New Series of Ruthenium(II) and Osmium(II) Complexes Showing Solid-State Phosphorescence in Far-Visible and Near-Infrared
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A new RuII complex, [Ru(fpbpymH)2Cl2] (1), in which fpbpymH = [5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazol-3-yl](2,2'-bipyrid-6-yl)methane, was prepared by the treatment of [Ru(DMSO)3Cl2] with 2 equiv of the terdentate chelate fpbpymH in refluxing ethanol. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of 1 revealed a distorted octahedral RuII framework, showing strong N—H···Cl hydrogen bonding between the fpbpymH ligand and Cl anions. In the presence of Na2CO3, the methylene linkers of chelates in 1 underwent stepwise oxygenation, forming the charge-neutral complexes [Ru(fpbpymH)2(pbpymH)] (2) and [Ru(fpbpymH)2](3) [fpbpymH = [5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazol-3-yl](2,2'-bipyrid-6-yl)ketone] in sequence. The respective charge-neutral OsII complex [Os(fpbpymH)2] (4) was also isolated by the treatment of OsCl3·3H2O with 2 equiv of the terdentate chelate fpbpymH. Electrochemical analysis indicated that the introduction of the electron-withdrawing ketone group in 2–4 increased the metal-based oxidation potential in sequence. For the photophysical properties, complexes 1–4 are essentially nonluminescent in solution (e.g., CH2Cl2 or MeOH) at room temperature, but all exhibit 600–1100 nm phosphorescence with moderate intensity for the powdery, solid sample at room temperature. The trend in terms of the emission peak wavelength of 1 (666 nm) < 3 (795 nm) < 2 (810 nm) < 4 (994 nm) among titled complexes is in agreement with the corresponding onset of absorption spectra as well as the time-dependent density functional theory calculation of 1 < 3 < 2 < 4.

1. Introduction

Since the first preparation of the 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (tpy) chelate was reported over 75 years ago,1 the chemistry of tpy-based, transition-metal complexes has been the target of extensive investigation.2 In particular, the respective RuII and OsII complexes have exhibited excellent chemical stability, reversible redox processes, and good absorptivity and luminescence in visible and near-infrared (NIR) regions.3 Recently, the fast development of transition-metal-based supramolecular chemistry has also revitalized relevant research on bis-terdentate RuII and OsII complexes. This is mainly attributed to the fact that, upon insertion of conjugated π bridges between these complexes, the resulting rodlike donor–acceptor molecular assemblies are capable of executing directional electron and/or energy transfers with high efficiencies.4

Accordingly, much effort has been made in the design and synthesis of terdentate polypyridine ligands to improve the photophysical properties of the corresponding RuII and OsII complexes. The typical approach takes advantage of the substituent effects for tuning the energy gap of MLCT and MC states, which involves the insertion of judiciously selected heterocyclic substituents to the tpy chelate. Also, an increase of the ligand bite angle was attempted by making the complex adopt a less distorted octahedral arrangement and hence increased the ligand field strength and reduced the nonradiative decay. For instance, as shown in Chart 1, when one methylene group is introduced between the pyridyl units of terpyridine, the ligand bite angle of the corresponding complex [Ru(bppm)2]2+ (bppm = [6-(2,2'-bipyridyl)-2-pyridyl)methene]) is increased, affording a longer excited-state lifetime (17–18 ns) relative to its parent complex [Ru(tpy)2]2+ (0.25 ns), for which the shortened lifetime is most probably due to the distortion of metal–ligand bond away from the perfect octahedral arrangement. This leads to a decrease of the ligand field strength and a stabilization of the non emissive d–d excited states relative to the desired metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) excited state. Furthermore, employing 2,6-bisquinolin-8-yl)pyridine (bqp), because of its capability of forming double hexagonal metallacycles, could, in turn, reduce the strain energy. As a consequence, the as-prepared octahedral complex [Ru(bqp)2]2+ shows a markedly enhanced excited-state lifetime of 3.0 μs at room temperature. It is thus feasible that the enlargements of the ligand bite angle may gain positive impact on the improvement of both the chemical and photophysical properties of metal complexes.

