Space- and Time-Resolved Mapping of Ionic Dynamic and Electroresistive Phenomena in Lateral Devices

Evgeni Strelcov,†,* Stephen Jesse,† Yen-Lin Huang,‡ Yung-Chun Teng,‡ Ivan I. Kravchenko,† Ying-Hao Chu,‡ and Sergei V. Kalinin †,*

†Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, United States and ‡Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan

Electronic and ionic transport enables operation of numerous electrochemical devices including fuel cells, batteries, separators, and gas sensors.1–6 Similarly, ionic phenomena undergird the functionality of emergent memristive and electroresistive memory and logic devices.7–11 Much effort has been invested into the development of macroscopic strategies for separating the electronic and ionic responses, including electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and related methods.12,13 However, in most, if not all, of these cases the transport is controlled by the nanoscale elements of a material’s microstructure, including internal interfaces and grain boundaries in polycrystalline ceramics, interfaces in thin films, or conductive filaments in memristors. Typically, these elements possess a broad variability of properties, while only macroscopically averaged characterization is available experimentally. Therefore, probing local electronic and ionic transport phenomena is essential to understanding the fundamental mechanisms underpinning these devices. Beyond these applications, such information can facilitate understanding and mitigation of detrimental effects such as surface ionic leakage that plagues microelectronic and MEMS applications and can contribute to corrosion and degradation of metal electrodes under electrochemical conditions.

Understanding the electronic transport in lateral devices has necessitated the development of several current- (AFM- and STM-based potentiometry and nanoimpedance microscopies14–18) and force-sensitive scanning probe microscopy (SPM) techniques. These techniques can be considered nanoscale analogs of the classical methods of determining potential distribution, such as moving vibrating reed electrometry19 and lateral electrode conductometry.20–23 In particular, Kelvin probe force microscopy (KPFM)24–29 and electrostatic force microscopy (EFM)30,31 have emerged as powerful characterization methods and have been used in recent decades for studies of charge transport and accumulation in transistors,32,33 solar and electrochemical cells,30,34 micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS),35,36 gas sensors,37–39 ferroelectric devices, and electroceramics.40–42 This approach was further extended to map out linear and
nonlinear frequency-dependent transport, as implemented in the scanning impedance microscopy (SIM) and its nonlinear analogs.\textsuperscript{39,43–46}

However, the fundamental limitation of these approaches is that only the total potential distribution induced by changes of the electronic and ionic distributions is probed. Moreover, these techniques usually do not distinguish between different mechanisms governing charge rearrangement, such as surface ions versus bulk ion diffusion, electronic versus ionic dynamics, potential distribution due to different types of electronic conductivity, etc. Notably, in most interpretations of the KPFM data on lateral devices, observed phenomena are attributed solely to electronic transport. At the same time, formation of charge haloes during KPFM experiments on lateral devices as observed by multiple authors\textsuperscript{45,47,48} is clear evidence of surface ionic effects and suggests that the ionic and electronic subsystems have vastly different response times (Figure 1a). In fact, the significantly higher resolution observed in SIM as compared to KPFM of lateral devices, such as nanotubes,\textsuperscript{49} is primarily related to the mitigation of the ionic contribution to the signal.\textsuperscript{50} Recent work by Laboriante \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{35} explored these effects using an electrode system with predefined symmetry, transforming the slow scan direction into the time dimension\textsuperscript{47} by changing the electrode bias several times, while one image was captured.

