A further investigation of effects of jet-disk separation distance on steady mixed convective vortex flow resulting from a confined impinging air jet
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ABSTRACT

We extend our previous study [J.C. Hsieh, T.F. Lin, Effects of jet-to-disk separation distance on the characteristics of mixed convective vortex flow in an impinging air jet confined in a cylindrical chamber, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 48 (2005) 511–525] here to further investigate how the jet-disk separation distance H affects the mixed convective vortex flow resulting from a round air jet impinging onto a heated horizontal circular disk confined in a vertical cylindrical chamber. The experiment is conducted for the jet-disk separation distance varying from 40.0 to 60.0 mm and the jet flow rate is varied from 0 to 12.0 slpm (standard liter per minute) for the jet Reynolds number Rej ranging from 0 to 1623. The temperature difference between the disk and the air injected into the chamber is varied from 0 to 25.0 °C for the Rayleigh number Rar ranging from 0 to 507,348. The data from the present study for the ratio $H/D_j = 4–6$ are compared with our previous study for $H/D_j = 1–3$. The results indicate that the critical jet Reynolds numbers for the onsets of the secondary and tertiary inertia-driven rolls and for the onset of the buoyancy-driven roll vary nonmonotonically with the jet-disk separation distance due to the complicate changes of the vortex flow structure with H. In the steady vortex flow, both the primary inertia-driven roll and the buoyancy-driven roll get larger at increasing jet-disk separation distance before they contact with each other for $H/D_j = 1$ and 2. But for $H/D_j \geq 3$ the primary roll and buoyancy roll do not always grow at increasing H. Finally, empirical correlations are proposed for the critical conditions leading to the onsets of the inertia- and buoyancy-driven vortex rolls.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Considerable amount of work has been carried out in the past to investigate the fluid flow and heat transfer in the round or slot jet impinging onto a large horizontal plate. Most of the studies focus on quantifying the highly efficient heat transfer associated with the high speed impinging jets. Thus the flow driven by the jet inertia is much stronger than the flow driven by the buoyancy. For instance, to explore the detailed vortex flow structure, Fitzgerald and Garimella [2] and Morris et al. [3,4] used numerical simulation and flow visualization to investigate the vortex flow characteristics for a liquid impinging jet, which were found to be influenced by the jet Reynolds number and jet-disk separation distance. For the applications such as growth of semiconductor thin crystal films on heated silicon wafers through the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) processes, low speed impinging jets are often employed and the buoyancy-driven secondary flow can be relatively strong. Recently, the vortex flow structures resulting from a low speed gas jet impinging onto a heated horizontal disk confined in a vertical cylindrical chamber at low $Re_j$ were visualized by Hsieh et al. [5], which showed that the inertia and buoyancy-driven gas flow recirculation was typically in the form of three circular vortex rolls. In addition, the effects of the jet Reynolds number and jet-disk separation distance on the locations of the centers of the primary and secondary inertia-driven vortex rolls were investigated by Law and Masliyah [6]. Details on the size and locations of these vortex rolls affected by the jet Reynolds and Richardson numbers for a laminar confined slot jet were examined by Sahoo and Sharif [7]. For a confined laminar slot impinging jet the critical jet Reynolds number for the onset of unsteady flow was numerically shown to be between 585 and 610 by Chiriac and Ortega [8]. According to the turbulence intensity measurement at a nozzle exit, Lin et al. [9] suggested that the jet at a Reynolds number smaller than 1226 may be regarded as in an initially laminar flow regime. Santen et al. [10,11] indicated that the onset of thermal instability became earlier at increasing buoyancy-to-inertia ratio. Moreover, a laminar impinging jet with small pulsation at the outlet of the jet was numerically simulated by Poh et al. [12]. Law and Masliyah [13] found that the impinging jet flow structure was significantly influenced by the chamber geometry. More complete information on the flow associated with the impinging jets can be found from the critical reviews by Jambunathan et al. [14], and Viskanta [15].

As mentioned above, the gas jet impinging onto the substrate in the CVD chamber is at relatively low flow rate and the buoyancy in the flow is no longer small compared with the jet inertia with the
Reynolds and Rayleigh numbers, respectively, ranging from 0.01 to 100.0 and from 10,000 to 1,000,000. The importance of the buoyancy on the recirculating flow in a vertical CVD reactor was demonstrated by Wahl [16]. Similar investigations have been carried out for various types of the metal organic CVD reactor [17–19]. Recently, Vanka and his colleagues [20, 21] conducted a series of computational studies to explore the effects of the flow rate, substrate rotation rate, and chamber geometry on the flow in impinging jet CVD reactors. Burwash et al. [22] reported that increasing the ratio of the jet-disk separation distance to the jet diameter could result in higher deposition efficiency and deposition density around the stagnation point on a wafer.