Alternatively, as being ascribed to a class of terdentate chelate 6-(5-trifluoromethyl-pyrazol-3-yl)-2,2'-bipyridine (fpbpyH; Chart 2) has latent potential for the preparation of various luminescent metal complexes for organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs) as well as dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC) applications. Particularly, the related bidentate azolate chelates have been widely utilized in obtaining emissive RuII, OsII, IrIII, and PtIV metal complexes that are useful for the fabrication of highly efficient phosphorescent OLEDs. Encouraged by these successful attempts, we decided to shift our research endeavors to the [5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazol-3-yl][2,2'-bipyrid-6-yl]methane (fpbpyH) ligand, which is a modification of the respective terdentate fpbpyH. Upon introduction of a saturated methylene linker, fpbpyH would exhibit better skeletal flexibility in a manner similar to that of the bppm and bap chelates discussed earlier. It is thus of great interest to know if such a modification has any effect on the chemical and photophysical properties versus those synthesized using its parent fpbpyH chelate as well as the oxidized fpbpyH analogue, [5-(trifluoromethyl)-pyrazol-3-yl][2,2'-bipyrid-6-yl] ketone (see Chart 2).

Herein, we describe the syntheses and structural and photophysical studies of three RuII complexes 1–3 emanating from the reaction of the terdentate fpbpyH with a [Ru(DMSO)Cl2] reagent, among which 2 and 3 are obtained from a ligand oxidation occurring on their predecessor 1 (see Chart 3). On the other hand, the reaction of fpbpyH with OsCl3 failed to afford nonoxidized and partially oxidized complexes.
derivatives, namely, [Os(fpbpymH)H]+ and [Os(fpbpym- (fpbpym)]2+. The successful isolation of 2-4 demonstrates a higher tendency to form the fpbpk chelate, which could be due to the synergism involving the greater π stabilization induced by the carbonyl group and facile oxidation at the activated position in the ligand framework of Ru2-based polypyridophenazine complexes. Moreover, the methylene-to-carbonyl conversion discussed, which is similar to what occurred in 2,6-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)pyridine, caused the resulting fpbpk ligand to afford a much reduced ligand-centered energy gap, as evidenced by their photophysical and electrochemical data elaborated in the following sections.

2. Experimental Section

General Information and Materials. All reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere using anhydrous solvents or solvents treated with an appropriate drying reagent. Oxygen-18O2, 97 atom % 18O, was purchased from ISOTEC. Mass spectra were obtained on a JEOL SX-102A instrument operating in electron impact (EI) or fast atom bombardment (FAB) mode. 1H and 19F NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury-400 or INOVA-500 instrument. Elemental analyses were conducted at the NSC Regional Instrumentation Center at National Chiao Tung University.

The targeted fpbpymH chelate was prepared in three consecutive steps. First, 6-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine was obtained from the reaction of 2,2'-bipyridine with methyl lithium and oxidative workup, while 6-acetonyl-2,2'-bipyridine was obtained from the reaction of 2,2'-bipyridine with ethyl trifluoroacetate. The resulting fpbpymH ligand was synthesized by condensation of 6-acetyl-2,2'-bipyridine and ethyl trifluoroacetate, followed by cyclization with an excess of hydrazine hydrate in refluxing ethanol.

Preparation of [5-(Trifluoromethyl)pyrazol-3-yl)(2,2'-bipyridyl-6-y1)methane (fpbpymH). To a stirred mixture of NaOD (1.2 g, 17.63 mmol) and THF (45 mL) at 0°C was added a 30 mL solution of 6-acetonyl-2,2'-bipyridine (2.5 g, 11.78 mmol) in THF, followed by the addition of ethyl trifluoroacetate (2.1 mL, 30.1 mmol). The mixture was allowed to heat for 12 h at 80°C and then was quenched with 2 M HCl until pH 5–6. The resulting mixture was extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 × 80 mL). The combined extracts were washed with water, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, and concentrated under vacuum to give the corresponding β-diketone compound (3.5 g).

Without further purification, hydrazine monohydrate (98%, 3.0 mL, 62 mmol) was added into a solution of the β-diketone reagent in EtOH (50 mL). After reflux for 12 h, the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in CH2Cl2 (100 mL), and the solution was washed with water, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, and concentrated. Finally, the product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a 7:3 mixture of CH2Cl2 and ethyl acetate, giving the terdentate ligand fpbpymH as a white solid. Yield: 1.3 g, 4.27 mmol, 36%.

