which is different for different values of $R_f$. In making measurements with large values of $R_f$ it was necessary to insure that $C_B$, $C_C$, and other stray capacitances did not significantly load the test transistor base circuit at the modulation frequency. Also, it was necessary to locate the RFC's and $C_B$ carefully to insure that microwave power did not enter the response measurement instrumentation. It was also found that in some RF generation equipment, the modulation scheme may introduce a video component on the RF line which, if not properly grounded through a dc path in the stub tuners, and otherwise decoupled through $C_C$, could be mistaken for test device response.
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LIST OF SYMBOLS

$C_0$ Gate oxide capacitance per unit area.
$G_0$ Drain-to-source conductance with zero drain voltage.
$G_{01}$ $G_0$ of transistor Q1 for the NELS-connected structure.
$G_{02}$ $G_0$ of transistor Q2 for the NELS-connected structure.
$G_{03}$ $G_0$ of transistor Q3 for the NELS-connected structure.
$I_{DS}$ Ratio of $G_{02}$ and $G_{01}$.
$I_{DS}$ Drain-source current.
$I_P$ Peak current.
$I_V$ Valley current.
$K$ Modifying substrate factor.
$L$ Channel length.
$N_A$ Doping concentration of p-type substrate.
$Q_{ss}$ Surface state charge density.
$Q_B$ Surface depletion layer charge density.

Robert E. Richardson, Jr. was born in Bridgeport, CT, on February 26, 1942. He received the B.S.E.E. degree from the University of New Hampshire, Durham, in 1965, and the M.S.E.E. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from Worcester Polytechnic Institute (WPI), Worcester, MA, in 1971. While at WPI he was employed at the WPI open pool Nuclear Reactor Facility. In 1972 he joined the technical staff at the Naval Surface Weapons Center, Dahlgren, VA, where he is conducting research on electromagnetic compatibility problems. Dr. Richardson is a member of Tau Beta Pi.
**I. INTRODUCTION**

Recently, several types of voltage-controlled negative differential resistance devices with the integrated transistor structure have been reported [1]-[6]. Among these, Takagi and Kano [1] reported a structure of two complementary junction field effect transistors with two terminals, which involved rather complicated integrated circuit fabrication technology. Other structures [2]-[6] were reported which had three terminals, but were shown to have some disadvantages; namely, the combination of JFET and the bipolar transistor with a different carrier type [5], [6], the difficulty in controlling the negative resistance region [2], [4], [5], and a rather large valley current [3], [5].

A new type of voltage-controlled negative resistance device—lambda MOSFET, which has three terminals, has been developed [7]. It has been shown that the lambda MOSFET not only involves very simple existing MOS integrated circuit fabrication technology but also exhibits controllable and useful characteristics. An analytical model of the NELS-connected A-type differential negative resistance MOSFET will be presented in Section II where the important device properties, such as the peak voltage, the valley voltage, and negative resistance, are derived in terms of the known device parameters. Comparisons between the characteristics of the fabricated devices and the theoretical model will be given in Section III where the theoretical analysis is shown to be in good agreement with the observed device characteristics. In the last section, conclusions will be made.

**II. DEVICE OPERATION AND SECTIONAL MODELS**

The basic circuit representation of the NELS-connected A-type MOSFET is shown in Fig. 1, which consists of three n-channel enhancement mode MOSFETs with a NELS-MOS inverter and an NMOS driver. Transistor Q1 acts as a load resistor with the gate shorted to the drain, which is used to modulate the input gate voltage of transistor Q3, and transistor Q2 behaves as an active switch with the gate electrode connected to the drain electrode of the driver transistor Q3. The substrate of the load transistor Q1, and the substrate and the source of transistors Q2 and Q3, are connected together.

\[ \text{IDS} = \frac{G_0}{2} \left[ V_{GS} - V_T \right]^2, \]

for the saturation region (3)
where threshold voltage $V_T$ and the drain-to-source conductance at zero drain voltage $G_0$ are two important parameters in the analysis. Conventionally, the threshold voltage of the n-channel MOSFET is defined as

$$V_T = V_{FBS} - \frac{Q_{ss}}{C_0} + 2\phi_{fp} - \frac{Q_B}{C_0}$$

and the ratio of the drain-to-source conductance at zero drain voltage for $Q_2$ to that of $Q_1$ is expressed as

$$G_r = G_{o2}/G_{o1}. \quad (4)$$

For simplifying the analysis, the output characteristic of the NELS-connected MOSFET device is divided into four regions as shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b), which are analyzed separately as follows.

