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Abstract—In a personal communications service (PCS) network, mobility databases called visitor location registers (VLRs) are utilized to temporarily store the subscription data and the location information for the roaming users. Because of user mobility, it is possible that the VLR is full when a mobile user arrives. Under such a circumstance, the incoming user has no VLR record and thus cannot receive PCS services. This issue is called VLR overflow. To resolve the VLR overflow problem, a VLR record can be selected for replacement when the VLR is full and then the reclaimed storage is used to hold the record of the requesting user. This paper considers the most idle replacement policy to provide services to mobile users without VLR records. In this policy, the record with the longest idle time is selected for replacement. We propose an analytic model to investigate the performance of this replacement policy. The analytic results are validated against simulation experiments. The results indicate that our approach effectively resolves the VLR overflow problem.
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I. INTRODUCTION

ADVANCES in wireless communication technologies allow a personal communications service (PCS) network to provide flexible broadband telecommunication services to users with mobility. Through roaming agreements, mobile users can visit various PCS networks and receive services in the visited network. To support user mobility, PCS networks adopt a two-level database architecture that consists of two types of databases: home location register (HLR) and visitor location register (VLR). This architecture was proposed in 2G mobile networks [10] and has been followed by the 3G UMTS specifications [1]. In this architecture, when a user subscribes to the services of a PCS operator (called the home system of the user), a record is created in the operator’s HLR. The record stores the user’s subscription data as well as location information. A VLR of a PCS network temporarily stores the subscription data and the location information for the users currently residing in the visited PCS network. The VLR is associated with one or more mobile switches. When a call arrives to a visiting user, the VLR is queried to retrieve necessary information to set up the call.

A PCS network is partitioned into several location areas. Every VLR controls some of the location areas. When a mobile station (MS) moves to a new location area, it informs the visited VLR and the HLR of the new location. This procedure is referred to as registration and is described in the following steps.

Step 1.1 When an MS moves from a VLR to another VLR, the MS sends a registration message to the new VLR. The new VLR then contacts with the HLR to update the location information of the MS.

Step 1.2 If the location update operation is successful, the HLR sends an acknowledgment to the new VLR. This message includes the subscription information of the MS. The VLR creates a record for the MS to store the subscription information received from the HLR and sends an acknowledgment to inform the MS of the successful registration.

To originate a call from a mobile user, the following steps are performed.

Step 2.1 The dialed telephone number of the called party is sent to a mobile switch through radio interface.

Step 2.2 When the mobile switch receives the call setup message, it analyzes the request and consults the VLR to check whether the call can be accepted.

Step 2.3 If the call request is accepted, the mobile switch sets up the voice connection to the called party.

To deliver a call to a mobile user in a PCS network, the following steps are performed.

Step 3.1 Someone dials the telephone number of a mobile user. The call request is forwarded to a gateway mobile switch.

Step 3.2 The gateway mobile switch asks the HLR for routing information. The HLR then queries the corresponding VLR to obtain a routable address.

Step 3.3 The VLR searches the mobile user’s record. Based on the location information, the VLR generates the routable address and sends it back to the gateway mobile switch.

Step 3.4 The gateway mobile switch then sets up the voice connection to the serving mobile switch. The serving mobile switch pages the MS in the location area indicated by the VLR. Finally, the call path to the MS is established.
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Details of mobility management and call setup procedures can be found in [2], [10], and [12].

Since a VLR provides services to visiting users from various PCS networks, the number of records in a VLR changes dynamically. Furthermore, a VLR may overflow if the number of visiting users is larger than the capacity of the VLR. If the VLR is full when a mobile user arrives, the user cannot register using the standard registration procedure and thus cannot receive PCS services. In this case, the VLR is overflow, and the incoming users (who cannot receive services) are referred to as the overflow users.

To resolve the VLR overflow issue, we proposed a scheme in [8], which modifies registration, mobile call origination, and mobile call delivery procedures as follows.

**Modified Registration:** If the VLR is full at Step 1.2 for an incoming user $u$, a record is selected for replacement. The selected record is deleted, and its storage is then used to store $u$’s information. In this case, the user of the replaced record becomes an overflow user. Alternatively, user $u$ may be considered as the overflow user, and no record replacement is required.

