the glass pipe to the outside surface of the inner tube. From the above procedures the duct gap is ascertained and its uncertainty is estimated to be 0.02 mm. It is also noted that the flow enters the duct long before the heated section so that the entrance effects on the boiling are small. An electric cartridge heater of 160 mm in length and 13.0 mm in diameter with a maximum power output of 800 W is inserted into the inner pipe. Furthermore, the pipe has an inactive heating zone of 10-mm long at each end and is insulated with Teflon blocks and thermally nonconducting epoxy to minimize heat loss from it. Thermal contact between the heater and the inner pipe is improved by coating a thin layer of heat-sink compound on the heater surface before the installation of the heater. Then, 8 T-type calibrated thermocouples are electrically insulated by electrically nonconducting thermal bond before they are fixed on the inside surface of the inner pipe so that the voltage signals from the thermocouples are not interfered with.
the DC current passing through the cartridge heater. The thermocouples are positioned at three axial stations along the inner pipe. At each axial station, two to four thermocouples are placed at top, bottom, or two sides of the pipe circumference with 180° or 90° apart. The outside surface temperature of the inner pipe $T_w$ is then derived from the measured inside surface temperature by taking into account the radial heat conduction through the pipe wall. The details of the three loops, photographic apparatus, data acquisition unit, and experimental procedures are already available in our early studies [26–28] and are not repeated here. The data repeatability is insured by measuring each data point three times and the deviations of the measured values from their average should be all less than 5%.

3. Data reduction and verification of experimental system

The imposed heat flux $q$ to the refrigerant flow in the annular duct is calculated on the basis of the net power input $Q_n$ and the total outside surface area of the inner pipe of the annular duct $A_i$.
as $q = Q_n/A_s$. The total power input $Q_n$ is obtained from the product of the measured voltage drop across the cartridge heater and electric current passing through it. Hence the net power input to the test section is equal to $(Q_n - Q_{loss})$.

The total heat loss from the test section $Q_{loss}$ is evaluated from the correlation of Churchill and Chu [29] for natural convection around a circular cylinder. To reduce the heat loss from the test section, it is covered with a polyethylene insulation layer. The outcomes from this heat loss test indicate that the total heat loss from the test section is generally less than 1% of the total power input no matter when single-phase or two-phase boiling flow is in the duct. The subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient at a given axial location is defined as

$$h_z = \frac{Q_{\text{loss}}}{A_s}$$

(1)

Here $T_r$ is the local bulk liquid refrigerant temperature and at the middle axial location it is approximated by the equation

$$T_r = \frac{T_{r,z} + T_{r,0}}{2}$$

(2)

Uncertainties of the measured heat transfer coefficients are estimated according to the procedures proposed by Kline and McClintock [30] for the propagation of errors in physical measurement. The results from this uncertainty analysis are summarized in Table 1.

In order to check the suitability of the experimental system for measuring the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficients, the single-phase liquid R-407C heat transfer data for the liquid Raynolds number range from 3459 to 14,640 are measured first and compared with the well-known traditional forced convection correlation proposed by Gnielinski [31], as that in the previous study [26-28]. The results manifest that the present data can be well correlated by their correlation with a mean absolute error of 3.9%. Thus the established system is considered to be suitable for the present subcooled R-407C flow boiling experiment.

### 4. Results and discussion

The present R-407C subcooled flow boiling experiments are performed for the refrigerant mass flux $G$ varying from 300 to 600 kg/m$^2$s, imposed heat flux $q$ from 0 to 45 kW/m$^2$, inlet liquid subcooling $\Delta T_{\text{sub}}$ from 3 to 6 °C, and system pressure $P$ set at 776 kPa and 899 kPa (corresponding to $T_{\text{sat}} = 10$ °C and 15 °C) for the gap of the duct $\delta = 1.0$ and 2.0 mm. The ranges of the parameters chosen above are in accordance with some air-conditioning applications. The measured boiling heat transfer data are expressed in terms of the boiling curves and boiling heat transfer coefficient. Moreover, selected flow photos and data deduced from the images of the boiling processes taken at a small region around the middle axial station $z = 80$ mm are presented to illustrate the bubble characteristics in the boiling flow.