These observations suggest that different transport phenomena (electronic vs ionic, surface vs bulk ionic, etc.) can be separated based on responses in the time domain. Here, we report a novel SPM technique—time-resolved Kelvin probe force microscopy (tr-KPFM)—that probes the time dynamics of the surface potential in both bias-on and bias-off states at each spatial location with a temporal resolution as low as 10 ms and achievable spatial resolution equal to that of KPFM.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The system we have chosen to demonstrate the feasibility and advantages of the tr-KPFM technique is a Ca-substituted BiFeO\textsubscript{3} (CaBFO) thin film. A fascinating interplay between the ferroelectricity and ionic effects in this material has recently attracted much attention.\textsuperscript{51,52} Pure bismuth ferrite epitaxial films exhibit a structural monoclinic—pseudotetragonal transition around 830 °C. Substitution of Bi\textsuperscript{3+} ions for the alkaline-earth ones (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, Ba\textsuperscript{2+}) in this perovskite leads to a lowering of the transition temperature and, due to the highly stable oxidation state of Fe\textsuperscript{3+}, generation of oxygen vacancies as a means of charge compensation. At the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} content of about 10%, a local minimum of the transition temperature associated with a ferroelectric instability is reached.\textsuperscript{37} The instability region is known for a prominent resistive switching behavior with a conductivity change of up to 3 orders of magnitude. The associated insulator—conductor transition is thought to be due to the redistribution of oxygen vacancies in CaBFO under the influence of an applied electric field.\textsuperscript{51} Oxygen vacancies exist in a dynamic equilibrium with the electronic holes, and their motion should be linked to changes in the conductivity.

During switching, most of the 10% CaBFO film between a pair of lateral electrodes becomes highly p-conductive and depleted of oxygen vacancies, whereas a thin layer around the anode gains less in conductivity and turns into an n-type semiconductor.\textsuperscript{53} However, this bulk picture can be expected to be altered by surface ionic and electronic dynamics (Figure 1b). Namely, in ambient, the oxide surfaces are universally covered by water layers and can contain physisorbed ionic species (compensating polar terminations, as is explored in ferroelectric materials\textsuperscript{54–57}). These ions must create a space charge region near the film’s surface, which depletes it of the main carriers and thus influences its conductivity. The thickness of the depletion region is on the order of the Debye length in CaBFO—quite comparable to the thin film’s thickness. Formation of space charge region and modulation of the conduction channel through it constitutes the transduction function of the conductometric gas sensors\textsuperscript{58} and can be expected to affect electrochemical phenomena in thin films, as well. Under the applied electric field, the pre-existing charged species can redistribute, creating surface space charge layers (Figure 1b). Furthermore, the neutral molecules can split in the lateral electric fields, creating additional ionic carriers of both polarities. The question, then, arises whether the surface ions have any influence on the measured tr-KPFM data and on the insulator—conductor transition in this material. Here, we endeavor to investigate these behaviors in real space.

Schematics of the tr-KPFM, which is an extension of the scanning surface potential microscopy,\textsuperscript{28} are shown in Figure 2a. Measurements are taken with a conventional AFM in dual pass mode a certain distance
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At each point of the grid, the four-step low-frequency probing voltage waveform is applied to the lateral electrodes, whereas the tip bias is modulated by a high-frequency AC signal. At step I, the device is polarized by a DC voltage $V_t$ applied between the electrodes, whereas $V_{tip} = V_0 + V_{sc} \times \cos(\omega t)$ waveform is applied to the tip. In order to avoid cross-talk, an AC frequency $\omega$ is chosen to be far away from the free resonance frequency of the cantilever $\omega_0$, at which the tapping piezo mechanically excites the cantilever. During step II, the bias between the electrodes is brought to zero, allowing the material to relax, while the tip bias waveform is kept unchanged. As the surface potential at each grid point between the electrodes changes over time, so does the tip–surface force, which is reflected in the deflection signal and is detected by the lock-in. Steps II and III are used to restore the system to its initial state (i.e., minimize charge accumulation and unwanted electrochemical processes) before moving to the next point of the grid, so that each measurement does not influence others. First, opposite bias $-V_t$ is applied between the electrodes (step II) and then the system is allowed to relax with both electrodes grounded (step III). As measurements are only taken during the first two steps, later the tip is kept grounded. Here, the duration of each step is chosen to be equal, albeit different pulse sequences and durations can be chosen depending on the nature of the physical phenomena under investigation.