**Modified Mobile Call Origination:** When an overflow user $u$ attempts to make a call, the VLR cannot find the corresponding record at Step 2.2 and declines the call request. The MS performs an overflow registration operation to create a record for $u$. (Note that, in this registration, the user $u$ cannot be selected as the overflow user.) The MS then resends the call request, and the normal call origination procedure is executed to set up the call.

**Modified Mobile Call Delivery:** When an incoming call arrives at an overflow user $u$, the HLR identifies that $u$ is an overflow user and queries the VLR to obtain the routing information at Step 3.2. The query message includes the user profile of $u$. Through a replacement at Step 3.3, the VLR creates a record for $u$ to store the received user profile from the HLR. The VLR also generates a routable address of $u$ and sends it back to the HLR at Step 3.3.

As indicated in the modified procedures, for an overflow user, the call setup procedures are more expensive than that for a normal mobile call setup. Therefore, it is desirable to reduce the possibility of overflow call setup. In [8], we proposed the random replacement policy where each record in the VLR is selected for replacement with the same probability. We observed that this policy is only suitable for an environment where the mobile users have relatively low call activities. Consider a mobile network with high and low call activity users. If the VLR is fully occupied by low call activity users, then the telephone circuits may be idle while the high call activity users (who need to make calls) are blocked because they cannot register into the VLR. Thus, replacing low call activity users by high call activity users will improve the utilization of the switch. In [9], we proposed the inactive replacement policy to reduce the overflow call setups in a VLR area where the call activities of visiting users vary significantly. In this policy, a period called inactive threshold is used to check if a user is not active. If a user has no call activity during the inactive threshold, then he/she is considered inactive and the VLR record can be selected for replacement. The inactive replacement policy attempts to select users with low call activities for replacement. Based on the same philosophy, this paper proposes the most idle replacement policy. In this policy, when a replacement request arrives due to overflow registration or overflow call setup, the VLR record of the user who does not have call activities for the longest period (i.e., the most idle user) is selected for replacement. We develop analytic and simulation models to investigate the performance of this policy and compare it with the previously proposed approaches. Our study indicates that good performance can be achieved by the most idle replacement policy.

II. ANALYTIC MODEL FOR THE MOST IDLE REPLACEMENT POLICY

This section describes the analytic model for the most idle replacement policy. We assume that there are two classes of mobile users where class 1 users have low call activities and class 2 users have high call activities. Our model can be easily extended for the case where there are more than two classes of users. The details are omitted. The following assumptions are used in the model.

- The class 1 and class 2 user arrivals to a VLR area are Poisson processes [15] with rates $\lambda_{1,1}$ and $\lambda_{1,2}$, respectively.
- The call arrivals of class 1 and class 2 users are Poisson processes with rates $\lambda_{c,1}$ and $\lambda_{c,2}$, respectively. From the definitions of high and low call activities, we have $\lambda_{c,2} \gg \lambda_{c,1}$.
- The VLR residence time distributions for both classes are the same, which have a general distribution with distribution function $F_m(\cdot)$, mean $1/\lambda_m$ and variance $\gamma_m$.

The Poisson assumptions on user arrivals and call arrivals can be easily relaxed to accommodate general distributions in our simulation experiments. Based on the above assumptions, we are interested in the probability $P_{MR}$ that after an overflow registration or call setup, the user of the replaced record does not have any call activity before he/she leaves the VLR. Probability $P_{MR}$ is derived as follows.

The users in a VLR area can be divided into two groups (see Fig. 1): the VLR group and the overflow group. The users in the VLR group have records in the VLR database, while those in the overflow group do not. The notations used in Fig. 1 are explained as follows.

