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1. Introduction

The development of novel materials for use in organic optoelectronic devices, such as dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs), has become a popular research topic in the quest for low-cost, green materials for sustainable use and a decrease in demand for fossil fuels and nuclear power. DSSCs based on Ru-photosensitizers, such as cis-bis(sulfinylamido)bis(2,2’-bipyridyl-4,4’-dicarboxylato)ruthenium(II) (N3) and related derivatives, have been applied very successfully with high power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) of 9–12%. Recently, it has been demonstrated that DSSCs can also be constructed from metal-free organic dyes. Because of the high cost of rare Ru metal and the relatively low molar extinction coefficients and tedious purification of Ru-photosensitizers, metal-free organic sensitizers have become increasingly attractive and widely developed. Nevertheless, the ability to reach higher efficiencies when using metal-free organic dyes remains a challenge, although great progress has been made in this field. The key characteristics for a dye to be used in a DSSC are high absorption over a wide range of the solar spectrum with high molar extinction coefficients, efficient charge separation, redox stability, and suitable functional groups to interact with the electron sink (TiO2). Metal-free organic dyes featuring a donor/acceptor structural design were synthesized with particularly wide absorption ranges for DSSC applications. Liquid-crystalline (or mesomorphic) properties can be introduced to organic dyes, and their mesomorphic characteristics contribute to the power conversion efficiency. In this study we synthesized three metal-free organic dyes (Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22) featuring 3,4,5-tris(dodecyloxy)phenyl and cyanocarboxylic acid moieties as electron-donor and electron-acceptor anchoring units, respectively. A dye-sensitized solar cell incorporating Cpd16 exhibited the best performance, presumably because of its better packing and mesomorphic properties; the power conversion efficiency was 3.72% (Voc=0.58 V, Jsc=9.98 mA cm−2, FF=0.65) under simulated AM 1.5 irradiation (100 mW cm−2).
enhance intermolecular charge transport.\textsuperscript{33,34} In this study, we prepared dyes featuring a 3,4,5-tris(dodecyloxy)phenyl unit\textsuperscript{21} and a cyanoacrylic acid as electron donor and acceptor units, respectively, with various spacers inserted as the conjugated bridge (Fig. 1). For example, we introduced a fused-DTT unit as a conjugated spacer through which electrons could be transferred efficiently from the donor to the acceptor. In addition to the DTT unit (as a main structure of the conjugated spacer), we also employed bithiophene and bithiazole units to extend the conjugated lengths and, thereby, affect the electron mobilities and absorption spectra. Furthermore, bithiophene unit enhanced the liquid crystallinity of the dye. To increase solubility, we inserted alkyl chains onto bithiazole hetero- units with good coplanarity and investigated their mesomorphic and photovoltaic properties.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Optical properties

Fig 2 displays the UV–vis absorption and normalized photoluminescence (PL) spectra of Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22 as solutions in THF (10\textsuperscript{–5} M); Table 1 lists their corresponding data. The absorption spectra reveal that the signals for Cpd16 and Cpd22 were red-shifted relative to those of Cpd11 after the insertion of the bithiophene and bithiazole units, respectively, to lengthen the conjugated linking structures. The maximum absorption peaks for Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22 at 443, 476, and 473 nm, respectively, resulted from intramolecular charge transfer (ICT); that is, for the transition from the 3,4,5-tris(dodecyloxy)benzene donor to the cyanoacrylic acid acceptor. The spectra of the dyes Cpd11 and Cpd22 both featured weak π–π* transition bands, at 386 and 374 nm, respectively, whereas that of Cpd16 featured only a single intense band at 443 nm (see Table 1). As expected, the elongated π-conjugations in Cpd16 and Cpd22 resulted in narrower π–π* energy gaps and spectral red shifts for the π–π* transitions. Because the inserted bithiophene and bithiazole units extended the conjugation lengths in Cpd16 and Cpd22, both dyes exhibited red-shifted and broader absorptions than those of Cpd11.\textsuperscript{40} Since Cpd11 had the narrowest absorption wavelength (Fig. 2a), it also displayed the worst photovoltaic performance among the tested dyes. The molar extinction coefficients of Cpd16 (476 nm; \(\varepsilon = 7.50 \times 10^{4} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\)) and Cpd22 (473 nm; \(\varepsilon = 7.70 \times 10^{4} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\)) at their maximum absorptions are lower than that of Cpd11 (443 nm; \(\varepsilon = 9.90 \times 10^{4} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\)), because the inserted bithiophene and bithiazole units decreased the coplanarity of the acceptor and...
donor moieties and, therefore, decreased the degree of charge transfer.\textsuperscript{31,42} Furthermore, in comparison with conventional ruthenium complexes (e.g., N3; $\varepsilon = 1.52 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$),\textsuperscript{4} the molar extinction coefficients of the dyes are relatively large, indicating that they have good light harvesting ability. The bathochromic shifts upon proceeding from Cpd11 to Cpd16 (33 nm) and from Cpd11 to Cpd22 (30 nm) presumably resulted from the extended π-conjugations. Fig. 2b reveals that when THF solutions of Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22 were excited at 443, 475, and 475 nm, respectively, the resulting PL spectra featured weak emissions with Stokes shifts in the range 114–148 nm, with the PL emissions of dyes following similar trends to those in their absorption spectra.