**Region I**

In this region, transistor $Q_2$ is off and transistor $Q_1$ is kept in saturation. In order to sustain sufficient voltage across the drain to source of transistor $Q_1$ and maintain the channel nearly on, the gate-to-source voltage $V_{GS}$ of Fig. 1 can be expressed as

$$V_{GS} = V_{GG} - V_T - K\left[\sqrt{2\phi_{fp} + V_{GS}} - \sqrt{2\phi_{fp}}\right]$$

where the third term on the right-hand side is the threshold voltage change due to the substrate bias effect of transistor $Q_1$.

Solving (5) for $V_{GS}$ gives

$$V_{GS} = \left(V_{GG} - V_T + K\sqrt{2\phi_{fp} + \frac{K^2}{2}}\right)$$

$$+ K\left[\frac{V_{GG} - V_T + K\sqrt{2\phi_{fp} + \frac{K^2}{4}} + 2\phi_{fp}}{2}\right]^{1/2}. \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) shows that $V_{GS}$ is a function of $V_{GG}$ and is independent of $V_{DS}$. The output current $I_{DS}$ depends upon whether transistor $Q_3$ is operated in the linear region or in the saturation region. These conditions are classified as follows.

For $V_{DS}$ of $Q_3$ in the range $V_{DS} > V_{GS} - V_T$, $Q_3$ is in saturation, and the drain current is given by

$$I_{DS} = \frac{G_{o3}}{2} (V_{GS} - V_T)^2 \quad (7)$$

where $V_{GS}$ is given by (6).

For $V_{DS}$ of $Q_3$ in the range $V_{DS} < V_{GS} - V_T$, $Q_3$ is in the linear region, and the drain current is given by

$$I_{DS} = G_{o3} [(V_{GS} - V_T)V_{DS} - \frac{1}{2} V_{DS}]. \quad (8)$$

Two different cases can now occur.

Case I-a: $Q_3$ goes into saturation while in Region I [Fig. 3(a)].

Case I-b: $Q_3$ remains in the linear region throughout Region I [Fig. 3(b)].

**Region II**

In this region, $V_{DS}$ exceeds the threshold voltage of $Q_2$, and the drain voltage is such that transistor $Q_2$ remains in saturation. Since transistor $Q_1$ is always kept in saturation, we have

$$V_{DS} - V_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{G_r}} [(V_{GG} - V_T - K\sqrt{2\phi_{fp} + V_{GS}} - \sqrt{2\phi_{fp}}) - V_{GS}]$$

for $V_T < V_{DS} < V_{GS} + V_T. \quad (9)$

Operation in this region may also be classified into two cases.

Case II-a: Transistor $Q_3$ remains in saturation throughout Region II [Fig. 3(a)] when transistor $Q_3$ is operated in the saturation region; (7) gives the current where $V_{GS}$ is obtained from (9).

Case II-b: $Q_3$ starts out in Region II in the linear region, and goes into saturation at $V_{DS}$ [Fig. 3(b)].

When transistor $Q_3$ is in the linear region, (8) applies. This case always occurs for large values of $V_{GG}$.

The breakpoint $V_{DS}^{*}$ between Case II-a and Case II-b as shown in Fig. 3(b) is derived by substituting $V_{GS} = V_{DS} + V_T$ into (9), i.e.,

$$V_{DS}^{*} = [2(G_{r1/2} + 1)(V_{GG} + K\sqrt{2\phi_{fp}} - 2V_T) + K^2]$$

$$+ 2(G_{r1/2} + 1)^2 + \{4K^2(G_{r1/2} + 1)$$

$$\cdot (V_{GG} + K\sqrt{2\phi_{fp}} - 2V_T) + K^4 - 4K^2(G_{r1/2} + 1)$$

$$\times (2\phi_{fp} + V_T)\}^{1/2}] / 2(G_{r1/2} + 1)^2. \quad (10)$$
TABLE I
THE OPERATING POINTS OF EACH TRANSISTOR FOR A NELS LAMBDA MOSFET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>OFF</td>
<td>SAT (Case Ia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>SAT (Case IIa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>LIN</td>
<td>SAT (Case IIb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>LIN</td>
<td>OFF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Region III
In this region, Q2 is in the linear region. From Fig. 3(a) and (b), the breakpoint $V_{DS}$ between Region II and Region III can be found by substituting $V_{DS} = V_{DS} - V_T$ into (9), to obtain

$$ V_{DS} = \frac{1}{2} (G_{1/2})^2 + (V_{GS} - K_v^2 + K^2) / 2(G_{1/2}^2 + 1)^2 $$

From the equality between the drain currents of Q1 and Q2, a transfer relation can be written as

$$ I_D = G_{33} [V_{GS} - V_T]^2 $$

where $V_{GS}$ can be found from (12).