- Random variables $N_1$ and $N_2$ are the numbers of users in the VLR and overflow groups when the system is in equilibrium.
- Random variable $N$ is the number of users residing in the VLR area in the steady state, i.e., $N = N_1 + N_2$.
- $p (q)$ is the long term proportion of class 1 (class 2) users in the VLR group. We have $p + q = 1$.
- $p_2 (q_2)$ is the long term proportion of class 1 (class 2) users in the overflow group. We have $p_2 + q_2 = 1$.
- Random variable $K_1$ ($K_2$) is the number of class 1 (class 2) users residing in the VLR area (either in the VLR or the overflow groups) when the system is in equilibrium.
- $p_1 (q_1)$ is the long term proportion that a class 1 (class 2) user is selected for replacement when a replacement request arrives. We have $p_1 + q_1 = 1$. 

In the Appendix, we show that $N$, $N_1$, $N_2$, $K_1$, and $K_2$ can be regarded as constants when the system reaches the steady state. In particular, we have [see (32) and (35)]

$$N \simeq \frac{\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}}{\lambda_m}, \quad N_1 \simeq L$$

$$N_2 \simeq \frac{\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}}{\lambda_m} - L$$

$$K_1 \simeq \frac{\lambda_{u,1}}{\lambda_m} \quad \text{and} \quad K_2 \simeq \frac{\lambda_{u,2}}{\lambda_m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $L$ is the size of the VLR database.

Since the input flow must be equal to the output flow for class 1 and class 2 users in the VLR group when the system is in equilibrium, we have the following two balance equations:

$$\lambda_{u,1} = \lambda_{u,1} + p_2 N_2 \lambda_{s,1}$$

$$= pN_1 \lambda_m + \alpha \left( \frac{\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}}{\lambda_m} \right) p_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\lambda_{u,2} = \lambda_{u,2} + q_2 N_2 \lambda_{s,2}$$

$$= qN_1 \lambda_m + \alpha \left( \frac{\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}}{\lambda_m} \right) q_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\alpha$ is the probability that an incoming user finds the VLR database full, and $\lambda_{u,1}$ ($\lambda_{u,2}$) is the arrival rate of class 1 (class 2) users to the VLR database. In equation (2) ($i = 2, 3$), the input flow includes the arrivals of class $i$ users from other VLR areas (i.e., $\lambda_{u,i}$) and those from the overflow group due to call setups (i.e., $p_2 N_2 \lambda_{s,1}$ for class 1 users and $q_2 N_2 \lambda_{s,2}$ for class 2 users). On the other hand, the output flow includes the departures of class $i$ users to other VLR areas (i.e., $pN_1 \lambda_m$ for class 1 users and $qN_1 \lambda_m$ for class 2 users) and those joining in the overflow group due to record replacement. The record replacements occur when the arrivals (i.e., $\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}$) find that the VLR database is full. Thus, the departure rates of class 1 and class 2 users due to record replacements are $a(\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}) p_1$ and $a(\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}) q_1$, respectively.

From (2) and (3), we obtain

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{N_1 \lambda_m}{\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

From (4), (2) and (3) can be combined as follows:

$$\lambda_{u,1} + p_2 N_2 \lambda_{s,1} = pN_1 \lambda_m + \left( 1 - \frac{N_1 \lambda_m}{\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}} \right) \times \left( \frac{\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}}{\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}} \right) p_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2} = \lambda_{u,1} + p_2 N_2 \lambda_{s,1} + \lambda_{u,2} + q_2 N_2 \lambda_{s,2}$.

For class 1 users, the input flow to a VLR area (i.e., $\lambda_{u,1}$) must be equal to the output flow from that VLR area (i.e., $pN_1 \lambda_m + p_2 N_2 \lambda_m$). Thus, we have another balance equation

$$\lambda_{u,1} = pN_1 \lambda_m + p_2 N_2 \lambda_m.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

To proceed with the derivation of $F_{\text{MR}}$, we need to introduce the concept of quantile [13]. The $q$th quantile of a random variable $X$ or of its corresponding distribution is denoted by $\zeta_q$ and is defined as the smallest number $\zeta$ satisfying $F_X(\zeta) \geq q$. For example, the median of a random variable $X$ is the 0.5th quantile and is denoted by $\zeta_{0.50}$.