Spectral data for fpbpymH: EI-MS m/z: 304 (M+); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 8.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 8.43 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 8.33 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.08 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.86 (t, J = 7.8 and 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 4.26 (s, 2H); 19F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl3) δ = –62.13 (s, 3F).

Preparation of [Ru(fpbpymH)2Cl2] (1). A mixture of Ru(DMSO)2Cl2 (97 mg, 0.200 mmol) and fpbpymH (129 mg, 0.424 mmol) in ethanol (30 mL) was refluxed for 12 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was recrystallized from a saturated CH2Cl2 and ethanol mixture (1:1) to give dark-brown crystals. Yield: 80 mg, 0.102 mmol, 51%. Single crystals were obtained upon placement of the supersaturated solution of I in a 1:2 mixture of methanol and CH2Cl2.

Spectral data for 1: 1H NMR (500 MHz, methanol-d3, 298 K) δ 8.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 8.43 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 8.33 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.08 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.86 (t, J = 7.8 and 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 4.26 (s, 2H); 19F NMR (470 MHz, methanol-d3, 298 K) δ = –62.12 (s, 6F, CF3). Anal. Calcld for C30H18F6N8ORu: C, 49.94; H, 2.89; N, 15.30. Found: C, 49.75; H, 2.89; N, 15.30.

Preparation of [Ru(fpbpym)(fpbpym)]2 (2). A mixture of [Ru(DMSO)2Cl2] (77 mg, 0.159 mmol), fpbpymH (102 mg, 0.335 mmol), and Na2CO3 (36 mg, 0.340 mmol) in ethanol (25 mL) was refluxed for 12 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated; the residue was then subjected to silica gel column chromatography, and the product was eluted with a 2:1 mixture of CH2Cl2 and acetonitrile. Complex 2 was further purified by recrystallization from a 1:3 mixture of acetone and hexane at room temperature. Yield: 40 mg, 0.0554 mmol, 35%. Single crystals were obtained by the slow diffusion of an n-hexane solvent into the acetone solution of 2.

Spectral data for 2: MS (FAB, 101Ru) m/z: 723 (M+1); IR (KBr) ν(CO) 1628 cm–1; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD2CN, 298 K) δ: 8.48 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.54 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.25–8.17 (m, 3H), 8.12 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 7.00 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (s, 1H), 4.17 (d, J = 17 Hz, 1H); 19F NMR (470 MHz, CD2CN, 298 K) δ = –61.31 (s, 3F, CF3). Anal. Calcld for C29H24F8N6Ru: C, 49.4; H, 2.51; N, 15.53. Found: C, 49.75; H, 2.89; N, 15.30.

Preparation of [Ru(fpbpym)(fpbpym)]3 (3). Method A. A mixture of [Ru(DMSO)2Cl2] (83 mg, 0.171 mmol), fpbpymH (112 mg, 0.368 mmol), and Na2CO3 (57 mg, 0.538 mmol) in 25 mL of diethylene glycol monoethyl ether (DME) was heated at 170°C for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was subjected to alumina oxide column chromatography, eluting with a 9:1 mixture of CH2Cl2 and acetonitrile. Complex 3 was further purified by recrystallization from a 1:5 mixture of acetone and diethyl ether at room temperature. Yield: 45 mg, 0.0612 mmol, 36%. Single crystals were obtained by the slow diffusion of n-hexane into an acetone solution of 3.

Method B. A mixture of 2 (10 mg, 0.0138 mmol) and Na2CO3 (15 mg, 0.141 mmol) in ethanol (25 mL) was refluxed for 12 h under an oxygen atmosphere. Application of similar workup procedures gave isolation of 8.5 mg of 3 (0.0115 mmol, 83%).