2.2. Electrochemical properties

The electrochemical properties of dyes can be obtained using cyclic voltammetry (CV); Table 1 and Fig. 3 present the relevant CV data and representative cyclic voltammograms, respectively, for Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22. We determined the HOMO energy levels of these dyes from their corresponding irreversible oxidation peaks. The cyclic voltammograms of the dyes Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22 featured irreversible oxidation waves with oxidation potentials of 1.15, 0.71, and 0.77 V, respectively (Fig. 3). The HOMO energy level of a dye must be more positive (>0.3 eV) than the electrolyte iodine redox potential if it is to accept electrons effectively.\textsuperscript{43}–46 The HOMO energy levels of our dyes were in the range from 1.15 to 0.77 eV vs vacuum); therefore, the electron injection process is energetically favorable. Relative to Cpd11 (containing only a simple fused dithienothiophene spacer), the dyes Cpd16 (with one more bithiophene unit) and Cpd22 (with one more bithiazole unit) both had smaller oxidation potentials ($E_{ox}$). Therefore, the shortest spacer in Cpd11 (possessing the shortest conjugation length) resulted in it having the highest oxidation potential and the largest optical band gap ($E_g$) among our tested dyes. The optical band gaps of Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22 were 2.45, 2.19, and 2.15 eV, respectively, which suggested that Cpd22 possibly might have a higher PCE value. However, in contrast to Cpd11 (without a donor bithiophene linkage) and Cpd22 (with an acceptor bithiazole linkage), the improved electron injection of Cpd16 might be arisen from the donor bithiophene linkage and hence to achieve a higher PCE value. On the other hand, since the HOMO and LUMO levels of Cpd16 obtained from CV measurements were found to be higher than those of Cpd11 and Cpd22 in Table 3, and hence a greater electron injection process was favorable to obtain a higher PCE efficiency in later photovoltaic measurements.

2.3. Mesomorphic properties

Table 2 lists the phase transition temperatures and enthalpies of the dyes Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22, as characterized using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The polarizing optical microscopy (POM) image in Fig. 4 reveals that Cpd16 possessed a tilted

![Fig. 3. Cyclic voltammograms of the dyes Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22 (in THF), recorded at a scan rate of 100 mV s$^{-1}$.](image)

![Fig. 4. (a) Optical texture of the nematic phase in the dye Cpd16 at 225 °C (cooling), observed through POM, and (b) XRD intensity plotted with respect to angle for the dye Cpd16 at 225 °C.](image)
smectic (SmC) phase, with a broken focal conic fan texture at 225 °C. The mesomorphic properties of Cpd16 were confirmed using powder X-ray diffraction (XRD); after thermal annealing at 225 °C for 10 min. Cpd16 exhibited a primary diffraction feature in the low angle region of Fig. 4, with a sharp peak at a value of 2θ of 1.3° (corresponding to a d-spacing of 50.6 Å). Fig. 5 presents a possible packing motif (side-view) for Cpd16; this model suggests that the dye molecules stacked with bilayer packing and may have trivial interdigitated arrangements as a result of hydrogen bonding interactions between terminal carboxyl (COOH) units. Using Chemdraw software for simulation, we calculated the theoretical molecular length of Cpd16 to be 38.44 Å; its hydrogen-bonded dimer would, therefore, have a length of ~75 Å. The d-spacing of 50.6 Å for Cpd16, determined using XRD, suggested a tilted smectic molecular arrangement (e.g., SmC phase) in Fig. 5. The broad peak in the wide angle region at a value of 2θ of 15° in Fig. 4 corresponds to a d-spacing of 4.6 Å, which we assign to the lateral distance between the conjugated backbones, as has been reported for other similar π-conjugated polymers presenting long pendants, although this broad peak might also have contained some contributions from the lateral π–π stacking of the dye planes. The broad XRD halos in Fig. 4 suggest, however, that π–π stacking in Cpd16 occurred only in very small areas; that is, it mainly possessed an amorphous structure.