#### 4.1. Subcooled flow boiling curves

The effects of the experimental parameters including the R-407C refrigerant mass flux, inlet subcooling, saturated temperature and the gap size of the duct on the subcooled boiling curves measured at the middle axial location of the duct are illustrated in Fig. 3. The results in Fig. 3 indicate that for a given boiling curve, at low imposed heat flux the temperature of the heated wall is below $T_{\text{sat}}$ of R-407C and heat transfer in the duct is completely due to the single-phase forced convection. As the imposed heat flux is raised gradually, the heated wall temperature increases slowly to exceed $T_{\text{sat}}$ at a certain $q$ and we have a positive wall superheat $\Delta T_{\text{sat}} (T_w - T_{\text{sat}})$. When $\Delta T_{\text{sat}}$ reaches a certain critical level, a small increase in $q$ causes boiling to suddenly appear on the heated wall and the heated wall temperature drops immediately to a noticeable degree. Thus, there is a significant temperature overshoot during the onset of nucleate boiling (ONB) in the subcooled flow boiling. Note that the temperature overshoot can be as high as 5.8 °C for $G = 600$ kg/m$^2$s, $\delta = 1.0$ mm, $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C and $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 3$ °C (Fig. 3(a)). Note that the influence of the refrigerant mass flux on the magnitude of the temperature overshoot during ONB is slight. Besides, a slightly higher wall superheat is needed to initiate the nucleate boiling for a higher $G$ due to the thinner thermal boundary layer on the heated surface. Beyond the ONB, a small rise in $\Delta T_{\text{sat}}$ causes a large increase in the wall heat transfer rate and the slopes of the boiling curves are much steeper than those for the single-phase convection. Considering further with the data in Fig. 3(a) reveals that beyond ONB, the refrigerant mass flux exhibits rather slight effects on the boiling curves. Nevertheless, in the single-phase region the heated wall temperature is somewhat affected by the refrigerant mass flux. The higher $G$ causes the higher liquid velocity in the channel resulting in a shorter time for the refrigerant to be heated. Thus, the imposed heat flux needed to initiate ONB is larger at a higher mass flux.

Next, the effects of the inlet liquid subcooling on the subcooled boiling curves are shown in Fig. 3(b). Note that higher wall superheat and higher imposed heat flux are needed to initiate the boiling on the heated surface for a higher $\Delta T_{\text{sub}}$. It is also noted that the boiling curves are not affected to a noticeable degree by the inlet liquid subcooling in the two-phase region. However, in the single-phase region a higher liquid subcooling results in a higher heat transfer from the heated wall to the refrigerant flow.

Then, the effects of the refrigerant saturated temperature on the subcooled boiling curves are exemplified in Fig. 3(c). It is noted that higher $T_{\text{sat}}$ and $q$ are needed to achieve ONB for a lower saturated temperature. This is attributed to the fact that at a lower $T_{\text{sat}}$, the surface tension of R-407C is higher. At the higher surface-tension, the liquid refrigerant is more difficult to completely flood the cavities, which in turn retards the bubbles to nucleate from the cavities on the heated surface. Otherwise, the effect of $T_{\text{sat}}$ on the boiling curves is rather slight.

Finally, the effects of the duct gap on the subcooled boiling curves are shown in Fig. 3(d). It is noted that somewhat lower wall superheat and imposed heat flux are needed to initiate the boiling on the heated surface for a smaller $\delta$. This primarily results from the fact that for given $G$, $q$, $T_{\text{sat}}$ and $\Delta T_{\text{sub}}$, the mass flow rate through the duct is lower for a smaller $\delta$. Thus, the axial temperature rise of the refrigerant flow is larger for a smaller $\delta$, which results in a required lower $\Delta T_{\text{sat}}$ and $q$ at ONB. It is also noted that the boiling curves are shifted significantly to the left in the nucleate boiling region as the gap size is decreased, indicating that the boiling heat transfer in the duct with a smaller gap is much better.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annular pipe geometry</strong></td>
<td>±1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length, width and thickness (%)</td>
<td>±2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameter measurement</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, $T$ (°C)</td>
<td>±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature difference, $\Delta T$ (°C)</td>
<td>±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System pressure, $P$ (kPa)</td>
<td>±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass flux of refrigerant, $G$ (kg/m²s)</td>
<td>±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcooled flow boiling heat transfer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imposed heat flux, $q$ (%</td>
<td>±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient, $h_z$ (%)</td>
<td>±14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2. Subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficients

The R-407C subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficients measured at the middle axial location (z = 80 mm) in the narrow annular duct affected by the four experimental parameters are shown in Fig. 4. The results in Fig. 4 indicate that the increase of \( \Delta h \) with the imposed heat flux is relatively significant for all cases. We note from Fig. 4(a) that the refrigerant mass flux exhibits only a rather slight effect on the boiling heat transfer coefficient. Besides, the boiling heat transfer is much better with a smaller inlet liquid subcooling (Fig. 4(b)). For instance, at \( q = 40 \text{ kW/m}^2, T_{\text{sat}} = 15^\circ \text{C}, G = 500 \text{ kg/m}^2\text{s} \) and \( \delta = 1.0 \text{ mm}, \Delta h \) for \( \Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 3^\circ \text{C} \) is about 14\% higher than that for \( \Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6^\circ \text{C} \) (Fig. 4(b)). Then, the refrigerant saturated temperature shows relatively slight effects on the boiling heat transfer coefficient (Fig. 4(c)). It is of interest to note from the data in Fig. 4(d) that reducing the duct size can effectively enhance the subcooled boiling heat transfer in the duct. For the specific case with \( q = 40 \text{ kW/m}^2, T_{\text{sat}} = 15^\circ \text{C}, G = 500 \text{ kg/m}^2\text{s} \) and \( \Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 3^\circ \text{C}, \Delta h \) for \( \delta = 1.0 \text{ mm} \) is about 40\% higher than that for \( \delta = 2.0 \text{ mm} \) (Fig. 4(d)). This is considered to mainly result from the fact that in the narrower duct the radial gradient of the liquid axial velocity is larger, which in turn exerts higher shear force on the bubbles nucleated from the wall and causes them to depart from the heating surface at a higher rate.

It is noted that for each case the data in Fig. 3 for the boiling curves allow us to read the heated surface temperature and then the data in Fig. 4 for the boiling heat transfer coefficient can be used to find the refrigerant temperature at the middle axial location. Finally, the refrigerant temperature at the duct outlet can be evaluated from Eq. (2).
To reveal the bubble characteristics, the photos of the R-407C boiling flow for the cases at selected experimental conditions taken from a small region around the middle axial location are shown in Fig. 5. First, the bubbles at the low q of 25 kW/m² for the case at $T_{sat} = 15 \, ^\circ C$, $G = 500 \, kg/m^2s$, $\delta = 1.0 \, mm$ and $\Delta T_{sub} = 3 \, ^\circ C$ can be seen from Fig. 5(a). Checking with the video tapes recording the bubble motion discloses that the bubbles form and grow at the active nucleation sites while they experience a short period of stationary growth to a certain size and then detach from the heating surface and accelerate into the subcooled liquid refrigerant. As the imposed heat flux is increased slightly to $q = 35 \, kW/m^2$ (Fig. 5(b)), more bubbles are nucleated and they collide and coalesce occasionally. The coalesced bubbles rise faster than the tiny bubbles due to the larger buoyancy force associated with them. Coalescence of the bubbles occurs more frequently and irregularly as the imposed heat flux is raised to 45 kW/m² (Fig. 5(c)). In general, increasing the imposed heat flux directly provides more energy to the cavities and more cavities on the heating surface can be activated. Besides, the higher buoyancy from the higher wall superheat causes the bubble departure frequency to increase substantially with the imposed heat flux. Moreover, the bubble departure diameter increases slightly with the imposed heat flux due to the higher wall superheat.

Next, Figs. 5(d)–(f) show the bubble characteristics around the middle axial location affected by the refrigerant mass flux by presenting the photos for the higher G of 600 kg/m²s but at the same q, $T_{sat}$, $\delta$ and $\Delta T_{sub}$ as that for Figs. 5(a)–(c). The higher speed of the refrigerator flow for a higher G can sweep the bubbles more quickly away from the cavities resulting in a higher bubble departure frequency and the smaller bubble departure diameter. Besides, at a higher G the liquid temperature is lower for a given imposed heat flux at a given $\Delta T_{sub}$ Thus, less bubble nucleation is activated on the heated wall and the bubble population falls.