Consider the timing diagrams in Fig. 2. Suppose that a mobile user $u_i$ of class $i$ enters the VLR at time $t_0$ and a replacement request occurs at time $t_1$. Assume that the last call of $u_i$ before $t_1$ arrived at time $t_2$. Let $t_{m,i} = t_1 - t_0$ and $t_{c,i} = t_1 - t_2$. Since the replacement request stream is a Poisson process, the request occurring at $t_1$ is a random observer. Thus, from the excess life theorem [15], $t_{m,i}$ has the density function

$$r_{m,i}(t_{m,i}) = \lambda_m \left[ 1 - F_m(t_{m,i}) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $F_m(\cdot)$ is the distribution function of VLR residence time. Similarly, by the excess life theorem, $t_{c,i}$ has the density function

$$r_{c,i}(t_{c,i}) = \lambda_i \left[ 1 - F_{c,i}(t_{c,i}) \right] = \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i t_{c,i}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $F_{c,i}(\cdot)$ is the distribution function of inter-call arrival time of class $i$ users, which is exponential with rate $\lambda_i$. Let $Z_i$ be the idle time of $u_i$ seen by the replacement request at $t_1$. If $t_{m,i} < t_{c,i}$ [(a) in Fig. 2], then $Z_i$ is $t_{m,i}$. Otherwise, if $t_{m,i} > t_{c,i}$ [(b) in Fig. 2], then $Z_i$ is $t_{c,i}$. Therefore, we have

$$Z_i = \min(t_{m,i}, t_{c,i}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Let $\overline{q}$ be the long term proportion of class 1 (class 2) users in the VLR group to all class 1 (class 2) users in the VLR area, then we have

$$p = \frac{N_1 \lambda_m}{K_1} = \frac{\lambda_m N_1 p}{\lambda_{u,1}} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{N_2 \lambda_m}{K_2} = \frac{\lambda_m N_2 q}{\lambda_{u,2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Since the idle time of users in the overflow group must be longer than all users in the VLR group, the $p$th quantile $\zeta^{(1)}_p$ of $Z_1$ represents the longest idle time of those class 1 users in the VLR group, and $F_{Z_1}(\zeta^{(1)}_p) = p$. Similarly, the $q$th quantile $\zeta^{(2)}_q$ of $Z_2$ represents the longest idle time of those class 2 users in the VLR group, and $F_{Z_2}(\zeta^{(2)}_q) = q$. Thus, $\zeta^{(1)}_p$ of $Z_1$ must equal or at
least approximate $\zeta^{(2)}_q$ of $Z_2$ when the system is in equilibrium. Otherwise, for example, if $\zeta^{(1)}_q > \zeta^{(2)}_q$, then class 1 users would be selected for replacements for a long consecutive period (i.e., class 1 users will be replaced consecutively of order $N$ times) and the system will not stay at stable state anymore. Based on the above discussion

$$\zeta^{(1)}_q = \zeta^{(2)}_q.$$ (11)

We consider two cases for (11).

Case 1. The VLR residence time is exponentially distributed with rate $\lambda_m$.

Case 2. The VLR residence time is lognormally distributed with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^2$, where $\mu$ is the alternative parameter and $\sigma$ is the shape parameter. The lognormal distribution is selected because it can be used to approximate many other distributions as well as measured data [4], [6].

For exponentially distributed VLR residence time, we have density functions for $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ as follows:

$$f_{Z_1}(z_1) = (\lambda_{c_1} + \lambda_m) e^{-(\lambda_{c_1} + \lambda_m)z_1},$$ (12)

$$f_{Z_2}(z_2) = (\lambda_{c_2} + \lambda_m) e^{-(\lambda_{c_2} + \lambda_m)z_2}.$$ (13)

From (12) and (13), we have

$$\zeta^{(1)}_q = -\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{c_1} + \lambda_m}\right) \ln(1 - p),$$

and

$$\zeta^{(2)}_q = -\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{c_2} + \lambda_m}\right) \ln(1 - q).$$ (14)

From (11) and (14),

$$-\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{c_1} + \lambda_m}\right) \ln(1 - p) = -\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{c_2} + \lambda_m}\right) \ln(1 - q).$$ (15)

We can use a numerical method (e.g., Newton–Raphson algorithm [11]) to solve $p$ from (15), and then we can solve $p_2$ by (6). Finally, we can solve $p_4$ by (5).

For lognormally distributed VLR residence time with mean $\mu + (1/2)\sigma^2$ and variance $\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 - 2\mu\sigma^2$, the distribution function is

$$F_m(x) = \int_0^x \left(\frac{1}{y\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{(\ln y - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dy,$$

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{\ln x - \mu}{\sigma}\right),$$ (16)

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the standard normal distribution function. From (7),

$$r_{m,i}(t_{m,i}) = \lambda_m [1 - F_m(t_{m,i})] = \frac{\Phi\left(\frac{\mu - \ln t_{m,i}}{\sigma}\right)}{e^{\mu + (1/2)\sigma^2}}.$$ (17)

From (9), we have

$$\Pr(Z_1 > z) = \Pr(t_{m_1} > z) \cdot \Pr(t_{c_1} > z)$$

$$= e^{-\lambda_{c_1}z} \int_{x}^{\infty} \left[\Phi\left(\frac{\mu - \ln x}{\sigma}\right)\right] dx.$$ (18)

$$\Pr(Z_2 > z) = \Pr(t_{m_2} > z) \cdot \Pr(t_{c_2} > z)$$

$$= e^{-\lambda_{c_2}z} \int_{x}^{\infty} \left[\Phi\left(\frac{\mu - \ln x}{\sigma}\right)\right] dx.$$ (19)

Substitute $\zeta^{(1)}_p$ and $\zeta^{(2)}_q$ for $\zeta$ in (18) and (19), respectively

$$\Pr\left(Z_1 > \zeta^{(1)}_p\right)$$

$$= 1 - p = e^{-\lambda_{c_1}p_{1p}} \times \int_{\zeta^{(1)}_p}^{\infty} \left[\Phi\left(\frac{\mu - \ln x}{\sigma}\right)\right] dx,$$ (20)

$$\Pr\left(Z_2 > \zeta^{(2)}_q\right)$$

$$= 1 - q = e^{-\lambda_{c_2}q_{2q}} \times \int_{\zeta^{(2)}_q}^{\infty} \left[\Phi\left(\frac{\mu - \ln x}{\sigma}\right)\right] dx,$$ (21)

where

$$\int_{\zeta^{(1)}_p}^{\infty} \Phi\left(\frac{\mu - \ln x}{\sigma}\right) dx$$

$$= \int_{\zeta^{(1)}_p}^{\infty} \int_{\zeta^{(1)}_p}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{y\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{(\ln y - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dy$$

$$= \int_{\zeta^{(1)}_p}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{y\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{(\mu - \ln y)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dy$$

$$= A - \zeta^{(1)}_p \int_{\zeta^{(1)}_p}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{y\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{(\mu - \ln y)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] dy$$

$$= A - \zeta^{(1)}_p \Phi\left(\frac{\mu - \ln \zeta^{(1)}_p}{\sigma}\right),$$ (22)
Therefore, we can use a numerical method to solve $c^{(1)}_p$ from (27), and then we can solve $p$ by (24) and $p_2$ by (6). Finally, we can solve $p_1$ by (5).

After $p_1$ is obtained, we can compute $P_{MR}$ as follows. Consider the timing diagrams in Fig. 3. Suppose that a replacement request arrives at time $t_0$ and the record for a mobile user $u_i$ of class $i$ is selected for replacement. Moreover, assume that the next call of $u_i$ after $t_0$ arrives at time $t_1$ and $u_i$ leaves the VLR at time $t_2$. Let $t_{c_i}^* = t_1 - t_0$ and $t_{m_i}^* = t_2 - t_0$. From the excess life theorem, $t_{m_i}^*$ and $t_{c_i}^*$ have the density functions $r_{m_i}(t_{m_i}^*)$ and $r_{c_i}(t_{c_i}^*)$, respectively, where

$$r_{m_i}(t_{m_i}^*) = \lambda_m [1 - F_m(t_{m_i}^*)]$$

and

$$r_{c_i}(t_{c_i}^*) = \lambda_c [1 - F_c(t_{c_i}^*)] = \lambda_c e^{-\lambda_c t_{c_i}^*}.$$  

Let $p_{3,i}$ be the probability that $u_i$ will not make or receive calls before he/she leaves the VLR [i.e., (b) in Fig. 3]. Then

$$p_{3,i} = P[t_{m_i}^* < t_{c_i}^*]$$

$$= \int_{t_{m_i} = 0}^{t_{c_i}} \int_{t_{m_i}^*}^{t_{c_i}^*} r_{m_i}(t_{m_i}^*) r_{c_i}(t_{c_i}^*) dt_{m_i} dt_{c_i}$$

$$= \left( \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_c} \right) [1 - f_m^*(\lambda_c)]$$  

where $f_m^*(\lambda_c)$ is the Laplace Transform of the VLR residence time distribution.

Since $p_{1}$ is the proportion of class 1 users out of being replaced users, $P_{MR}$ can be computed as follows:

$$P_{MR} = p_1 p_{3,1} + (1 - p_1) p_{3,2}.$$  

The analytic model for the random replacement policy is similar to (but much simpler than) the most idle replacement model. The reader is referred to [9] for the details of the analytic model for random replacement.

The analytic model has been validated against the simulation experiments. Table I compares the analytic and simulation results, where $\lambda_c = 50\lambda_m$ and $\lambda_{c,i} = \lambda_{u,i} = 1000\lambda_m$. The table indicates that for exponential VLR residence time with $\lambda_m = 0.2$, the error rate is less than 0.02%. For lognormal VLR residence time with $\lambda_m = 0.2$ and $V_m = 1/\lambda_m^2$, the error rate is less than 1.2%. It is clear that the analytic analysis is consistent with the simulation results.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

This section investigates the performance of the overflow record replacement policies. In the numerical examples, the VLR residence time distributions for both classes are the
same, which have a Gamma distribution with mean $1/\lambda_m$ and variance $V_m$. In most experiments, we assume that the total user arrival rate $\lambda_u$ (i.e., $\lambda_u=1+\lambda_u$) to a VLR area is a fixed value $2000\lambda_m$. Fig. 4 plots $P_{MR}$ as functions of $N/L$ where $\lambda_u=1.1\lambda_m$, $\lambda_u=50\lambda_m$, and $\lambda_u=1000\lambda_m$. This figure shows that for a fixed $N/L$, $P_{MR}$ values are the same for various sizes of the VLR database. Thus, in the remainder of this section, we only consider $L=1500$. The same results can be obtained for larger database sizes with the same $N/L$ ratio.

**Effects of the Replacement Policies:** Figs. 5–9 show that the most idle replacement policy significantly outperforms the random replacement policy in most cases. Define

$$\theta = \frac{\lambda_u}{\lambda_u + \lambda_u}.$$  

Both policies have a similar performance when $\lambda_u$ is very small (e.g., $\theta = 0.1$ in Fig. 8) and the overflow situation is very serious. In such a circumstance, there is only a small number of class 1 users in the VLR area. Under serious overflow situation, it is likely that all class 1 users have already become overflow users, and class 2 users (in the VLR group) will be selected for replacement in an overflow registration or call setup. As a result, small $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ are expected, which are insignificantly affected by the replacement algorithms. The performance of the inactive replacement policy is between the most idle and the random replacement policies when $V_m$ is not large. For large $V_m$, the inactive replacement policy may outperform the most idle replacement policy, as shown in Fig. 5.

**Effects of Variance $V_m$:** Fig. 5 plots $P_{RR}$, $P_{TR}$ (for the inactive replacement policy), and $P_{MR}$ as functions of $V_m$, where $V_m$ is in the range of $10^{-2}$ to $10^{2}$. We note that both $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ decrease as $V_m$ increases. That is, for Gamma distributed VLR residence time, as $V_m$ increases, more long stay users are observed [see (28)], and $p_{TR}$ decreases accordingly. Consequently, probabilities $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ increase as $V_m$ increases. On the other hand, $P_{TR}$ only depends on $p_{TR}$ but also on the probability that a class 1 user is selected for replacement when a replacement request arrives. In [9] we showed that $p_{TR}$ increases as $V_m$ increases. The combined effects of $p_{TR}$ and $p_{TR}$ result in the phenomenon that $P_{MR}$ increases and then decreases as $V_m$ increases. This figure also indicates that $P_{MR}$ is larger than $P_{TR}$ for small $V_m$, and the reverse result is observed for large $V_m$. In the remainder of this section, we only compare $P_{MR}$ and $P_{RR}$. A detailed analysis of the inactive replacement policy was given in [9] and will not be repeated.
in this paper. Also, in the remainder of this section, $V_m = 1/\lambda_m^2$ is considered.

**Effects of $\lambda_{c1}$:** Fig. 6 plots $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ as functions of $\lambda_{c1}$ where $\lambda_{c2} = 50\lambda_m$ and $\theta = 0.5$. The figure indicates that $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ decrease as $\lambda_{c1}$ increases. The nonintuitive results are that both $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ are not affected by $\lambda_{c1}$ when $\lambda_{c1}$ is sufficiently small (namely, $\lambda_{c1} < 0.01\lambda_m$). When $\lambda_{c1} < 0.01\lambda_m$, the probability $p_{R1}$ that a replaced class 1 user will not make or receive calls before he/she leaves the VLR will approach 1 [see (29)]. In this case, $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ will be only dominated by $\lambda_{c2}$ [see (30)].

**Effects of $\lambda_{c2}$:** Fig. 7 plots $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ as functions of $\lambda_{c2}$ where $\lambda_{c1} = 0.1\lambda_m$ and $\theta = 0.5$. The figure shows that $P_{RR}$ decreases as $\lambda_{c2}$ increases and $P_{MR}$ increases as $\lambda_{c2}$ increases. In random replacement policy, every record in the VLR is selected for replacement with the same probability. Thus, as $\lambda_{c2}$ increases, it is more likely that the next call for the replaced class 2 user arrives before he/she leaves the VLR. Therefore $P_{RR}$ decreases as $\lambda_{c2}$ increases. In contrast, for the most idle replacement policy, as $\lambda_{c2}$ increases, it is less likely that class 2 users are selected for replacement. Consequently, $P_{MR}$ increases as $\lambda_{c2}$ increases.

**Effects of $\lambda_{n1}$ ($\lambda_{n2}$):** Fig. 8 plots $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ as functions of the ratio $\theta = (\lambda_{n1}/\lambda_m + \lambda_{n2})$. When $\theta$ is large, it is more likely that class 1 users are selected for replacement. Thus, it is obvious that both $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ increase as the ratio $\theta$ increases. We also note that $P_{MR}$ increases rapidly for $0.2 < \theta < 0.35$. For most idle replacement, when $\theta < 0.2$, there are only a small number of class 1 users in the VLR database which can be selected for replacement. Once $\theta > 0.2$, the probability $p_{R1}$ that a class 1 user is selected for replacement will increase exponentially as $\theta$ increases. When $\theta$ reaches 0.35, there are already sufficient class 1 users for replacements. In this case, large $P_{MR}$ is expected, and increasing $\theta$ does not improve $P_{MR}$ performance.

**Effects of the VLR Size:** Fig. 9 plots $P_{RR}$ and $P_{MR}$ as functions of the VLR size where $\theta = 0.5$. Fig. 9 shows that $P_{RR}$ increases linearly as the VLR size increases. On the other hand, $P_{MR}$ increases rapidly as the VLR size increases from 1000 to 1200 records. After 1200 records, $P_{MR}$ is only slightly affected by the change of the VLR size. That is, when the VLR size is less than a threshold (e.g., 1200 records in our example), the overflow situation will be very serious and class 2 users will also be selected for replacements. Therefore, increasing of the VLR size significantly reduces the occurrences of overflow replacements for class 2 users. When the VLR size is larger than the threshold, there are enough class 1 users for replacement, and increasing the VLR size does not improve $P_{MR}$.

**IV. Conclusion**

This paper studied the overflow problem of a mobility database, specifically the VLR, in a PCS network. Under the existing PCS technology, when the VLR is full, the incoming mobile users cannot receive services. Through record replacement, we can offer telecommunication services to overflow users (i.e., those users who have no associated records in the VLR). An important criterion of record replacement policies is to reduce the possibility of overflow operations in the future. To achieve this goal, we proposed the most idle replacement policy to replace the record that has no call activity for the longest period. We compared the most idle replacement policy with the previously proposed policies (inactive replacement and random replacement). Our study indicated that the most idle replacement policy significantly outperforms the random replacement policy. Both inactive and most idle policies show good performance. In most scenarios investigated in this paper, the most idle replacement policy can reduce over 90% of the overflow call setups.
As a final remark, we note that in a real mobile network, the records of a huge VLR are physically stored in several separated databases. The most idle policy will need to access all databases for a replacement while the inactive replacement policy only needs to access some databases independently. In this case, the most idle policy is not as efficient as the inactive replacement policy. To overcome this disadvantage, we may exercise the most idle policy in the individual databases in parallel and then select the most idle record from the most idle records in the individual databases.

APPENDIX

DERIVATIONS FOR $N$, $N_1$, $K_1$, AND $K_2$

When the system is in equilibrium, let random variable $N_1$ be the number of users in the VLR group and random variable $N_2$ be the number of users in the overflow group. Then the number of users in the VLR area is $N = N_1 + N_2$. Let random variables $K_1$ and $K_2$ be the numbers of class 1 and class 2 users in the VLR area when the system is in equilibrium. It is clear that

$$N_1 \leq L.$$ (31)

Since a VLR area can be regarded as an $M/G/\infty$ queueing system, $N$ is a Poisson random variable with mean $N^* = (\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2})/\lambda_m$ [3], where $\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}$ is the user arrival rate from other VLR areas and $1/\lambda_m$ is the mean VLR residence time. Similarly, treating class 1 and class 2 users separately, $K_1$ and $K_2$ are Poisson random variables with means $\lambda_{u,1}/\lambda_m$ and $\lambda_{u,2}/\lambda_m$, respectively. When $\lambda_{u,1}/\lambda_m$ and $\lambda_{u,2}/\lambda_m$ are both of order $N^*$, from the Chebyshev’s inequality [14], we have

$$N = \frac{\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}}{\lambda_m} + O_p(\sqrt{N^*})$$

and

$$K_1 = \frac{\lambda_{u,1}}{\lambda_m} + O_p(\sqrt{N^*})$$

and

$$K_2 = \frac{\lambda_{u,2}}{\lambda_m} + O_p(\sqrt{N^*})$$

where

$$X_n = O_p(f(n)) \text{ def } \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists m > 0 \text{ such that }$$

$$\Pr \left( \frac{X_n}{f(n)} < m \right) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ for all } n [7].$$

If $N^*$ is sufficiently large

$$N \simeq \frac{\lambda_{u,1} + \lambda_{u,2}}{\lambda_m}, \quad K_1 \simeq \frac{\lambda_{u,1}}{\lambda_m} \text{ and } K_2 \simeq \frac{\lambda_{u,2}}{\lambda_m}. \quad (32)$$

Consider the loss model $M/G/L/L$ where $L$ is the size of the VLR database and is of order $N^*$. In this model, if the Poisson user arrivals from other VLR areas find that the VLR database is full, then they join the overflow group and never go back to the VLR group. Let random variable $N$ be the number of users in this loss model in equilibrium. From [15, Th. 5.7.4], we know that $N$ has a truncated Poisson distribution with parameter $N^*$ which is truncated at $L$, and therefore

$$N = L + O_p(\sqrt{N^*}). \quad (33)$$

In (33), $O_p(\sqrt{N^*})$ has a negative value. Compare the loss model with the original system, and we have

$$N \leq N_1 \quad (34)$$

because the overflow users in the original system may go back to the VLR group, while those in the loss model do not. From (31), (33), and (34)

$$N \leq N_1 \leq L \implies N_1 = L + O_p(\sqrt{N^*}).$$

When $N^*$ is sufficiently large, we have

$$N_1 \simeq L. \quad (35)$$
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