2.4. Photovoltaic properties of DSSCs

Fig. 6 displays incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) and photocurrent–voltage (I–V) curves of DSSCs based on the dyes Cpd11, Cpd16, Cpd22, and N719. From Fig. 6b, we characterized the photovoltaic parameters of the DSSCs (Table 3), namely their open-circuit photovoltages (Voc), short-circuit photocurrent densities (Jsc), fill factors (FFs), and solar-to-electrical energy conversion efficiencies (η). The power conversion efficiencies (PCEs, i.e., η) followed the trend Cpd16 (η=3.72) > Cpd22 (η=2.82) > Cpd11 (η=2.69). The highest PCE was that of the DSSC incorporating Cpd16, mainly because it had the highest short current density (Jsc=9.98 mA cm⁻²), which reveals more electrons were transferred from the excited state of the dye and injected into the conduction band of TiO₂; the DSSCs incorporating the three dyes each had similar values of Voc and FF. The IPCE spectrum of Cpd16 (Fig. 6a) featured the broadest response in the range 300–750 nm with a maximum IPCE value of 64%; this behavior is consistent with its DSSC having the highest PCE (η=3.72); with Voc=0.58 V; Jsc=9.98 mA cm⁻²; and FF=0.65. Thus, the highest PCE (η=3.72) for the device incorporating Cpd16 resulted from its high short current intensity (Jsc=9.98 mA cm⁻²) and broadest and most-intense IPCE spectrum (toward the longer wavelength region), both of which presumably resulted from the longer conjugated structure induced by this dye’s additional bithiophene linker. The different PCE values of Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22 may be attributed to the following reasons; (i) Cpd16 and Cpd22 were bridged through a donor bithiophene linkage and an acceptor bithiazole linkage, respectively, in contrast to Cpd11; (ii) the steric effect induced by the lateral alkyl chains of the bithiazole (a) unit might affect the conjugation of Cpd22; (iii) as noticed in Fig. 4, the greater packing nature of Cpd16 enhanced the electron injection to TiO₂ and also enhanced Jsc to obtain a higher PCE value.

3. Conclusion

We have synthesized three new metal-free organic dyes (Cpd11, Cpd16, and Cpd22), each featuring a tris(dodecyloxy)phenyl moiety (a common unit in liquid crystalline structures) as an electron
donor, a cyanoacrylic acid moiety as an electron acceptor/anchoring group, and a DTT-based spacer to bridge the donor and acceptor moieties. To extend the length of conjugation, we appended a bithiophene or bithiazole moiety to the DTT unit to enhance the capacity for charge transfer and increase the range of absorption. The dye Cpd16 exhibited mesomorphic properties, resulting from the appropriate proportion of the lengths of its flexible chain to its rigid core; molecular modeling of Cpd16, and its d-spacing value determined using XRD, verified the existence of a tilt angle in the SmC phase. In addition, among the tested dyes, the DSSC exhibiting the best performance was that incorporating Cpd16, presumably because of its superior packing as a result of its mesomorphic properties. This DSSC exhibited a maximum PCE of 3.72% (Voc=0.58 V; Jsc=9.98 mA cm−2; FF=0.65) under simulated AM 1.5 irradiation (100 mW cm−2).

4. Experimental section

4.1. General information

4.1.1. Materials. Chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade and purchased from Aldrich, ACROS, TCI, or Lancaster Chemical. Tetrahydrofuran (THF), dimethylformamide (DMF), and dichloromethane (DCM) were dried and distilled prior to use. N-Bromosuccinimide (NBS) was recrystallized from distilled water and dried under vacuum. All other chemicals were used without further purification. The synthetic routes for all dyes are presented in Scheme 1. 1-Bromo-1,2,3-tris-n-dodecyl oxybenzene (1),15 tri-n-butyl(dithieno[3,2-b:2’,3’-d][thiophen-2-yl]stannane (2),12 5-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)thiophene-2-carbaldehyde (3),11,27 and 2-(3,4,5-tris-n-dodecyloxyphenyl)dithieno[3,2-b:2’,3’-d][thiophen-2-yl]stannane (Cpd16) were synthesized according to literature procedures. (Scheme 1).