Then, the effects of the inlet liquid subcooling on the bubble characteristics are illustrated by comparing the photos shown in Figs. 5(g)–(i) with Figs. 5(a)–(c) respectively for $\Delta T_{sub} = 6 \, ^\circ C$ and $3 \, ^\circ C$ at $q = 25–45 \, kW/m^2$, $G = 500 \, kg/m^2s$, $\delta = 1.0 \, mm$ and $T_{sat} = 15 \, ^\circ C$. In general, the bubbles are larger at a lower liquid subcooling due to the weaker vapor condensation and more bubble coalescence at a lower $\Delta T_{sub}$. In addition, an increase in the inlet subcooling results in the reduction of the bubble departure frequency and active nucleation sites. This is due to the fact that at a higher $\Delta T_{sub}$, the liquid R-407C temperature at the subcooled liquid–vapor interface is relatively low compared to the hot heated surface. Thus the wall superheat is not high enough to sustain the continuing growth of the bubbles for an increase in $\Delta T_{sub}$.

Additionally, the effects of the refrigerant saturation temperature on the bubble characteristics are exemplified by comparing the photos shown in Figs. 5(j)–(l) with Figs. 5(a)–(c) respectively for $T_{sat} = 10 \, ^\circ C$ and $15 \, ^\circ C$ at $q = 25–45 \, kW/m^2$, $G = 500 \, kg/m^2s$, $\delta = 1.0 \, mm$ and $\Delta T_{sub} = 3 \, ^\circ C$. In general, bubbles are larger due to the higher surface tension at a lower saturation temperature with more bubble coalescence. Besides, the active nucleation sites increase with increasing $T_{sat}$ due to lower surface tension and enthalpy of vaporization.

Finally, the bubble characteristics affected by the duct size are shown by comparing the results in Fig. 5(m)–(o) with Fig. 5(a)–(c). It is noted that the bubbles are slightly bigger in the smaller duct. Besides, the bubble departure frequency is higher. The larger bubbles collide and coalesce more frequently in the narrower duct. It is due to the larger axial temperature rise of the refrigerant flow with a smaller duct gap, which in turn results in a higher liquid temperature at the interface between gas and liquid. Thus, more large bubbles are formed from the coalescence of the small bubbles in the smaller ducts. As the heat flux is raised to $q = 45 \, kW/m^2$ (Figs. 5(c) and (o)), the bubbles in the smaller ducts coalesce even more frequently and the large bubbles somewhat deform due to the space limitation.

To be quantitative on the bubble characteristics, we video tape the motion of bubbles and estimate the mean bubble departure diameter, frequency and the active nucleation site density in the bubbly flow by carefully tracing the bubble motion from the images of the boiling flow. The outcomes from this estimation

---

**Fig. 5.** Photos of bubbles in the subcooled flow boiling of R-407C in a small region around middle axial location for various imposed heat fluxes, mass fluxes, inlet liquid subcoolings, saturated temperatures and gap sizes.
are examined in the following. The effects of the four experimental parameters on the mean bubble departure diameter for the R-407C subcooled flow boiling at the middle axial location (z = 80 mm) of the annular duct are shown in Fig. 6. Note that the increase of the bubble departure size with the heat flux is very significant for all cases presented here. Besides, the effects of the refrigerant mass flux shown in Fig. 6(a) indicate that the average bubble departure diameter \( d_p \) is slightly larger for a smaller \( G \). It is also noted that \( d_p \) is somewhat larger for a smaller liquid subcooling (Fig. 6(b)). Moreover, at a higher \( T_{sat} \) the average departing bubble is slightly smaller (Fig. 6(c)). Furthermore, the average departing bubbles are slightly larger in the narrower duct especially at high imposed heat flux (Fig. 6(d)). It is worth mentioning that even the size of the largest departing bubble is below 0.15 mm which is much smaller than the diameter of the outer glass pipe in the test section (\( D_p = 20.0 \) mm). Thus the observation of the bubble size through the curved surface of the glass pipe is not expected to produce significant error.