The above literature review clearly indicates that the chamber geometry plays an important role in the vortex flow induced in the chamber. More specifically, at increasing jet-disk separation distance the interactions between the inertia and buoyancy-driven vortex flows are expected to be more intense. Obviously, shortening the jet-disk separation distance is beneficial in suppressing the buoyancy-driven flow recirculations since Ra is proportional to $H^3$. But a small jet-disk separation distance is more likely to cause unwanted vapor deposition on the jet nozzle and top wall of the processing chamber. In the present study, we extend our earlier study [1] to further investigate the effects of the jet-disk separation distance on the characteristics of the vortex flow in a laminar jet impinging onto a horizontal heated disk confined in a vertical cylindrical chamber with a larger jet-disk separation distance ($HD_j = 4–6$). The results will be compared with those in our previous study [1] for $HD_j = 1–3$.

### 2. Experimental apparatus and procedures

In order to conduct the experiment at reasonably low cost, we use air as the working fluid in the present experiment to replace the inert gases normally employed in real CVD processes. In view of the similar thermodynamic and thermophysical properties for various gases, the results obtained here are still applicable to the CVD system. The experimental system established in our previous study [5] is employed here to investigate the effects of the jet-disk separation distance on the characteristics of inertia and buoyancy-driven vortex flow resulting from a round air jet impinging onto a heated horizontal circular disk confined in a vertical cylindrical chamber. A schematic of the experimental system is shown in Fig. 1. The present experimental system consists of five major parts: gas injection unit, processing chamber, heating unit, flow visualization unit, and temperature measurement unit.

The gas injection unit consists of a 2 HP air compressor, a flow meter, a smoke generator, filters, pressure regulator, and connection and injection pipes. In the experiments, the air is drawn from the ambient by the compressor and sent into a 300-L and 100-psi high-pressure air tank and is filtered to remove moisture and tiny particles. Then, the air is mixed with smoke tracers in the smoke generator. It is later injected into the processing chamber through the injection pipe which is coaxial with the processing chamber. The injection pipe diameter is fixed at 10.0 mm and the pipe is thermally well insulated by a superlon insulation layer of 16.0-mm thick. The straight portion of the pipe is 600.0 mm long. This length of the constant cross-section portion of the injection pipe is selected to ensure that it is long enough to have a fully developed air flow at the outlet of the injection pipe. The air temperature at the cross section 600.0 mm upstream of the injection pipe exit is measured by a T-type thermocouple. The measured value is considered as the temperature of the air injected into the processing chamber in view of the good thermal insulation over the pipe.

The processing chamber, which is made of 6.0-mm thick quartz glass to allow for the observation of the flow pattern in the chamber, is cylindrical and has a diameter of 291.0 mm. The distance between the chamber top and bottom is 200.0 mm. To facilitate the flow visualization, the chamber top is made of an acrylic plate. Air is injected vertically downward from the injection pipe into the cylindrical chamber and impinges directly onto the heated disk. The outside surface of the chamber is thermally well insulated by a superlon insulator of 10.0-mm thick. The insulator can be opened during the flow visualization experiment.

The heating unit is designed to maintain the circular disk at the preset uniform temperature during the experiment. It is composed of a 10.0-mm thick copper plate of eight-inch in diameter, acting as the disk, placed above another 20.0-mm thick copper plate of the same diameter, which is heated by D.C. power supplies. The lower copper plate is then placed on a bakelite plate. A gap height of 1 mm is kept between the two copper plates allowing the thermal radiation and convection to transfer heat from the lower to upper...
plates. The heater attached onto the back side of the lower copper plate is divided into three concentric zones. Each zone is independently heated by a power supply. Additionally, to reduce the significant energy loss from the sidewall of the copper plates and Teflon plate, the lateral surface of the entire heating unit is wrapped with a 16.0-mm thick thermal insulation layer of superlon. A proper control of the currents transferred from the power supplies to the heating coils leads to a nearly uniform disk temperature with a maximum deviation of 0.1 °C across the disk. The temperature of the upper copper plate at selected detection points is measured by three T-type thermocouples inserted into the plate by the small holes drilled on the backside of the plate.