Region IV
In this case, transistor Q3 is off for $0 < V_{GS} < V_T$, so we obtain

$$ I_D = 0. $$

In order to clarify these four regions the operating points of each transistor are shown in Table I.

III. THE DETERMINATION OF DEVICE DC PARAMETERS
In order to accurately determine the device dc parameters, the mobility variation with respect to the gate voltage should be considered. In general, the drain-to-source conductance at zero drain voltage $G_{33}$ can be expressed by

$$ G_{33} = A(V_{GS} - V_T)^{1/2} $$

where $A$ and $r$ are two positive real constants.

From the considerations in Section II, the peak voltage and the peak current for the case shown in Fig. 3(a) can be expressed by

$$ V_p = V_T $$

$$ I_p = A (V_{GS} - V_T)^{1/2} $$

where $V_{GS}$ is expressed by (6).

Unfortunately, it is difficult to find the peak voltage and the peak current for the case shown in Fig. 3(b) in the analytical form. An alternative method is proposed to calculate $V_p$ and $I_p$ by solving the following simultaneous equations, which are

$$ V_{DS} = V_T + \frac{1}{G_{1/2}} [(V_{GS} - V_T) $$

$$ - K(\sqrt{2\phi_T} + V_{GS} - \sqrt{2\phi_T}) - V_{GS}] $$

for $V_T < V_{DS} \leq V_{GS} + V_T$ (18)

$$ I_D = A(V_{GS} - V_T)^{1/2} - V_{DS} - \frac{A}{2} (V_{GS} - V_T)^{1/2} V_{DS} $$

for $V_{DS} + V_T \leq V_{GS}$. (19)

The valley voltage $V_v$ of the output characteristic is found at the time when transistor Q3 is off. This can be obtained by substituting $V_{GS} = V_T$ into (12); i.e.,

$$ V_v = \frac{3}{2} V_T + \frac{V_{DS} - 2V_T - \frac{A}{2} (V_{GS} - V_T)^{1/2} V_{DS}^2}{2G_{1/2} V_T} $$

(20)

Theoretically, the valley current is assumed to be zero, but the thermal leakage current cannot be neglected for MOSFET; thus, we obtain

$$ J_v = J_{DSS} \text{ (leakage)}. $$

In general, the typical value of the leakage current for MOSFET is about several tenths of a nanoampere.

The value of the negative differential resistance is defined as

$$ R_N \frac{\partial V_{DS}}{\partial I_D} \bigg|_{V_{GS} = \text{constant}}. $$

For Region II, the calculation of negative resistance must be classified into two cases which are cited in Fig. 3(a) and (b). For the case of Fig. 3(a), we have

$$ R_N = \frac{\partial V_{DS}}{\partial I_D} \bigg|_{V_{GS} = \text{constant}} $$

$$ = \frac{\partial V_{DS}}{\partial V_{GS}} \bigg|_{V_{GS} = \text{constant}} $$

$$ = \frac{\partial V_{DS}}{\partial I_D} \bigg|_{V_{GS} = \text{constant}} $$

$$ = \frac{-K(2\phi_T + V_{GS} - \sqrt{2\phi_T}) - V_{GS}}{2G_{1/2} V_T} $$

for $V_T < V_{DS} \leq V''_D$ (23)
where \( V_{GS}^{*} \) is the breakpoint of Regions II and III, as shown in (11), and \( V_{GS} \) can be found from (9).