The measured mechanical response of the cantilever can be quantified based on a simple electrostatic model. The periodic interaction force due to a capacitive coupling between the surface (at potential $V_s$) and tip (z meters away) is given by$^{26,28,59}$

$$F_c(z, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( V_{tip}(t) - V_s \right)^2 \frac{\partial C(z)}{\partial z}$$

Its first harmonic is

$$F_{c1w}(z) = \frac{\partial C(z)}{\partial z} \left( V_0 - V_s \right) V_{sc}$$

When the cantilever is held a fixed distance ($z_0$) above the surface, the deflection signal changes as a result of this periodic force, which is detected by the lock-in amplifier as the amplitude and phase of the signal:

$$A(\omega) = \frac{F_{c1w}}{m(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)^2 + \omega^2 \gamma^2}$$

$$\tan(\theta) = \frac{\omega \gamma}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2}$$

Here, $m$ is the effective mass of the cantilever, $\omega_0$ is its free mechanical oscillation frequency, and $\gamma$ is the damping coefficient. Having recorded amplitude and phase of the signal, one can calculate the surface potential at each point of the grid as

$$V_s = V_0 - \frac{1}{f_{1w} \times \frac{\partial C(z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z_0}} \times A = V_0 - K \cdot A \sin(\theta)$$

where $K$ is the calibration coefficient and $f_{1w}$ is the transference function of the cantilever for the first harmonic.

Since the tip–surface capacitance and its dependence on $z$ are generally unknown, $K$ can be found by including part of the grounded lateral electrode in the scan. Then, the $K$ calculated for the over-the-electrode grid points can be used for potential calibration for the rest of the grid. Potential calibration relies on the independence of the capacitance gradient on the tip position in the $x$–$y$ plane (see Supporting Information). Note that, due to a stepwise height jump at the film–electrode interface, the $V_s - A$ linear dependence assumption may fail and the potential may seem to jump, too. Recording several grid lines over the flat grounded electrode instead of just one line along the interface helps to eliminate this flaw and calibrate the surface potential correctly. Application of bias to the lateral electrodes may change the transference function of the cantilever due to its flexibility in the electrostatic field, in which case potential calibration should be done independently for bias-on and bias-off states (see Supporting Information).

We further note that determination of the local potential by KPFM is subject to several systematic corrections. First and foremost, the surface potential measured by KPFM differs from the absolute surface by a factor of the work function difference ($\Delta \phi$) of the surface and tip materials: $V_s = V_{abs} - \Delta \phi / e$ ($e$ is the elementary charge).$^{60}$ Albeit, this difference generally does not exceed a fraction of the volt (for the ground state) and, hence, can be ignored here. For small bias measurements, the ground-state KPFM image can provide the required correction. Second, geometrical aspects of the tip–surface and cantilever–surface interactions may also influence the measured potential distribution.$^{61-63}$ However, these factors contribute to the spatial resolution. Finally, the feedback errors,
significant in KPFM, do not affect the present implementation of tr-KPFM due to the open-loop detection scheme.

As an example of the surface mapping ability of the technique, Figure 2b shows a time-averaged surface potential of a 20 × 105 μm² area of CaBFO film during a polarization pulse of 10 V lasting 10 s. Lines below the red arrow (Figure 2b) of the 20 × 30 grid were recorded over the grounded electrode, whereas the topmost line was adjacent to the biased electrode. In this example, extension of the collected data deep into the time domain decreased the spatial resolution of tr-KPFM as compared to standard EFM or SKPM techniques but simultaneously provided much more information on the surface potential dynamics. However, spatial resolution of the tr-KPFM technique is not in principle inferior to that of EFM and SKPM, but rather is linked to the temporal resolution and the total time an experimenter would like to spend acquiring one image.

Behavior of the CaBFO film in response to the applied bias is summarized in Figure 3. When 5 V is applied between the electrodes at room temperature, the detected potential (averaged over the pixels equidistant from one of the electrodes) decays during 10 s from a maximal to a lower value, more so for the regions closer to the biased electrode (Figure 3a). Switching bias off leads to an instant drop of potential to values close to zero and a subsequent relaxation of the remaining component.