A smoke-tracer flow visualization technique is employed to observe the flow patterns induced by the air jet impinging onto the heated disk in the cylindrical chamber. The smoke is produced from burning incense prepared from sandalwood. The smoke is mixed uniformly in the smoke generator and is carried out by the inlet air and then sent into the cylindrical chamber. The gas flow pattern in the chamber is illuminated by the vertical and horizontal plane light sheets produced by passing parallel lights from an overhead projector through adjustable knife edges. The time variations of the flow pattern from the side view are recorded by the Sony digital video camera DCR-PC330.

The air temperature in the processing chamber is measured by inserting a calibrated and corrected thermocouple probe into the chamber through 24 holes of 1.0 mm in diameter opened at the selected locations on the top of the chamber. The thermocouple probe is an OMEGA (model HYPO) hypodermic extremely small T-type thermocouple implanted in a 2.0-in. long stainless steel hypodermic needle.

Uncertainties in the Rayleigh number, jet Reynolds number and other independent parameters are calculated according to the standard procedures established by Kline and McClintock [23]. The uncertainties of the thermophysical properties of air are also included in the analysis. In addition, the uncertainties of the control unsteadiness and temperature non-uniformity are accounted for in the evaluation of the data uncertainty. The analysis shows that the uncertainties of temperature, volume flow rate, Re, and HD measurements are estimated to be less than ±0.2 °C, ±2%, ±2.3%, ±8.6%, and ±0.3%, respectively.
3. Results and discussion

In the present experiment the air flow in the chamber is at the atmospheric pressure. Three jet-disk separation distances are considered with $H = 40.0$, $50.0$ and $60.0$ mm for the jet flow rate $Q_j$ ranging from 0 to 12.0 slpm and the temperature difference between the disk and the air injected into the chamber $\Delta T$ is varied from 0 to 25.0°C. Some data from the previous study will be included here for comparison purpose. The dimensionless groups governing the flow are the ratio of the jet-disk separation distance to the injection pipe diameter, jet Reynolds number, and Rayleigh number (Grashof number). They are, respectively, defined as

$$HD_j = \frac{H}{D_j}$$

$$Re_j = \frac{\nu D_j}{\nu} = \frac{4 Q_j}{\pi \nu D_j}$$

$$Ra = \frac{g \beta \Delta T H^3}{\nu^2}$$

$$Gr = \frac{g \beta \Delta T H^3}{\nu^2} = Ra / Pr$$

Thus in the present study $HD_j$, $Re_j$, and $Ra$, respectively, vary from 4 to 6, 0 to 1623, and 0 to 507,348. Moreover, it is noted that the local buoyancy-to-inertia ratio for the wall-jet flow at the disk edge is an important parameter in dealing with the onset of the buoyancy-driven roll [1]. It can be expressed as

$$\frac{Gr}{Re_{we}} = \left(\frac{Gr}{Re_{j}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{8R_{we}}{D_j}\right)^2$$

In what follows selected flow photos taken from the flow visualization and the measured temperature data are examined closely to delineate how the gas flow characteristics are affected by the jet-disk separation distance.

3.1. Effects of $HD_j$ on onset of inertia-driven vortex rolls

The effects of the jet-disk separation distance on the critical conditions for the appearance of the inertia-driven vortex rolls are illustrated first. Here we investigate the onset of the inertia-driven rolls by visualizing the vortex flow in the chamber at various $Re_j$ for an unheated disk ($Ra = 0$) at increasing $Re_j$ until the vortex rolls start to appear. Note that the lowest jet flow rate which can be accurately resolved in the present experimental apparatus is 0.1 slpm. Even at this small $Q_j$ the primary inertia-driven roll is already seen in the chamber for all jet-disk separation distances tested here ($H = 10.0$–60.0 mm). Furthermore, for a continuing increase in $Re_j$, the secondary and tertiary inertia-driven rolls appear in sequence resulting from the shearing effect of the strong primary roll, which is already discussed in the previous study [1].

Table 1 summarizes the present data for the onset condition of the steady secondary and tertiary inertia-driven rolls at various jet-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vortex roll</th>
<th>Separation distance ($H$, mm)</th>
<th>Flowrate ($Q_j$, slpm)</th>
<th>$Re_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secondary inertia-driven roll</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary inertia-driven roll</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>703</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The roll does not appear in the range of the parameter tested in the present study, X.