From Fig. 3(b), we also calculate the negative resistance in the range \( V_{P} < V_{DS} < V_{DS}^{*} \), where \( V_{P} \) is the peak voltage obtained from the simultaneous solution of (18) and (19); thus, we have

\[
R_{N} = \left\{-\frac{[K(\phi_{fp} + V_{GS})]^{-1/2} + 2}{[A(V_{GS} - V_{T})]^{-r}} \times \frac{1}{G_{T}^{1/2}} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{2A(1 - r) G_{T}^{1/2}(V_{GS} - V_{T})^{-r}}{(V_{GS} - V_{T})^{-r}} f(V_{GS})
\]

\[
+ A(V_{GS} - V_{T})^{-r}[K(\phi_{fp} + V_{GS})]^{-1/2} + 2 f(V_{GS})
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{G_{T}^{1/2}} \right\}
\]

\[
+ rA G_{T}^{1/2}(V_{GS} - V_{T})^{-r} f^{2}(V_{GS})
\]

(24)

where

\[
f(V_{GS}) = V_{T} + \frac{1}{G_{T}^{1/2}}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \left(V_{GS} - V_{T} \right) - K(\phi_{fp} + V_{GS} - \sqrt{\phi_{fp}}) - V_{GS} \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left[V_{GS} - V_{T} - K(\phi_{fp} + V_{GS} - \sqrt{\phi_{fp}}) - V_{GS} \right]
\]

\[
g(V_{GS}) = \left(V_{GS} - V_{T} \right) - K(\phi_{fp} + V_{GS} - \sqrt{\phi_{fp}}) - V_{GS}
\]

and \( V_{GS} \) can be calculated from (9).

For Region III, by virtue of (12), the negative resistance is

\[
R_{N} = \left\{-\frac{[K(\phi_{fp} + V_{GS})]^{-1/2} + 2}{[A(V_{GS} - V_{T})]^{-r}} \times \frac{1}{G_{T}^{1/2}} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{2A(1 - r) G_{T}^{1/2}(V_{GS} - V_{T})^{-r}}{(V_{GS} - V_{T})^{-r}} f(V_{GS})
\]

\[
+ A(V_{GS} - V_{T})^{-r}[K(\phi_{fp} + V_{GS})]^{-1/2} + 2 f(V_{GS})
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{G_{T}^{1/2}} \right\}
\]

\[
+ rA G_{T}^{1/2}(V_{GS} - V_{T})^{-r} f^{2}(V_{GS})
\]

(25)

where

\[
g(V_{GS}) = \left(V_{GS} - V_{T} \right) - K(\phi_{fp} + V_{GS} - \sqrt{\phi_{fp}}) - V_{GS}
\]

and \( V_{o} \) is the valley voltage expressed in (20), and \( V_{GS} \) can be calculated from (12).

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND THEORETICAL COMPARISONS

From Fig. 3 and the calculated results of Section III, transistors \( Q1 \) and \( Q2 \) play an important role in the control of the negative resistance range. For the purpose of high current operation, the layout dimension of transistor \( Q3 \) is the main factor. Moreover, the inversion layer effective mobility and substrate doping concentration should be responsible for the characteristic of the device.

Generally, the testing device used for the analysis is designed with \( G_{r} \neq 1 \). The layout parameters of the testing device are shown in Table II, where the geometric and effective dimensions are listed. The metallization pattern of the fabrication mask of the integrated device is shown in Fig. 4. The typical output characteristic of the fabricated Al-gate \( \Lambda \)-MOS device is shown in Fig. 5. The measured threshold voltage of the testing n-channel MOS is 0.9 V at \( I_{DS} = 1 \mu A \). From this, the fixed surface state charge density is estimated to be about \( 2 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2} \), which is consistent with the standard process of our laboratories.

The field effect mobility \( \mu_{FE} \) is also measured from the testing pattern. It is found that the effective mobility can be expressed by \( \mu_{FE} = 352.2(V_{G} - V_{T})^{-0.45} \) for \( V_{GS} - V_{T} > 0.5 \text{V} \) and \( 564.2(V_{G} - V_{T})^{0.475} \) for \( V_{GS} - V_{T} < 0.5 \text{V} \), which will give \( r = 0.45, A = 352.2 \) for \( V_{GS} - V_{T} > 0.5 \text{V} \), and \( r = -0.475, A = 564.2 \) for \( V_{G} - V_{T} < 0.5 \text{V} \). Some physical constants used are listed in Table III.

The calculated and the measured output characteristics for three different gate voltage \( V_{GG} \) are shown in Fig. 6. It is evident that more than 30 percent discrepancy appears in the negative resistance region. Although the boron surface segregation effect that comes from the impurity redistribution during the thermal growth of SiO2 is taken into consideration with segregation coefficient of \( m = 0.4 \), the slight discrepancy is still inevitable. The main reasons are due to assumptions of constant effective mobility in handling the current equation of the NELS inverter and the constant surface depletion charge density \( Q_{B} \) in the output current calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( V_{G} ) (V)</th>
<th>( V_{T} ) (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A = 564.2 for \( V_{G} - V_{T} < 0.5 \text{V} \). Some physical constants used are listed in Table III.