Method C. A mixture of [Ru(DMSO)2Cl2] (36 mg, 0.0743 mmol), fpbpymH (49 mg, 0.161 mmol), and Na2CO3 (31 mg, 0.292 mmol) in 10 mL of DGME was heated at 170°C for 12 h under an oxygen atmosphere. Application of similar workup procedures gave isolation of 40 mg of 3 (0.054 mmol, 73%).
Spectral data for 3: MS (FAB, 101Ru) m/z 736 (M+); IR (KBr) ν(CO) 1630 cm⁻¹; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD3CN, 298 K) δ 8.80 (dd, J = 8.25 and 1.25 Hz, 2H, 8.62 (dd, J = 8.25 and 1.25 Hz, 2H, 8.31 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.70 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 6.64 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (s, 2H), 7.06 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), ν(CO) 1626 cm⁻¹; 19F NMR (470 MHz, CD3CN, 298 K) δ = -61.45 (s, 6F, CF3). Anal. Calcd for C30H16F6N8O2Ru: C, 48.99; H, 2.19; N, 15.23. Found: C, 49.24; H, 2.46; N, 14.94.

Preparation of 18O-Enriched 3. To a nitrogen-filled Schlenk flask was added [Ru(DMSO)4Cl2] (50 mg, 0.103 mmol), Na2CO3 (69 mg, 0.651 mmol), and degassed DGME (10 mL). The flask was evacuated, and 18O2 was admitted into the flask until the pressure of the 18O2 gas reached 1 atm. The above mixture was heated at 150 °C for 24 h. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was subjected to alumina oxide column chromatography, eluting with a 9:1 mixture of CH2Cl2 and acetonitrile. Recrystallization from a 1:5 mixture of acetonitrile and diethyl ether gave 71 mg of 3 (0.08 mmol, 93%).

Preparation of [Os(fpbyn)3] (4). A mixture of OsCl3·3H2O (49 mg, 0.140 mmol) and fpbynH (108 mg, 0.355 mmol) in 20 mL of DGME was heated at 170 °C for 24 h. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography, eluting with a 10:1 mixture of CH2Cl2 and acetonitrile. The product was further recrystallized from a 1:6 mixture of acetone and diethyl ether at room temperature. Yield: 37 mg, 0.045 mmol, 32%. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction study were obtained by the slow diffusion of n-hexane into an acetonitrile solution of 4.

X-ray Diffraction Studies. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of 1–4 were measured on a Bruker SMART Apex CCD diffractometer using Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The data collection was executed using the SMART program. Cell refinement and data reduction were performed with the SAINT program. The structure was determined using the SHELXTL/PC program and refined using full-matrix least squares. The crystals of 1 are of relatively poor quality because of the incorporation of three different kinds of solvates in the crystal lattices, namely, CH2Cl2, water, and methanol; thus, its crystallographic details and distances and angles showed much larger standard deviations and had to be handled with caution. The crystallographic refinement parameters of complexes 1–4 are summarized in Table 1.

Spectral Measurement. Steady-state absorption was recorded with a Hitachi (U-3310) spectrophotometer. For measurement of the NIR emission, a continuous-wave Ar-ion laser (514 nm, Coherent Innova 90) was used as the excitation source and was modulated at approximately 140 Hz by a mechanical chopper. The NIR emission was then recorded at a direction perpendicular to the pump beam and sent through a lock-in amplifier (Stanford Research System SR830) before being detected by an NIR-sensitive photomultiplier tube (Hamamatsu R5509-72) operated at -80 °C.

Electrochemical Measurement. Cyclic voltammetry was carried out in a three-compartment cell using a glassy carbon disk working electrode, a platinum counter electrode, and a Ag/AgCl (CH Instruments, 10 mM AgNO3 in MeCN) reference electrode. All experiments were performed in dry acetonitrile with 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate as the supporting electrolyte. Potentials are quoted versus the ferrocene/ferrocinium couple (Fc/Fc+ = 0.0 V).