4.1.2. Synthesis

4.1.2.1. 2-(3,4,5-Tris-n-dodecyloxyphenyl)dithieno[3,2-b:2’,3’-d]thiophene (3). A solution of 1 (2.34 g, 1.00 mmol) and 2 (1.60 g, 3.29 mmol) in dry toluene (50 mL) in a 100-mL, three-neck, round-bottom flask was deoxygenated with N2 for 30 min. Pd(PPh3)4 (110 mg, 0.02 mmol) was added and then the mixture was heated at 110 °C for 2 days. The organic layer was extracted with DCM; the extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO4. Column chromatography (SiO2; hexanes/CH2Cl2, 3:1) provided the title compound (55.6%).

4.1.2.2. 6-(3,4,5-Tris(dodecyl oxyoxyphenyl)dithieno[3,2-b:2’,3’-a]thiophene-2-carbaldehyde (4). A 250-mL three-neck flask containing anhydrous DMF (0.1 mL, 1 mmol) was cooled in an ice bath and then POCl3 (0.1 mL, 5 mmol) was added dropwise over 30 min. A solution of 3 (0.82 g, 0.01 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (30 mL) was added to the solution and then the mixture was heated at 90 °C for 24 h. This solution was cooled to room temperature, poured into ice water, and neutralized to pH 6–7 through dropwise addition of saturated aqueous NaOH. The mixture was partitioned between CH2Cl2 and water. The organic layer was dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified through column chromatography (SiO2; CH2Cl2/hexane, 1:1) to give a yellow solid (0.7 g, 82%).

4.1.2.3. 6-(3,4,5-Tris(dodecyl oxyoxyphenyl)-2-tributylstannyl dithieno[3,2-b:2’,3’-a]thiophene (5). n-BuLi (2.5 M in hexane, 0.59 mL, 1.5 mmol) was added over 1 h to a stirred solution of 3 (0.83 g, 0.03 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL) in a 250-mL flask at −78 °C. The mixture was then warmed slowly (1 h) to room temperature under an ambient environment with stirring. After the mixture was had re-cooled to −78 °C, Bu3SnCl (0.53 mL, 1.2 mmol) was added slowly. The mixture was then stirred at ambient temperature for 18 h, followed by the addition of water (100 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH2Cl2 (200 mL); the combined organic phases were dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified through column chromatography (SiO2; CH2Cl2/hexane, 2:5) to give a pale-yellow oil.

4.1.2.4. 5-(5-(3,4,5-Tris(dodecyloxyphenyl)dithieno[3,2-b:2’,3’-a]thiophenyl-2-yl)thiophene-2-carbaldehyde (6). A 250-mL two-neck flask containing 5 (1.1 mL, 0.85 g, 0.03 mmol), and Pd(PPh3)4 (0.026 g, 0.01 mmol), in toluene (15 mL) was heated at 90 °C for 24 h. The mixture was then warmed at 110 °C for 2 days. The organic phase was dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified through column chromatography (SiO2; CH2Cl2/hexane, 1:1) to give a red-orange solid (0.5 g, 64.5%).

4.1.2.5. 5-(5-(6-(3,4,5-Tris(dodecyloxyphenyl)dithieno[3,2-b:2’,3’-a]thiophenyl-2-(4-hexythiazole-2-yl)-4-hexythiazole-2-carbaldehyde) (9). A 250-mL two-neck flask containing 5 (0.85 g, 1.1 mmol), 7 (0.21 g, 0.77 mmol), and Pd(PPh3)4 (0.026 g, 0.03 mmol), in toluene (15 mL) was heated at 90 °C for 24 h. The mixture was then partitioned between CH2Cl2 and water and then the organic phase was dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified through column chromatography (SiO2; EtOAc/hexane, 1:10) to give a red-orange solid (0.5 g, 64.5%).