How the bubble departure frequency is affected by the four parameters for the subcooled R-407C flow boiling at the middle axial location in the annular duct are shown in Fig. 7. Note that the increase of the bubble departure frequency with the imposed heat flux is rather significant for all cases presented here. Besides, the bubble departure frequency is higher with higher refrigerant mass flux near ONB. Moreover, in Fig. 10 the present data for the R-407C subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient are compared with some existing empirical correlations proposed in the open literature. Note that the correlations from Shah [19] and Kandlikar [24] substantially over-predict our data. Similarly, the correlations from Bao et al. [4], Tran et al. [5], Liu and Winterton [20] also over-predict our data to some degree. However, our data are well correlated by the correlation of Fujita et al. [23]. The correlation of Fujita et al. is

\[
h_t = 0.884G^{0.143}q^{0.714}
\]

4.5. Comparison with some existing correlations

According to flow boiling mechanisms [17], heat transfer in the bubbly flow regime in the flow boiling can be roughly considered as a combination of single-phase liquid forced convection heat transfer \( q_c \) and pool boiling heat transfer \( q_p \). Thus, the total heat flux input to the boiling flow \( q_c \) can be expressed as

\[
q_t = q_p + q_c
\]

Here \( q_p \) and \( q_c \) can be respectively calculated from the relations

\[
q_p = \rho_v V_d N_{ac} h_L
\]

and

\[
q_c = E h_f (T_w - T_r)
\]

Note that in the above equation, an enhancement factor \( E \) is added to \( q_c \) to account for the agitating motion of the bubbles which can enhance the single-phase convection heat transfer. Empirically, \( E \) and \( h_c \) can be correlated as

\[
h_c = 0.884G^{0.143}q^{0.714}
\]

4.6. Correlation equations

We move further to compare the present data for the R-407C subcooled flow boiling with that for R-134a subcooled flow boiling from Lie [27] measured in the same narrow annular duct in Fig. 9. The data from the boiling curves in Fig. 9(a) indicate that a slightly higher wall superheat is needed to initiate boiling for R-134a. This can be attributed to the lower surface tension for R-407C. Besides, the slope of the boiling curve for R-407C is much steeper, suggesting the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer for R-407C is much better. Indeed, the data in Fig. 9(b) manifest that R-407C has a much higher boiling heat transfer coefficient except at the low heat flux near ONB.
Fig. 7. Mean bubble departure frequency for subcooled flow boiling of R-407C: (a) for various refrigerant mass fluxes at $T_{sat} = 15^\circ C$, $\Delta T_{sub} = 3^\circ C$ and $d = 1$ mm, (b) for various inlet subcoolings at $T_{sat} = 15^\circ C$ $G = 500$ kg/m$^2$s and $d = 1$ mm, (c) for various saturated temperatures at $G = 500$ kg/m$^2$s, $\Delta T_{sub} = 3^\circ C$ and $d = 1$ mm, and (d) for various gap sizes at $T_{sat} = 15^\circ C$, $G = 500$ kg/m$^2$s, $\Delta T_{sub} = 3^\circ C$.

$E = \max \left( 1, N_{ac}^{0.2} Pr_{1}^{0.01} (1 + 200 \delta o)^{3} \right)$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

and

$h_i = \frac{\Delta h_{ac}}{D_{h}}$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

Note that $Nu_i$ is estimated from the Gnielinski correlation [31],

$Nu_i = \frac{(f_i / 8) (Re_i - 1000) Pr_i}{1 + 12.7 \sqrt{f_i / 8} (Pr_i^{1/3} - 1)}$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $f_i$ is evaluated from the relation

$f_i = (1.82 \times \log_{10} Re_i - 1.64)^{-2}$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

In Eq. (5) $\rho_g$ is the vapor density, $V_g$ is the mean vapor volume of the departing bubble which is equal to $\frac{\Delta h}{d_{p}} (\frac{2}{3})^{3}$, $f$ is the mean bubble departure frequency, $N_{ac}$ is the mean active nucleation site density, and $q_{ev}$ is the enthalpy of vaporization. Since the experimental $Re_l$ ranges from 5400 to 11,500, we use the Gnielinski correlation for $Re_l > 2300$ to evaluate the single-phase forced convection heat transfer. It is difficult to distinguish the individual bubbles at a higher imposed heat flux. Hence the above correlations do not apply to the data for $q > 40$ kW/m$^2$.