Fig. 2. Steady side view flow photos taken at the cross plane $\theta = 0^\circ$ and 180° for various $HD_j$ at $\Delta T = 0^\circ$ C ($Ra = 0$) and $Q_j = 2.0$ slpm ($Re_j = 270$).
disk separation distances. It is of interest to note from these results that at a small jet-disk separation distance for $HD_j$ increased from 1 to 3 the onset of the secondary inertia-driven roll becomes earlier but the onset is delayed to a higher $Re_j$ at a larger jet-disk separation distance for $HD_j$ raised from 3 to 4. This nonmonotonic variation in the critical $Re_j$ for the onset of the secondary roll at increasing $HD_j$ can be attributed to the unusual change of the secondary roll with $HD_j$ shown in Fig. 2. The results indicate that for $HD_j \leq 3$ the primary, secondary and corner rolls all grow in size for an increase in $HD_j$. But for $HD_j$ raised from 3 to 4 both the primary inertia-driven roll and corner roll also grow substantially in size while the secondary roll is squeezed to become smaller. So the critical jet Reynolds number for the onset of the secondary roll for $HD_j = 4$ is higher. Note that the secondary roll is not seen in the chamber for $HD_j = 5$ and 6, which could be ascribed to the growth of the primary roll at increasing $HD_j$ and it directly contacts with the corner roll at this high $HD_j$. No space is available for the secondary roll. In fact, for $HD_j = 5$ and 6 the secondary roll is not induced in the entire range of the $Q_j$ tested in the present study.

The typical pattern of the tertiary inertia-driven vortex roll for $HD_j$ varied from 1 to 6 is shown in Fig. 3. The flow is axisymmetric even for the cases without steady state at long time for a high $Re_j$ ($HD_j = 4–6$), and hence only the side view flow photos at the vertical plane $\theta = 0^\circ$ are given here. The data in Table 1 indicate that the onset of the tertiary roll takes place at lower $Re_j$ as $HD_j$ increases from 1 to 3. This is simply because the primary inertia-driven roll is stronger at higher $HD_j$ for a given $Re_j$, which in turn causes an earlier onset of the tertiary roll. However, the onset of the tertiary roll is delayed to a higher $Re_j$ for $HD_j$ raised from 4 to 5. This is conjectured to result from the fact that for $HD_j = 5$ no secondary roll appears and the primary roll nearly occupies the entire chamber at a high $Re_j$. Thus it is more difficult for the tertiary roll to be induced. But the critical $Re_j$ for the onset of the tertiary roll becomes smaller for a stronger primary roll as $HD_j$ is raised further from 5 to 6. It is noted from Fig. 3 that the vortex flow structures in the chamber for $HD_j = 5$ and 6 are significantly different from that for $HD_j = 1–4$ as the tertiary roll starts to appear in the chamber, which in turn results in the nonmonotonic variation in the critical $Re_j$ for the onset of the tertiary roll at increasing $HD_j$.

Finally based on the present data given in Table 1, the onset conditions of the secondary and tertiary rolls can be expressed as:

(a) for the secondary inertia-driven roll

$$Re_j = 296.8 - 61.3 \times HD_j^1 + 13.8 \times HD_j^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

for $1 \leq HD_j \leq 4$ and $108 \leq Re_j \leq 243$

(b) for the tertiary inertia-driven roll

$$Re_j = 1489.3 - 719.5 \times HD_j + 191 \times HD_j^2 - 15.5 \times HD_j^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

for $2 \leq HD_j \leq 6$ and $622 \leq Re_j \leq 771$.

When compared with our experimental data, the standard deviations of Eqs. (6) and (7) are, respectively, 7.2% and 5.5%.