Computational Methodology. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations on the electronic singlet and triplet states of complexes 1–4 were carried out using a hybrid Hartree–Fock/density functional model (PBE1PBE) based on the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional. Restricted and unrestricted formalisms were adopted in the singlet and triplet geometry optimization calculations, respectively. A double-ζ quality basis set consisting of Hay and Wadt’s effective core potentials (LANL2DZ) was employed for the Ru and Os atoms and a 6–31G* basis set for the H, C, N, O and F atoms, while a relativistic effective core potential was applied to the inner core

---

Table 1. Crystal Data and Structural Refinement Parameters for Complexes 1–4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Crystal Data</th>
<th>Space Group</th>
<th>Unit Cell Parameters (Å)</th>
<th>Refinement Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2CH2Cl·H2O</td>
<td>Monoclinic, P21/c</td>
<td>a = 16.6428(2)</td>
<td>293(2)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0428, wR2 = 0.1051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-C6H14</td>
<td>Monoclinic, P21/c</td>
<td>b = 19.3011(2)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0524, wR2 = 0.1127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Monoclinic, P21/c</td>
<td>c = 13.7405(10)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0400, wR2 = 0.1066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-2CH2O</td>
<td>Monoclinic, P21/c</td>
<td>β = 12.2193(2)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0400, wR2 = 0.1066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


electrons of those heavy transition metals. Time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) calculations for the \( S_0 \rightarrow S_0 \) and \( S_0 \rightarrow T_1 \) transitions using the B3LYP functional were then performed based on the optimized geometries at ground state to probe the emissive properties. Oscillator strengths were deduced from the dipole transition matrix elements (for singlet states only). All calculations were carried out using Gaussian 03.

Electronic configurations of MLCT and MC dd states were calculated following the literature methodology. The MLCT state geometry was obtained by geometry optimization along the triplet-state potential energy surface (PES) using the X-ray crystal structure as the initial geometry. As for the MC dd state, because the electron densities are mainly distributed on the central metal atom, the metal–chelate bonding interaction can be neglected for simplicity. We then performed geometry optimization of the MC dd state following the methodology illustrated in Persson’s work. The method starts with a distorted geometry, for which the metal–ligand bonds are largely elongated, so that its associated energy is expected to be far away from the global minimum along the PES. The resulting MC dd structure was then confirmed by the net spin values located on the transition metal from the Mulliken population analysis. The structure was then confirmed by the net spin values located on the transition metal from the Mulliken population analysis. The structure was then confirmed by the net spin values located on the transition metal from the Mulliken population analysis.

Electronic configurations of MLCT and MC dd states were calculated following the literature methodology. The MLCT state geometry was obtained by geometry optimization along the triplet-state potential energy surface (PES) using the X-ray crystal structure as the initial geometry. As for the MC dd state, because the electron densities are mainly distributed on the central metal atom, the metal–chelate bonding interaction can be neglected for simplicity. We then performed geometry optimization of the MC dd state following the methodology illustrated in Persson’s work. The method starts with a distorted geometry, for which the metal–ligand bonds are largely elongated, so that its associated energy is expected to be far away from the global minimum along the PES. The resulting MC dd structure was then confirmed by the net spin values located on the transition metal from the Mulliken population analysis. The structure was then confirmed by the net spin values located on the transition metal from the Mulliken population analysis.

CT(M) = %\(M\)HOMO→x - %\(M\)LUMO+y

where \%\(M\)HOMO→x and \%\(M\)LUMO+y are electronic densities on the metal in HOMO→x and LUMO+y. If the excited state, e.g., \(S_1\) or \(T_1\), is formed by more than one single-electron excitation, then the metal CT character of this excited state is expressed as a sum of the CT characters of each participating excitation, \(i\rightarrow f\):

\[\text{CT}_i(M) = \sum_{i,a} [C_i(\rightarrow f)]^2 [\%(M)_i - \%(M)] \]

where \(C_i(\rightarrow f)\) are the appropriate coefficients of the \(i\)th eigenvector of the CI matrix.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Characterization. Synthesis of the homoleptic Ru\(^{II}\) complex \(1\) was performed using [Ru(DMSO)\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)] and 2 equiv of fpbpyM to reflushing ethanol. This complex is soluble in protic solvents such as methanol. The \(\text{H}^\text{1}N\) NMR spectrum in CD\(_2\)OD exhibits two methylene doublets at \(\delta\) 4.93 and 4.42 with \(J_{\text{HH}} = 17\) Hz, consistent with their diastereotopic nature, together with multiple aromatic proton signals in the region \(\delta\) 7.80–8.40.