To enable the usage of the above correlation for computing the flow boiling heat transfer in the bubbly flow regime, the mean departing bubble size and frequency and the mean active nucleation site density on the heating surface need to be correlated in

Fig. 8. Mean active nucleation site density for subcooled flow boiling of R-407C: (a) for various refrigerant mass fluxes at $T_{sat} = 15^\circ C$, $\Delta T_{sub} = 3^\circ C$ and $d = 1$ mm, (b) for various inlet subcoolings at $T_{sat} = 15^\circ C$ $G = 500$ kg/m$^2$s and $d = 1$ mm, (c) for various saturated temperatures at $G = 500$ kg/m$^2$s, $\Delta T_{sub} = 3^\circ C$ and $d = 1$ mm, and (d) for various gap sizes at $T_{sat} = 15^\circ C$, $G = 500$ kg/m$^2$s, $\Delta T_{sub} = 3^\circ C$. 

The average bubble departure diameter in the R-407C subcooled flow boiling in the narrow annular duct estimated from the present flow visualization can be correlated as

$$\frac{D_p}{\sqrt{\sigma/\left(G \Delta \rho\right)}} = \frac{160 N_{\text{conf}} \left(\rho_1/\rho_g\right)^{0.6}}{\text{Re}_{1}^{0.5} \left[Ja + 150 \rho_1/\rho_g\phi^{0.9} \right]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Here $Ja$ is the Jakob number defined as

$$Ja = \frac{\rho_1 - C_p \cdot \Delta T_{\text{sub}}}{\rho_g \cdot h_g}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

**Fig. 9.** Comparison of R-407C and R-134a subcooled flow boiling.

**Fig. 11(a)** shows that almost all the present experimental data for $d_p$ fall within ±25% of the above correlation and the mean absolute error is 13.3%. Besides, an empirical equation is proposed for the product of the mean bubble departure diameter and frequency as

$$F_d = \frac{f \cdot d_p}{\mu_1/(\rho_1 \cdot D_h)} = 1600 \cdot \text{Re}_{1}^{0.887} \cdot \text{Ja}^{0.05} \cdot Bo^{0.887} \cdot N_{\text{conf}}^{0.3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

**Fig. 10.** Comparison of the present data for heat transfer coefficient in the subcooled flow boiling of R-407C with existing correlations.
Note that almost all the experimental data for $d_p$ collected in this study can be correlated within ±20% by Eq. (13) and the mean absolute error is 10% (Fig. 11(b)). Finally, we propose an empirical correlation for the average active nucleation site density in the R-407C subcooled flow boiling as

$$N_{ac} = n_{ac} d_p^2 = -0.035 + 1700B_0 - Re_1^{0.25} \cdot J_a^{0.25} \cdot N_{conf}^{-0.05} \quad (14)$$

Fig. 11(c) shows that nearly all the present experimental data fall within ±30% of the above correlation and the mean absolute error is 14.8%.

When the correlations for $d_p, f$, and $n_{ac}$ given in Eqs. (11)-(14) are combined with Eqs. (4)-(10) for $q_b$, more than 90% of the heat transfer data for the bubbly flow regime measured in the present study fall within ±30% of the correlation proposed here with a mean deviation of 17.3% (Fig. 11(d)).

5. Concluding remarks

The experimental heat transfer data for the subcooled flow boiling of R-407C in the narrow annular duct have been presented here along with the bubble behavior in the boiling flow. Effects of the imposed heat flux, refrigerant mass flux, inlet subcooling, saturated temperature, and duct size on the R-407C subcooled flow boiling heat transfer and associated bubble characteristics have been investigated. Moreover, comparison of the present data with some existing correlations is conducted. The major outcomes obtained here can be summarized in the following.

1. The temperature overshoot at ONB is significant for the subcooled flow boiling of R-407C in the narrow annular duct.
2. The subcooled boiling heat transfer coefficient increases with a decrease in the duct size, but decreases with an increase in the inlet subcooling. Besides, raising the imposed heat flux can cause a significant increase in the boiling heat transfer coefficients. However, the effects of the refrigerant mass flux and saturated temperature on the boiling heat transfer coefficient are small but cannot be entirely neglected in the narrow duct examined here.
3. Visualization of the bubble motion in the boiling flow reveals that the bubbles are suppressed by raising the refrigerant mass flux and inlet subcooling. The mean bubble departure diameter, mean bubble departure frequency and active nucleation site density reduce at increasing inlet subcooling. Moreover, raising the imposed heat flux produces positive effects on the bubble population, coalescence and departure frequency.
4. The boiling heat transfer coefficient, mean bubble departure diameter, bubble departure frequency and active nucleation site density in the R-407C subcooled flow boiling are correlated in terms of the relevant dimensionless groups.
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