3.2. Effects of $HD_j$ on onset of buoyancy-driven vortex roll

Next, the onset of the buoyancy-driven vortex roll is examined. When there is a temperature difference between the jet and disk the buoyancy-driven roll begins to appear in the region near the heated disk edge as $Re_j$ is decreased to a certain value for a given $Re_j$. Fig. 4 shows some vortex flow patterns for the cases with the absence and presence of the buoyancy roll for various $Re_j$ and $HD_j$ at $\Delta T = 5°$ C. The measured critical values for the onset of buoyancy roll for various $H$ are summarized in Table 2. The buoyancy roll appears as the jet Reynolds number is below the critical value listed in the table. The data show that the critical $Q_j$ for the onset of buoyancy-driven roll is higher for a higher $\Delta T$, indicating that the buoyancy force is higher at a higher $\Delta T$ and the buoyancy roll can appear in a wider range of $Re_j$. It is also noted from the table that for $H = 20.0–40.0$ mm the buoyancy-driven vortex roll always appears at certain higher $\Delta T$ even for $Q_j$ is raised to the highest level of 12.0 slpm tested here. Moreover, it is of interest to note from the experiment that the buoyancy roll always appears at the intermediate $H$ of 30.0 mm for $\Delta T \geq 0.5°$ C. The data given in Table 2 indicate the onset of the buoyancy roll for the small jet-disk separation distance with $H = 10.0$ and $20.0$ mm occurs at a constant local buoyancy-to-inertia ratio at the disk edge $Gr/Re_j^2$ as already observed in the previous study [1.5]. However, this is not the case for $H \geq 40.0$ mm. In fact, the critical buoyancy-to-inertia ratio increases significantly with $H$ for a larger jet-disk separation distance with $H = 40.0–60.0$ mm. This can be attributed to the fact that only the primary inertia-driven roll and buoyancy-driven roll exist in the chamber and they are in close contact for $H = 40.0–60.0$ mm (Fig. 4), unlike that for $H = 10.0$ and $20.0$ mm in which the inertia and buoyancy-driven rolls separate from each other. So the critical $Gr/Re_j^2$ for the onset of buoyancy roll needs to be higher to overcome the stronger mutual pushing of the two rolls at a higher $H$. Moreover, at the same $\Delta T$ the critical $Q_j$ for the onset of buoyancy roll increases for $HD_j$ raised from 1 to 2 but decreases for...
$HD_j$ raised from 4 to 6. For instance at $\Delta T = 5$ °C, the critical $Q_j$ increases from 2.8 to 8.0 slpm for $HD_j$ raised from 1 to 2 but decreases from 9.2 to 5.1 slpm for $HD_j$ raised from 4 to 6 (Table 2). This variation of the critical $Re_j$ for the onset of the buoyancy roll again can be attributed to the changes of the vortex flow structure for $HD_j$ varied from 4 to 6 (Fig. 5). Furthermore, empirical equations are proposed to correlate the data given in Table 2 for the onset of the buoyancy-driven roll as

$$Gr/Re_{we}^2 = 34.0$$ (8)

for $1 \leq HD_j \leq 2, 470 \leq Ra \leq 7516$, and $379 \leq Re_j \leq 1542$ and

$$\left(Gr/Re_{we}^5/HD_j^5\right)^{0.25} = 0.00125$$ (9)

for $4 \leq HD_j \leq 6, 30,065 \leq Ra \leq 507,348$, and $811 \leq Re_j \leq 1542$.

When compared with our experimental data, the standard deviations of Eqs. (8) and (9) are, respectively, 2.2% and 5.7%.

### 3.3. Effects of $HD_j$ on vortex flow characteristics

How the jet-disk separation distance affects the gas flow pattern at long time in the chamber with the disk unheated ($\Delta T = 0$ °C) is demonstrated in Fig. 6 by presenting the steady state side view flow photos for the cross plane $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ for various $HD_j$ and $Re_j$ at $\Delta T = 5$ °C.
The buoyancy-driven vortex roll always appears in the range of $Q_j$ tested in the present study, $\circ$.

The buoyancy-driven vortex roll is so large and it directly contacts with the primary inertia-driven roll. However, the buoyancy force is much stronger than the inertia force as $H_D$ is raised from 3 to 6 in a such lower $Q_j (=1.0$ slpm), which in turn results in the growth of the buoyancy roll and the decay of the primary roll. For a higher $Q_j$ of 3.0 slpm the results in Fig. 5(b) show that the secondary inertia-driven roll exists in the chamber for the small $H$ of 10.0 and 20.0 mm. At the larger $H(\geq 30.0$ mm) the primary and buoyancy rolls contact with each other for larger $H_D$ and the secondary roll does not appear. Besides, at this higher $Q_j$ the growth of the primary roll with $H$ is much stronger than buoyancy roll. In fact, we note a slight decay of the buoyancy roll for $H_D$ raised from 3 to 4, which is opposite to that for the lower $Q_j$ of 1 slpm shown in Fig. 5(a). Furthermore, stronger mutual pushing of the primary and buoyancy rolls at a higher $H$ causes the vortex flow to become time-dependent for $H_D$ raised to 5 and 6 in a such higher $Q_j (=3.0$ slpm).