Moreover, both fpbpyM chelates appear as neutral ligands. In addition, these N–H protons are linked to two noncoordinated chlorides with distances Cl(1)···H(4) = 2.190 Å and Cl(2)···H(8) = 2.202 Å, showing obvious hydrogen-bonding interaction. The Ru–N\(_{\text{bpy}}\) bond distances span from 2.034 to 2.059 Å, which are comparable to the average distance for Ru–N vectors in [Ru(bpy)\(_3\)]\(^{2+}\) (\(\sim 2.056\) Å)29 but are longer than the middle Ru–N distances (1.974 and 1.981 Å) of [Ru(tpy)\(_3\)]\(^{2+}\), showing relief of the internal strain energy. The averaged Ru–N\(_{\text{pyrazolate}}\) distance is ca. 2.061 Å, which is also akin to...
those (2.063 and 2.065 Å) observed in neutral Ru^{II} complex [Ru(fpbmpym)]. Finally, one methylene proton of each fpbmpymH chelate displays a shortened nonbonding contact to one pyrazolate N atom of the second fpbmpymH chelate [N(8)···H(11A) = 3.083 and N(4)···H(26B) = 2.970 Å]. This intramolecular C···H···N bonding interaction is expected to increase its reactivity toward other reagents such as dioxygen present in the reaction media.

We then made attempts to conduct a reaction of 1 with Na_{2}CO_{3} for generation of the hypothetical neutral complex [Ru(fpbmpym)_{2}]. Another alternative involves heating of the metal reagent [Ru(DMSO)_{4}Cl_{2}] with 2 equiv of fpbmpymH in basified ethanol. Both reactions, unfortunately, failed to produce the designated [Ru(fpbmpym)_{2}] but instead afforded a purple product in ~35% yield, which is denoted as 2. The $^{1}$H NMR analysis of 2 showed two doublets, which integrate for a total of two protons at $\delta$ 4.79 and 4.54 with $J_{HH} = 17$ Hz. These spectral data unambiguously indicate one methylene-to-carbonyl group conversion, as revealed by the simultaneous appearance of a $\nu_{CO}$ stretching band at 1628 cm$^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum. Furthermore, the $^{1}$H NMR spectra of 2 exhibited a total of 16 aromatic resonances in the region $\delta$ 8.84–6.10, expected for two nonequivalent bpy and two pyrazolate fragments.

As indicated in Figure 2, the structure of 2 consists of a less distorted coordination geometry versus that observed for 1, showing bond angles of N(1)–Ru–N(3) = 172.2(1)$^\circ$, N(5)–Ru–N(7) = 173.3(1)$^\circ$, and N(2)–Ru–N(6) = 174.2(1)$^\circ$ and the absence of counteranions. For both terdentate chelates, Ru–N distances involving pyrazoles (2.036–2.039 Å) turned relatively shorter than those of the neutral bipyridine units (2.039–2.051 Å); the results can be rationalized by the increased Coulomb interaction between the cationic Ru^{II} metal center and anionic pyrazolates. On the one hand, the fpbmpym chelate shows a puckered geometry due to the sp$^{3}$-hybridized nature of the methylene group. On the other hand, the newly formed fpbpyk chelate reveals a planar arrangement, which is attributed to the sp$^{2}$-hybridized carbonyl group. It is also noted that the methylene group of the fpbmpym chelate remains close to the uncoordinated pyrazolic N atom of the fpbpyk chelate, for which the shorter C–H···N contact of 2.817 Å implicates nonnegligible bonding interaction, similar to those observed in 1. For a fair comparison, the sum of the van der Waals radii of N and H atoms is calculated as ~2.8 Å. Note that recent literature also reported the C–H···N hydrogen-bonding distances as ~2.95 Å in the 3D packing of self-assembled organic materials, which provides the maximum distance for weaker C–H···N bonding.

To assess the chemical stability of 2, we conducted thermolysis in a DGME solvent at 170 °C for a period of 24 h. This harsh condition gave formation of a second charge-neutral Ru^{II} complex 3 that possesses two fpbpyk chelates. Again, the X-ray crystal structural study of 3 was examined, revealing two mutually orthogonal fpbpyk chelates. Their bonding arrangement is similar to that observed in 2 (Figure 3), except that the C–O distances span 1.223 and 1.226 Å, while both fpbpyk chelates interact with the central Ru^{II} cation with typical Ru–N_{pppy} distances of 2.044–2.053 Å and Ru–N_{pyrazolate} distances of 2.016 and 2.039 Å.