The space–time distribution of potential is shown in Figure 3b, where both electrodes are represented with a gray shading. Initially (top dark-blue curve), the potential distribution between the electrodes is almost linear (except for the Schottky barrier at the biased electrode), but by the end of the 10 s polarization period (top green curve), it becomes somewhat reduced close to the biased electrode and, thus, deviates from linearity. As soon as both electrodes are grounded, potential drops and remains unevenly distributed, being less than zero close to the formerly biased electrode (lower green curve). The next 10 s of relaxation linearizes the potential profile and brings it...
almost to zero all over the sample. The peach arrows in Figure 3 indicate the time progression of the curves (in addition to the color-coding of the curves themselves). Note that Figure 3a,b shows two ways of presenting the same data. The nonlinear distribution of potential at the end of the polarization period indicated possible accumulation of negative charges in the vicinity of the positively biased electrode, which is also seen in the same region as a negative potential dip in the beginning of relaxation. These charges may be the oxygen vacancies of the bulk or the surface ions (hydroxyl groups, oxygen ions, or impurity ions left after photolithography). Since the motion of oxygen vacancies must bring about changes in the conductivity in CaBFO, whereas redistribution of the surface charges may not necessarily do so, and the current between the electrodes does not change (Figure 3c), we are likely dealing with surface ion motion in this case of low temperature and low bias. Notably, formation of the remanent potential feature only at one of the electrodes suggests the polarization of the existing ionic subsystem (e.g., mobile part of the surface double layers).

Increasing interelectrode bias to 90 V at room temperature leads to increased accumulation of the opposite ions, while not changing the overall behavior much. The linearity of the potential distribution is succeeded by its bending during the polarization, and later, the negative potential “pits” relax to flat zero (Figure 3d–f). However, in this case, ions presumably accumulate at both electrodes, and the polarization current is higher. The current remains transient (Figure 3f) and does not increase over time, as it would in the case of oxygen vacancy motion. Hence, we still attribute the observed phenomena to the surface ion dynamics; however, in this case, the electrochemical splitting of water molecules can be an integral part of the process, resulting in additional generation of mobile ions.

Increasing temperature (e.g., to 100 °C, Figure 4a–c) but keeping the bias low significantly enhances kinetics of the polarization and relaxation processes. Faster polarization means a larger amount of accumulated
ions and higher polarization current (Figure 4c) in the same 10 s polarization period. Thus, again, the potential distribution in these conditions is presumably governed by the surface ions rather than oxygen vacancies. Note that at low biases surface charges again pile up only by the biased electrode. We speculate that this behavior might be due to the splitting of the adsorbed water molecules in the high electric field. Thus, at high biases, in addition to the accumulation of negative surface ions at the positive electrode, some negative ions are generated at the grounded electrode, which serves as a source of electrons:

$$\text{H}_2\text{O}(s) + e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2^+ + \text{O}^- (s)$$

Finally, high temperature and high bias conditions trigger the oxygen vacancy dynamics in addition to the surface charges motion, and a complicated potential distribution ensues (Figure 4d–f). Within the first tenth of a second from the application of bias, the initially linear distribution acquires an S-shape, indicating a very fast separation of opposite charges that build up by the electrodes and give rise to a fast-decaying current. However, during the next 9.9 s, the potential—distance curves slowly linearize again, and this process is accompanied by a gradual increase in current, as clearly visible in the black curve of Figure 4f.

This behavior must be explained by taking into account all three kinds of the charged particles existing in the system: the surface ions, bulk oxygen vacancies, and electrons/electronic holes of the film. We propose the following qualitative model for explaining the observed phenomena. Under a high driving force and while being thermally activated, surface ions redistribute themselves first (due to higher mobility), followed by the oxygen vacancies. Water splitting may also play a role here by generating more negative surface ions. The pile-up of the negative ions is then compensated by the electronic holes that are not only more mobile at high temperature but also more abundant, as they are generated alongside the oxygen vacancies’ shift. This leads to a straightening of the potential distribution.