### 3.4. Temperature distributions in vortex flow

In addition to the vortex flow characteristics presented above, selected results from the measured steady air temperature distributions in the vortex flow are shown in Fig. 7 along with the corresponding side view flow photos for $Q_j = 1.0$ slpm and $\Delta T = 5{^\circ}C$ for $H \geq 40.0$ mm along a horizontal line at the middle horizontal plane between the disk and chamber top at $\theta = 0{^\circ}$. The non-dimensional air temperature $\Phi$ is defined as $(T - T_d)/(T_f - T_d)$. The air temperature increases slightly with the radial distance measured from the jet axis and reaches a maximum in the region when the wall-jet separates from the disk surface. For a further increase in the radial distance the air temperature starts to decline due to the presence of the buoyancy-driven roll and drops sharply near the sidewall of the chamber. A close examination of the data further reveals that at increasing jet-disk separation distance the temperature peak moves toward to the jet axis, reflecting the fact that we have a smaller primary inertia-driven roll and a larger buoyancy-driven roll for a higher $H_D$. Thus the above nonmonotonic radial air temperature distributions result directly from the presence of the primary inertia-driven and buoyancy-driven vortex rolls in the chamber and the deflection of the impinging jet flow by these rolls. The above conclusion for the radial air temperature distributions is further substantiated by the results given in Fig. 8 for a slightly higher $Q_j$ of 1.7 slpm.

![Fig. 5. Steady side view flow photos taken at the cross plane $\theta = 0{^\circ}$ and 180° for various $H_D$ at $\Delta T = 5{^\circ}C$ for (a) $Q_j = 1.0$ slpm ($R_0 = 135$) and (b) $Q_j = 3.0$ slpm ($R_0 = 406$).](image-url)
Fig. 6. Steady side view flow photos taken at the cross plane $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ for various $HD_j$ at $\Delta T = 0^\circ$ $C$ ($Ra = 0$) for (a) $Q_j = 1.0$ slpm ($Re_j = 135$) and (b) $Q_j = 3.0$ slpm ($Re_j = 406$).

Fig. 7. Radial variation in non-dimensional steady air temperature with $Re_j = 135$ ($Q_j = 1.0$ slpm) and $\Delta T = 5.0$ $^\circ$C at $Z = 0.5$ on the vertical plane $\theta = 0^\circ$ for $HD_j = 4$, 5, and 6.
4. Concluding remarks

An experiment combining flow visualization and temperature measurement is conducted in the present study to explore how the jet-disk separation distance affects the steady mixed convective vortex flow resulting from a round air jet impinging onto a heated horizontal circular disk confined in a vertical cylindrical chamber. The major results obtained in the present study can be briefly summarized in the following:

1. The secondary inertia-driven roll does not appear at a larger jet-disk separation distance for $HD_j = 5$ and 6. Moreover, for $HD_j$ raised from 1 to 3 the critical $Re_j$ for the onset of the secondary roll becomes earlier but the opposite is the case when $HD_j$ is raised from 3 to 4.

2. The critical $Re_j$ for the onset of tertiary inertia-driven roll varies nonmonotonically with the jet-disk separation distance raised from 1 to 6 due to the complicate variations of the vortex flow structures with the jet-disk separation distance.

3. The buoyancy-driven roll always appears for $HD_j = 3$ even at a small temperature difference between the heated disk and injection air. Moreover, for $HD_j$ increased from 1 to 2 the critical $Re_j$ for the onset of the buoyancy-driven roll is delayed to a higher $Re_j$ but the onset becomes earlier as $HD_j$ is raised from 4 to 6.

4. For the cases with the disk unheated, the primary, secondary, and corner rolls all grow with $HD_j$ except that the secondary roll decays as $HD_j$ is raised from 3 to 4.

5. For the cases with the disk heated, at low $Re_j$ both the primary inertia-driven roll and the buoyancy-driven roll get larger at increasing $HD_j$ for a small jet-disk separation distance ($HD_j = 1$ and 2). But for $HD_j \geq 3$ the primary roll and buoyancy roll contact with each other, the primary roll decays and the buoyancy roll grows with the jet-disk separation distance at the lower $Q_j$ of 1 slpm and the opposite is true at the higher $Q_j$ of 3 slpm.

6. The nonmonotonic radial air temperature distributions are found to result from the presence of primary inertia-driven and buoyancy-driven vortex rolls in the chamber and the deflection of the impinging jet flow by these rolls.

7. Empirical equations are proposed to correlate the conditions leading to the onsets of the primary, secondary, tertiary, and buoyancy rolls.
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