4.1.2.6. 3-(6-(3,4,5-Tris(dodecyloxyphenyl)dithieno[3,2-b:2’,3’-a]thiophen-2-yl)-2-cyanoacrylic acid (Cpd11). A mixture of 4 (0.70 g,
0.08 mmol), cyanoacetic acid (0.12 g, 1.41 mmol), ammonium acetate (0.063 g, 0.08 mmol), and glacial AcOH (60 mL) was heated overnight at 110 °C with efficient stirring. The red solution was cooled to induce a precipitate, which was filtered and thoroughly washed with water and MeOH, to give a red solid (79.8%).

4.1.2.7. 3-{5-(5-6-[3,4,5-Tris(dodecyloxy)phenyl]dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-aliphathiothiophen-2-yl]thiophenyl}-2-cyanoacrylic acid (Cpd11). Prepared, using the same procedure as that described for Cpd11, as a dark-brown solid (73.2%).

4.1.2.8. 3-{5-(5-6-[3,4,5-Tris(dodecyloxy)phenyl]dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-aliphathiothiophen-2-yl]thiophenyl}-2-cyanoacrylic acid (Cpd22). Prepared, using the same procedure as that described for Cpd11, as a dark-brown solid (73.2%).

4.1.3. Measurement and characterizations. 1H NMR spectra were recorded using a Varian unity 300 MHz spectrometer, with DMSO-d6 and CHCl3 as solvents. Elemental analyses were performed using a HERAEUS CHN-OS RAPID elemental analyzer. UV–vis absorption spectra of dilute THF solutions (10−4 M) were recorded using an HP 3470A spectrophotometer; photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded using a Hitachi F-4500 spectrophotometer. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed at room temperature using a BAS electrochemical analyzer, a standard three-electrode electrochemical cell, and a 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF6) solution (in THF), with a scanning rate of 100 mV s−1. During CV measurements, the solutions were purged with N2 for 30 s. In each case, a carbon coating rod was the working electrode, a platinum wire was the counter electrode, and a silver wire was the quasi-reference electrode; a Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) electrode served as the reference electrode for all potentials quoted herein. The redox couple of ferrocene/ferrocenium ion (Fc/Fc+) was used as an external standard. The corresponding HOMO and LUMO energy levels were calculated from the onset oxidation potential (Eox(onset)) and UV–vis absorption edge (Eg(opt)), respectively. Meso- phasic textures were characterized through POM using a Leica DMLP equipped with a hot stage.

4.1.3.1. XRD characterization. Synchrotron powder XRD was performed at beamline BL17A of the National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center (NSRRC), Taiwan; the wavelength of the X-rays was 1.33366 Å. The powder samples were packed into a capillary tube and heated with a heat gun, the temperature controller of which was programmed by a PC with a PID feedback system. The scattering angle, θ, was calibrated using a mixture of silver behenate and silicon.

4.1.3.2. TiO2 paste preparation. The TiO2 precursor and the electrode were fabricated using previously reported procedures with an autoclave temperature of 240 °C. The precursor solution was prepared according to the following procedure: 0.1 M HNO3 (430 mL) under vigorous stirring was slowly combined with Ti(C2H3O2)4 (72 mL). After hydrolysis, the mixture was heated at 85 °C in a water bath and stirred vigorously for 8 h to achieve peptization. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and the resultant colloid was filtered; the filtrate was then heated in an autoclave at 240 °C for 12 h to grow the TiO2 particles. The colloid was cooled to room temperature and vibrated ultrasonically for 10 min. The TiO2 colloid was concentrated to 13 wt %, followed by the addition of 30 wt % (with respect to TiO2 weight) of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG; MW = 20,000 g mol−1) to prevent the film from cracking while drying.

4.1.3.3. Device fabrication. The TiO2 paste was deposited on a FTO glass substrate (dimensions: 0.5 × 0.5 cm2) using the glass rod method. Polyester tape (3 M) was used as an adhesive on two edges of the FTO glass. The tape was removed after the TiO2 paste had been spread on the FTO using a glass rod and then the TiO2 paste was dried in air at room temperature for 1 h. The TiO2-coated FTO was heated to 500 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min−1 and then maintained at that temperature for 30 min before cooling to room temperature. After repeating the procedure above to control the thickness of the TiO2 film, the final coating was performed using TiO2 pastes containing different sizes (300 and 20 nm; 30 and 70 wt %, respectively) of light-scattering TiO2 particles; the samples were then heated at 500 °C. The thicknesses of the TiO2 films were measured using a profilometer (Dektak3, