A phase-retrieval procedure based on the maximum-entropy method is applied to infrared-visible sum-frequency generation spectroscopy. Several typical effects on the error phase are also examined with the aid of a known theoretical model. The interference between the resonant and the nonresonant parts changes the behavior of the error phase. Even in some cases when the nonresonant part is complex, the error phase becomes like a step function. This result contradicts the smoothness assumption for the error phase, and the whole phase-retrieval procedure breaks down in these cases. A comparison of the results of phase-retrieval procedures between infrared-visible sum-frequency generation and coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering spectra is made. Some ideas that worked well in previous analyses of coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering spectra become inapplicable in the infrared-visible sum-frequency generation spectra in spite of the resemblance of their line shape functions. © 1997 Optical Society of America [S0740-3224(97)01510-5]

1. INTRODUCTION

The maximum-entropy method (MEM) is a useful technique for solving an inverse problem with insufficient information. The result obtained by maximum entropy corresponds to the most probable result in the space of all possible outcomes that satisfy the known information. Such a method has been applied extensively in many disciplines, such as the study of the interior structure of the Earth, image restoration in astronomy, and the phase-extension problem for determining the structures of macromolecular crystals.

Recently there has been some success in using the MEM to solve the phase-retrieval problem in nonlinear optical spectroscopy. The maximum-entropy phase-retrieval procedure (MEPRP) is fascinating because it can extract the phase information from an intensity spectrum without knowledge of the theoretical model of the processes concerned. The MEPRP can be regarded as an improved method compared with the Kramers–Kronig relations method because the information needed for the MEPRP to improve the accuracy can be found inside the measured range, whereas the Kramers–Kronig relations method needs data that must be extrapolated beyond the spectral range of measurements. In addition, the MEPRP can be applied to cases of harmonic-frequency generation in which the phase reduction from the Kramers–Kronig relations method is shown to be unreliable. The phase-retrieval problem with the MEM can be reduced to estimation of the error phase. In most cases the error phase is a smooth function; polynomial interpolation of few known error-phase points will give a reasonable estimate. For example, in the analysis of the third-order susceptibility spectrum of a poly(dihexylsilane) film, linear interpolation with two known error-phase points will give a satisfactory result, and even in the analysis of coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS) spectra, a constant-error-phase assumption can work very well. Although the MEPRP works so well in the cases mentioned above, the basic assumption, the smoothness of the error phase, is so far only illustrated by several examples rather than being strictly justified. It is still questionable why the error phase should be so smooth.

In this paper we apply the MEPRP to infrared-visible sum-frequency generation (IVSFG) spectroscopy, which has proved to be a useful tool for studying surfaces and interfacial phenomena because the process involved is surface specific in the dipole approximation and sensitive to the polar ordering of adsorbed molecules on the surface. IVSFG is a second-order nonlinear-optical process in which two input beams, one at the infrared frequency and the other at the visible frequency, interact and generate an output at the sum frequency in the visible spectrum of light. Here the infrared light source is tunable across some vibrational absorption bands of the adsorbed molecules and the visible light is fixed at some specific wavelength. IVSFG resembles that of CARS and can be described by

\[ I(\omega_s) \propto |\chi^{(2)}_{\text{NR}}(\omega_s - \omega_v, \omega_{IR})|^2 \]

\[ = |\chi^{(2)}_{\text{NR}} + \chi^{(2)}_R|^2 \]

\[ = \left| \chi^{(2)}_{\text{NR}} + \sum_q \frac{A_q \gamma_q}{(\omega_q - \omega_{IR} - i \gamma_q)} \right|^2, \tag{1} \]

where \(A_q, \omega_q, \) and \(\gamma_q\) are the resonant strength, the resonant frequency, and the damping constant for the \(q\)th vibrational mode and the nonresonant part in Eq. (1) can be complex:

\[ \chi^{(2)}_{\text{NR}} = |\chi^{(2)}_{\text{NR}}| \exp(i \theta). \tag{2} \]
From the microscopic expression of nonlinear susceptibility, the resonant strength 
\( A_q \) is nonvanishing only if this mode is infrared and Raman active,\(^\text{10} \) that is,

\[
\frac{\partial \mu_{gq}}{\partial Q_{q0}} \neq 0, \quad \frac{\partial \alpha_q}{\partial Q_{q0}} \neq 0, \quad (3)
\]

where \( \mu_{gq} \) and \( \alpha_q \) are the ground-state dipole momentum and the conventional optical polarizability, respectively, and they can depend on the normal mode coordinate of the \( q \)th mode, \( Q_{q0} \). From Eq. (1) the line shape of IVSFG may be described by the interference between the nonresonant part \( \chi^{(2)\text{NR}} \) and the resonant part \( \chi^{(2)} \). Unlike in CARS, which usually has a large nonresonant background, the nonresonant part for IVSFG may be quite small. For example, in IVSFG spectra the nonresonant part of the alkylsilane adsorbed on the fused silica is negligible.\(^\text{11} \) Moreover, some IVSFG spectra of diamond films have shown that the nonresonant background \( \chi^{(2)\text{NR}} \) can have a relative phase shift (\( \theta \neq 0 \)) with respect to the resonant strength \( A_q \), as the sum frequency is near the resonances of surface electronic states and the ratio of \( |A_q| \) to \( |\chi^{(2)\text{NR}}| \) can be changed by different coverage.\(^\text{12} \) Therefore IVSFG spectra are quite diverse. Before the MEM can be employed as a phase-retrieval technique for IVSFG spectra we should carefully examine whether the error phase is still smooth in every case. In this paper we first theoretically examine several typical effects on the error phase to see whether the smoothness assumption for the error phase is still valid. Owing to the resemblance in the line-shape function between CARS and IVSFG, we are also curious to see whether those ideas that work well in analyzing CARS spectra are still useful in IVSFG.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give a brief review of the procedure of phase-retrieval by the MEM. Our results are presented and discussed in Section 3. The conclusion is given in Section 4.

2. PHASE-RETRIEVAL PROCEDURE

The entropy for a power spectrum \( S(f) \) in the frequency interval \([f_1, f_2] \) is defined as

\[
h = \int_{f_1}^{f_2} \log S(f) df, \quad (4)
\]

In the MEPRP a normalized frequency variable \( v = (f - f_1)/(f_2 - f_1) \) is introduced to project the frequency \([f_1, f_2] \) onto \([0, 1] \) to facilitate the calculation. By variational calculus with the Lagrange multiplier method one can find the solution that maximizes the spectral entropy with constraints (known spectral points). The solution for \( 2M + 1 \) spectral points is

\[
\hat{S}(v) = \frac{|\beta|^2}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_k \exp(i2\pi kv)}, \quad (5)
\]

where the coefficients \( a_k \) and \( |\beta|^2 \) can be determined from a Toeplitz system:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R(0) & R(-1) & \cdots & R(-M) \\
R(1) & R(0) & \cdots & R(1-M) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
R(M) & R(M-1) & \cdots & R(0)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
a_1 \\
a_2 \\
\vdots \\
a_M
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
|\beta|^2 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (6)
\]

where \( R(m) \) is the autocorrelation function, which is the Fourier transform of power spectrum \( S(v) \):

\[
R(m) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(v) \exp(-i2\pi mv) dv. \quad (7)
\]

By the same reasoning, the solution of \( \chi^{(2)}(v) \) with maximum entropy is

\[
\hat{\chi}^{(2)}(v) = \frac{|\beta| \exp[i\phi(v)]}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_k \exp(i2\pi kv)}. \quad (8)
\]

The solution implies that the process with maximum entropy is a regressive progress. Because coefficients \( |\beta| \) and \( a_k \) can be obtained by Eq. (6), the error phase \( \phi(v) \) is the only quantity in Eq. (8) that cannot be deduced from the power spectrum \( S(v) \). The difficulty with the problem of phase-retrieval by the MEM is therefore reduced to find the corresponding error phase \( \phi(v) \). There is an one-to-one correspondence between the error phase and the real phase, arg \( [\hat{\chi}^{(2)}(v)] \). Given a measured phase value at some frequency, we can find the corresponding error phase value at the same frequency. It has been illustrated that \( \phi(v) \) is a smooth function, unlike the real-phase behavior, which usually contains step jumps as the frequency is scanned across the resonant frequencies. Usually, linear interpolation of two known error-phase values \( \phi(v_1) \) and \( \phi(v_2) \), which can be given by two measured real-phase data points, will lead to a good estimate. If more phase values are known, a better estimate can be obtained by polynomial interpolation.

In the MEPRP one can artificially make the error phase more linear by performing a frequency-squeezing procedure.\(^\text{5a} \) For a spectrum measured in a finite range \( \omega \in [\omega_1, \omega_2] \), the squeezed spectra, characterized by a squeezing parameter \( K \), are given by
\[
|\chi_{K}^{(2)}(v)| = \begin{cases} 
|\chi^{(2)}(\omega_{1})| \\
|\chi^{(2)}(\omega_{1} + (\omega_{2} - \omega_{1})(2K + 1)v - K)| \\
|\chi^{(2)}(\omega_{2})|
\end{cases}
\]

Thus, choosing the parameter \( K > 0 \), we transform the original spectrum into a narrower range of normalized frequency. The frequency-squeezing procedure will help to increase the reliability of the linear estimate for the error phase and is usually used in the MEPRP.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Theoretical Analysis of the Error-Phase Behavior in IVSFG Spectroscopy

The effect on the error phase of changing the nonresonant strength is shown in Fig. 1. The filled circles in the figures at the left denote the input theoretical spectrum, and the solid curves are the interpolating curves predicted by the MEM, i.e., Eq. (5). The nonresonant strength is characterized by the value of \( |\chi_{NR}^{(2)}|/|A_{q}| \), which decreases from top to bottom in Figs. 1(a), 1(b), 1(c), 1(d), and 1(e), with values of 1, 0.5, 0.1, 0.05, and 0.01, respectively. The figures at the right show the corresponding error phases (the open circles almost overlap the filled circles). The error phase varies considerably from one nonresonant phase value to another. Even the error phases for the spectra of Figs. 2(d) and 2(e) become like step functions. This result conflicts with the assumption of the smoothness for the error phase, and the MEPRP becomes inapplicable in these cases. We also find that the frequency-squeezing procedure cannot lessen the abrupt change in the error phase for Figs. 2(d) and 2(e). Looking at the error phase of Fig. 2(e), we see that the frequency-squeezing procedure causes negligible change in the error phase (the open circles almost overlap the filled circles). The error phase varies considerably from one nonresonant phase value to another. Even the error phases for the spectra of Figs. 2(d) and 2(e) become like step functions. This result conflicts with the assumption of the smoothness for the error phase, and the MEPRP becomes inapplicable in these cases. We also find that the frequency-squeezing procedure cannot lessen the abrupt change in the error phase for Figs. 2(d) and 2(e). Looking at the error phase of Fig. 2(e), we see that the frequency-squeezing procedure causes negligible change in the error phase (the open circles almost overlap the filled circles).
diplike spectra shown in Fig. 2(e) have been observed in some experimental IVSFG spectra from the polymer-surfactant adsorbate at the hydrophobic surface.\textsuperscript{14} Figure 3 demonstrates how the error phase is affected as two peaks move toward overlapping. Our result shows that the peaks’ overlapping does not have a significant effect on the error-phase behavior. This result is interesting because our previous results illustrated that the interference between a resonant peak and the constant nonresonant background does change the error-phase behavior; here we show that the interference between two resonant peaks has a negligible effect on the error phase.

In the expression of Eq. (1), the effect of vibrational dephasing and relaxation on the linewidth is incorporated through $g_q$, and we have not taken the inhomogeneous broadening of the resonant frequencies into account yet. However, some spectra obtained from silver\textsuperscript{15} have shown that the inclusion of inhomogeneous broadening did improve the fit around the resonant frequencies. With the inclusion of inhomogeneous broadening, the nonlinear-optical phenomenon can be described by an effective nonlinear-optical susceptibility:

$$
\chi^{(2)}_{\text{s,eff}}(\omega_{IR}, \omega_q) = \int \chi^{(2)}_{\text{s}}(\omega_{IR}, \omega_q) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\omega_q - \omega_q^0)^2 \right] d\omega_q.
$$

(10)

Here we assume that the vibrational frequencies $\omega_q$ have a Gaussian distribution about a central frequency $\omega_q^0$, with standard deviation $\sigma$. In Fig. 4 we show the inhomogeneous broadening effect on the error phase for an isolated spectral peak. The values of $\sigma/\gamma$ used in Figs. 4(a), 4(b), 4(c), and 4(d) are chosen to be 0.1, 0.2, 1, and 2, respectively. According to our result, the inhomogeneous broadening seems not to influence the error phase. For different $\sigma/\gamma$ values the error phase remains almost unchanged, even in the cases when the spectral response has been considerably broadened, as shown in Fig. 4(d). Thus we conclude that inhomogeneous broadening plays a minor role in the determination of an error phase and that it will not affect the phase-retrieval procedure.
B. Analysis of an Experimental Result

Now we apply the MEPRP to an experimental spectrum from a compact monolayer of pentadecanoic acid with some available phase data.\textsuperscript{16,17} We carried out the phase measurement for IVSFG by analyzing the interference patterns from quartz and a monolayer sample. The filled squares in Fig. 5(a) denote the measured IVSFG spectrum versus $v_{\text{IR}}$ for the symmetric CH$_3$ stretch of pentadecanoic molecules. The measured phase data are given by the filled squares with error bars in Figs. 5(b)–5(d).

Because the measured spectral data points in Fig. 5(a) are few, we apply the cubic spline fit to interpolate the raw data to get more data points. The MEM estimate for the spectrum is represented by the solid curve in Fig. 5(a). We estimate the error phase in Fig. 5(b) by a constant value, which is the error-phase value that corresponds to the measured phase indicated by an arrow. The phase predicted from the MEPRP is shown by the solid curve. We find that the constant-error-phase assumption, which works well in CARS, does not predict other measured phase points well. In Figs. 5(c) and 5(d) the error phase is estimated by the linear interpolation of two error phase points, which are obtained from two measured phase points indicated by the arrows. Figure 5(c) also shows that the MEPRP may not well predict the phase far away from the two points used for linear interpolation if the points are too close to each other. From the result in Fig. 5(d) we can see that the MEPRP does predict phase well by the linear interpolation of the error phase. This result is consistent with our previous theoretical analysis of the effect of nonresonant strength on the error phase, in which we found that the constant-error-phase assumption will break down as the nonresonant background becomes negligible and that a linear interpolation can give a good estimate of the error phase. Comparing Fig. 5(c) with Fig. 5(d), we also find that the extrapolation will give a better estimate of error phase than will extrapolation.

C. Problems with Phase Retrieval by Some \textit{a priori} Information for IVSFG Spectra

According to the phase-retrieval procedure in CARS, the MEPRP can be achieved only by a single piece of \textit{a priori} information (i.e., without measuring any phase point experimentally) about the complex function $\chi^{(3)}$. One can obtain such information by adjusting the constant value of the error phase such that the position of a maximum of the imaginary part of $\chi^{(3)}$ merges with that of the local resonant frequency. The above idea really gives a good prediction of the real and the imaginary parts of $\chi^{(3)}$ in CARS, except that some deviation occurs in the imaginary part near the boundaries. It was also shown that the deviation near the boundaries can be reduced by a frequency-squeezing procedure.

Fig. 4. Effect on the error phase of inhomogeneous broadening for an isolated spectral peak. The filled circles in the left-hand figures denote the simulated squared modulus $|\chi^{(2)}|^2$, and the corresponding MEM approximations are shown by the solid curves. The values of $\sigma/\gamma$ are 0.1, 0.2, 1, and 2 in (a), (b), (c), and (d), respectively. The figures at the right show the corresponding error phases with ($K = 1$) and without ($K = 0$) the frequency-squeezing procedure.

Fig. 5. (a) Measured IVSFG spectrum (filled squares) of the CH$_3$ symmetric stretch of the pentadecanoic acid monolayer on the water surface and its MEM interpolation (solid curve). (b)–(d) Measured phase values (filled squares) and the estimated phases (solid curves) from the MEPRP. The error phase in (b) is estimated by a constant value that is the error-phase value corresponding to the measured phase value indicated by an arrow. The error phases in (c) and (d) are estimated by linear interpolation of two error-phase values corresponding to the measured phase values shown by the arrows.
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