We further carried out the reaction of fpbmpymH with the third-row congener OsCl_{3}·3H_{2}O in DGME at 170 °C, giving the doubly oxidized Os^{III} complex 4 as the sole product (Chart 3). As expected, this product showed no discernible high-field $^{1}$H NMR signal attributed to the methylene protons, showing its intimate correlation to the Ru^{II} complex 3. The single-crystal structural analysis of 4 was conducted. As shown in Figure 4, both of the skeletal arrangement and metric parameters are identical with those of 3.

Hence, according to the chemistry established for both Ru^{II} and Os^{III} systems, the instability of 1 is believed to be...
Figure 4. ORTEP diagram of 4 with thermal ellipsoids at 25% probability level; select bond distances: Os–N(1) = 2.047(4), Os–N(2) = 2.043(4), Os–N(3) = 2.041(4), Os–N(5) = 2.044(4), Os–N(6) = 2.047(4), Os–N(7) = 2.036(4), C(11)–O(1) = 1.227(6), C(26)–O(2) = 1.224(6) Å and bond angles: N(1)–Os–N(3) = 171.4(2), N(5)–Os–N(7) = 170.9(2), N(2)–Os–N(6) = 174.4(2)°.

<Figure 4>
result is intriguing. Because the emission is expected to be in NIR, one may promptly null that the emission is subject to dominant quenching via certain potential non-radiative pathways: (i) intersection with dd repulsive PES and (ii) operation of the energy gap law,35 stating that the electronic transition with a low energy gap may be quenched by either high-frequency vibrational modes or the low-frequency, high-density vibrational overlaps. The latter path of ii may mainly be attributed to the hindered vibration of the methylene or carbonyl group associated with the fpbpym or fpbpyk ligands. However, except for 1, pathway i is not quite possible because of the far separation between 3MLCT and 3MC dd states (vide infra). As for ii, the large amplitude, low-frequency vibrations, in theory, may be subsided in the rigid solid state. As a result, in the solid state, moderate emissions appear for complexes 2–4 at room temperature (see Figure 5). This viewpoint is also supported by the emission of 2–4 resolved in the 77 K MeOH glass, which showed a slight blue-shifted emission (see Figure S5 in the Supporting Information and Table 2). Moreover, the emission quantum yields span the range from 0.012 to 0.007 (cf. 2 and 4), which are far less efficient than that for Ru(tpy)2+ in 77 K methanol glass (Φ = 0.48).4a Because moderate/weak emission was still observed, it is thus confirmed that the nonradiative decay, in certain part, is governed by the high-frequency vibrational modes, i.e., the operation of energy gap law, because of the low energy gap. For 1, the emission yield in the 77 K methanol glass was measured to be ~0.08. In addition to pathway ii, we demonstrate in the Computational Methodology section that the 3MC dd state may also play a role in emission quenching.

The trend of the emission peak wavelength [666 nm (1) < 795 nm (3) < 810 nm (2) < ~1000 nm (4)] among titled complexes in the solid state is in agreement with the corresponding onset of absorption spectra of 1 < 3 < 2 < 4. To gain more insight into the photophysical properties of these NIR luminescent RuII and OsII complexes, the TDDFT method is applied on the basis of the optimized ground-state structures for all four complexes to calculate the properties of the low-energy electronic transitions. Major frontier orbitals involved in the low-lying transitions are depicted in Figure 6, while the
calculated properties, such as energy gaps in terms of wavelength ($\lambda$), oscillator strengths ($f$), and corresponding transition assignments, are listed in Table 3. For all complexes, the calculated lower-lying transitions match well with respect to the experimental results. For example, the $S_1$ states of $1$–$4$ are calculated to be around $\sim 490, 690, 615,$ and $700$ nm, the values of which are all close to the observed onsets of the absorption spectra recorded in an acetonitrile solution. The calculated $S_0$–$T_1$ gaps of $1$–$4$ ($1, 517$ nm; $2, 730$ nm; $3, 665$ nm; $4, 807$ nm) are also qualitatively in agreement with the blue edge of their emission spectra recorded in the solid state (powdery samples) as well as in the $77$ K methanol glass.