Relaxation only equilibrates the surface ions, as evidenced by the small, quickly fading current, whereas the oxygen vacancies do not relax much, shifting further and further after each measurement and increasing the film’s conductivity. This is seen in the progressive rise of the background current in Figure 4f, as indicated with the arrow.

The relaxation data can further be quantified using a simple phenomenological model similarly to earlier studies of relaxation in piezoresponse force microscopy. The potential decay over time can be fitted with the exponential law: $\Phi = A + B \cdot e^{-t/\tau}$, where $\Phi$ is the surface potential, $A$ is the offset, $B$ is the pre-exponential factor, and $\tau$ is the mean lifetime, which is inversely proportional to the diffusion coefficient of the surface ions.

Figure 5a displays temperature dependence of the mean lifetime averaged over half of the grid points (where the fitting was most successful) for data taken at 5 V bias. As seen, $\tau$ values for the polarization and relaxation processes are slightly different (due to the lower signal-to-noise ratio of the relaxation curve) and steadily decrease with increasing temperature. The Arrhenius plot gives the value of the activation energy as approximately 0.14 eV (Figure 5b), which is very close to the 0.12 eV of the proton diffusivity in water.

The diffusivity of the surface ions can be estimated as $D = d^2/\tau$, where $d$ is the distance ions have diffused in $\tau$ seconds. Obviously, it should be on the order of the interelectrode distance. The calculated value of diffusivity ($\sim 2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$) is close to the room temperature diffusivity of protons in water ($\sim 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$). This serves as an additional indication of the surface, rather than bulk ionic dynamics at low biases.

These observations suggest that the tr-KPFM dynamics readily observed on CaBFO surface is predominantly controlled by the surface ionic dynamics and electrochemistry of water layers that can couple to the bulk conductance through electrochemical gating effects. While providing only negligible current, these effects dominate the potential dynamics. In order to extend these studies to probe the bulk insulator–conductor...
transition in CaBFO, one needs to either preactivate the sample or use longer polarization pulses. Both of these approaches were employed here, as discussed below.

Preactivation was done by biasing the electrodes with 90 V at 100 °C for ca. 40 min until current increased from 0.9 to 70 nA. The tr-KPFM imaging was started immediately after preactivation and showed that initially most of the film acquired the same potential as the biased electrode, and most of the potential drop took place close to the grounded electrode, where the p-n junction must have formed by the shifted oxygen vacancy density (Figure 6b). When the bias is switched off, this region remains more negatively charged than the rest of the film, indicating accumulation of negative ions in it, which dissipate during the relaxation period. The potential distribution linearization during polarization is accompanied by a decrease in current (Figure 6c). Taking these facts together, the following explanation can be given. The application of bias redistributes negative surface ions, accumulating them not at the biased electrode but in the region of the highest potential, at the shortest distance from the grounded electrode, since all regions of the film farther away are equipotential with the biased electrode. As negative ions pile up in the p-n junction region, they attract and trap electronic holes that partly compensate their charge. As a result, potential in the p-n junction region decreases and overall potential distribution straightens up by the end of the polarization period. Hole trapping also leads to a decrease in current. Thus, the surface ionic dynamics may play a role here in the resistive switching, acting as an impeding thermodynamic factor.

Prolonged application of high bias at elevated temperatures does eventually lead to the resistive switching in CaBFO film. Figure 6d–f demonstrates potential and current evolution in the sample at 125 °C under 100 s 90 V polarization pulses. In this case, all surface ion dynamics is condensed into the first several seconds and is almost invisible in the 100 s time scale, whereas the much slower motion of oxygen vacancies gradually increases the potential and current. The potential distribution remains nearly linear and would presumably curve upward at later stages (in ca. 40 min) to finally reach the shape of the dark-blue line in Figure 6b. Interestingly, next to no relaxation is observed in this case since the conductive CaBFO film is electron-neutral and any excess charge relaxes very fast.

We further extend these studies to explore local ionic dynamics as visualized in spatially resolved maps of the fitting coefficients. Figure 7b presents such maps for the polarization/relaxation process that occurred in pristine sample under 30 V during 10 s and at room temperature, and Figure 7a shows the same for 5 V polarization pulse at 100 °C. The offset simply shows spatial distribution of potential: it is linear during polarization for room temperature and curved for 100 °C (compare to Figure 4b, green line) but is close to zero during relaxation in both cases. The pre-exponential factor maps describe how much potential drop occurred locally over the given period of time. For room temperature data, they clearly show two extrema close to the electrodes, where most polarization and relaxation took place due to accumulation of negative surface ions. This is similar to what is shown in Figure 3e. The high-temperature pre-exponential
factor maps show maximal potential drop near the biased electrode. The mean lifetime maps also show some spatial variation but are only reliable in the regions of high potential drops, where the signal-to-noise ratio is highest and fitting is best. All in all, fitting coefficient maps condense the tr-KPFM data, reflecting both time and spatial information in one image and helping to extract useful information about the material behavior.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In summary, we have presented a novel SPM-based technique for surface potential mapping in both space and time domains. The tr-KPFM allows one to probe ionic charge dynamics even when corresponding currents are extremely small: on insulating and nearly insulating surfaces. Using it to investigate the ionic dynamics in CaBFO thin film, we have found that, at low biases and temperatures, all polarization/relaxation of the potential is due to surface charge motion, and only at higher temperatures, stronger electric fields, and longer timescales do oxygen vacancy dynamics begin to play the dominant role in conductivity. It is shown that in certain conditions surface ions may control the conductivity of the CaBFO thin film to a greater extent than the oxygen vacancies do.

Notably, this approach (a spatially resolved analog of the potentiostatic and galvanostatic intermittent titration techniques) can differentiate the ionic from electronic dynamics in time domain and can be combined with the classical electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. We further note that the use of the band excitation technique will enhance resolution and sensitivity detection can decouple potential and capacitive effects, and fast detection will allow measurements below the microsecond level, where the electronic response happens. The tr-KPFM method can be used for probing the potential distribution in nano- and microelectronic devices, including adsorbed surface charge dynamics in conventional microelectronics (parasitic currents on SiO2 and Si3N4 surfaces), surface versus bulk ionic dynamics in materials with the ion-controlled metal–insulator transition and resistive switching (Ca-BFO, as shown, NiOx, etc.), and studies of gas interaction with solids, including spillover effects in catalysts and gas sensing in semiconductors.

METHODS

The studied sample was a 100 nm epitaxial film of 10% Ca-substituted BiFeO3 grown on an insulating SrTiO3 substrate. Lateral Au/Cr electrodes 100 μm wide, 3 mm long, and 200 nm thick were deposited 100 μm apart using a photolithographic mask and physical vapor deposition. Devices were fabricated by gluing the film to a chip carrier and performing wire bonding. Multimode AFM (Bruker) with a Nanonis (Specs) controller was used as the measuring platform. The bias application and signal recording were done by a computer via a National Instruments card controlled through Matlab and LabVIEW software. Two types of cantilevers with conductive coating tips were used for measurements: Budget Sensors (Cr/Pt coating, k = 3 N/m) and boron-doped diamond-coated (NT-MDT, k = 28–91 N/m). The former, being more flexible and sensitive, was used for measurements with biases lower than 10 V. When employing higher biases, the tr-KPFM grid was chosen outside the biased electrode, as accidental touching of the cantilever tip to it caused discharge and destruction of the tip. Current was measured with a Femto amplifier (DLPCA-200) connected in series with a 200 MΩ protective resistor. An external lock-in amplifier (SR844, Stanford Research) was used for signal processing, and a function generator (DS345, Stanford Research) applied AC waveform to the tip. Data processing was done using custom-written Matlab codes. Some of the outlier points in the maps and averaged data that corresponded to abrupt changes in topography (SPM tip encountering surface particle or electrode edge) were removed manually.
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