The calculated and the measured output characteristics for three different gate voltage \( V_{GG} \) are shown in Fig. 6. It is evident that more than 30 percent discrepancy appears in the negative resistance region. Although the boron surface segregation effect that comes from the impurity redistribution during the thermal growth of SiO2 is taken into consideration with segregation coefficient of \( m = 0.4 \), the slight discrepancy is still inevitable. The main reasons are due to assumptions of constant effective mobility in handling the current equation of the NELS inverter and the constant surface depletion charge density \( Q_{B} \) in the output current calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layout Parameters of the Testing Devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transistors Q1 Q2 Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometrical Channel width (\mu m) 20 75 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometrical Channel length (\mu m) 75 15 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Channel width (\mu m) 20 75 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Channel length (\mu m) 69.6 9.6 9.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some Physical Constants and Parameters Used for the Calculation of the Output Characteristic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_{A} ) (cm(^{-3}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{AG} ) (cm(^{-3}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g_{fp} ) (volt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_{ok} ) (A(^{2}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From Fig. 7 it is found that there exists a good agreement between theoretical calculations and experimental results for the estimation of peak voltage and peak current at higher $V_{GG}$. The agreement becomes poor at lower $V_{GG}$, which is mainly attributed to the ambiguous definition of the threshold voltage and the effect of subthreshold leakage phenomena. The calculated and the measured valley voltages are shown in Fig. 8, where a good agreement is obtained under the assumption of valley current $I_v = 1 \mu A$.

Comparisons of the calculated and experimental negative differential resistance are shown in Fig. 9 for three different gate voltages. A discrepancy of less than 50 percent is observed over the 0.5 V negative resistance range. All the negative resistance values, which are determined from (23) and (24) in Section III, are related to $V_{GS} = 3.5 \, V$, 3.25 V, 3.0 V, 2.75 V, 2.5 V, and 2.0 V. The corresponding drain-to-source voltage $V_{DS}$ for each $V_{GS}$ are cited on the abscissa of the figure.

Fig. 10 shows the peak voltage variations in the temperature range from -55 to 125°C. A theoretical coefficient of the threshold voltage variation with the temperature [8]; i.e., $\frac{dV_T}{dT} \approx 3.08 \times 10^{-3} \, V/°C$ is also given in the figure for comparison. The agreement between theory and the experimental result provides the fact that the peak voltage strongly depends on the threshold voltage of the device.

The peak current variations, in the temperature range from -55 to 125°C, are shown in Fig. 11, where the reciprocal temperature scale is used. The mobility variation with
temperature plays an important role in determining the current-voltage characteristic of the MOSFET as a function of temperature. Two temperature functions of $T^{-1}$ and $T^{-1.5}$ are also given in the same figure as a guide to determine the variation of mobility with temperature, which is also justified.

The valley voltage is found more stable at this temperature range, as shown in Fig. 10, which is in quite good agreement with the theoretical prediction cited in (20), where the temperature effect is compensated for high $V_{GG}$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A NELS-connected three-terminal voltage controlled A-type negative resistance structure has been theoretically and experimentally analyzed. For a general description, a high ratio of zero drain voltage conductance of $Q_2$ to that of $Q_1$ is used; i.e., $G_r = 27.18$, the observed negative resistance output swing is more than 1 V for different applied gate voltages, and the peak-to-valley current ratio are about several hundred with the definition of $I_u = 1 \mu A$. Moreover, the negative resistance can be controlled from 1000 to 3000 $\Omega$ in the operating range of 0.5 V. Because of the substrate bias effect, the current handling capability of this NELS-connected structure is limited by using the conventional Al-gate MOSFET. An improvement can be carried out by using DMOS or VMOS fabrication technology. If three MOSFET's are replaced by three DMOS structures, the substrate and the source of transistor $Q_1$ can be connected together, and the substrate bias effect can be eliminated. This will lead to higher power...
operation. Moreover, the inherent property of high-frequency response of DMOS and VMOS will also improve the frequency response of the present device.

In general, the voltage controlled A-type negative resistance MOSFET has several important features. First, it can be easily fabricated by the conventional planar MOS technology at low cost; second, it has a wide range of negative resistance, output swing, and high peak-to-valley current ratio; and third, it has good temperature stability.
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