As listed in Table 3, the lowest singlet and triplet excited states ($S_1$ and $T_1$) for all four complexes are primarily attributed to the HOMO → LUMO transition, for which the HOMO of $1$–$4$ is mainly localized at the central metal atom and, in part, the pyrazolate moiety, while the LUMO is mainly located in the bipyridyl moiety, implying that the lowest-lying transition involves MLCT mixed with an intraligand charge-transfer transition. Both HOMO and LUMO energies of $1$ are apparently lower than those of other complexes due to the $2+$ positive charge associated with the metal center. Figure 6 also depicts the difference of the HOMO energy between Ru$^{II}$ ($3$) and Os$^{II}$ ($4$) complexes under the same ligand environment. Clearly, compared to the Ru$^{III}$ metal atom, the lower oxidation state of the Os$^{II}$ atom leads to a higher HOMO energy for $4$, consistent with the electrochemical result of the lower oxidation potential in $4$ (vide supra) and hence the smallest energy gap for the phosphorescence.

Finally, upon elongation of the chelate $\pi$ conjugation, the proof of concept for tuning the relative energy gap between the $3$MLCT emitting and $3$MC dd states is elaborated for all complexes. In this approach, the higher-lying $3$MC dd states were calculated following methodology illustrated by Persson. $^{16}$ Details of the calculation are described in the Experimental Section. The result shown in Figure 7 clearly indicates that the replacement of fppyhmH chelates in $1$ with at least one fppyhm chelate in $2$–$4$ renders better $\pi$ conjugation on the coordination sphere. The net result is to decrease the energy of the $\pi^*$ orbital and hence the reduction of the $3$MLCT energy level in $2$–$4$, whereas the $3$MC dd state is much less affected. This leads to an increase of the energy gap between the $3$MLCT and $3$MC dd states for $2$–$4$ than that for $1$, as shown in Figure 7. In fact, because of the proximity in the energy level for $1$, the $3$MLCT $\rightarrow$ $3$MC $\rightarrow$ $S_0$ radiationless deactivation process, in part, may account for its extremely weak emissive nature in solution at room temperature. In contrast, this process is less thermally accessible, as evidenced by the good emission yield ($\sim 0.08$; Table 2) of $1$ in the $77$ K matrix. Finally, despite the fact that a larger gap is observed between the $3$MLCT and $3$MC dd states for $2$ and likewise $3$ and $4$, emission was only slightly improved for $2$–$4$ in methanol at $77$ K simply because of their near-IR emission gap, which is subject to vibrational quenching.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, a new series of bipyridyl–pyrazolate chelates and the corresponding Ru$^{III}$ and Os$^{III}$ complexes $1$–$4$ were designed and synthesized. The exact molecular structures of $1$–$4$ have been well-established by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. Complex $1$ is readily oxygenated and converted to $2$, showing the formation of one fppyhm chelate bearing the carbonyl group, which is produced by methylene oxidation on the terdentate fppyhmH chelate. Upon a further increase in the temperature and extension of the reaction time, the moderately stable $2$ can be further oxidized to the thermodynamic product $3$ with two oxygenated fppyhm chelates. It is believed that the oxidation of the methylene...
may originate from an intramolecular C–H⋯N interaction between one methylene proton and the pyrazolate N atom of the adjacent fbpymH chelate, as well as the yet unexplored catalytic effect associated with both a centered metal cation and the dioxygen introduced. Thus, oxidative conversion from fbpymH to fpbpyk chelate caused significant alterations on the inherent properties of the corresponding metal complexes. Finally, all complexes exhibit 600–1100 nm far-visible and NIR emission in the solid state at room temperature, of which the spectral features can be well rationalized by the associated electronic transition properties. Changes in the emission property, in part, are due to the incorporation of a carbonyl group that increases π conjugation and releases the internal constraint between the metal and terdentate ligand. We thus believe that the results presented here might provide new insight into the further design of flexible terdentate bipyridyl pyrazolate ligands used in the preparation of RuII and OsII complexes with potentially high luminescent efficiency in the NIR region.
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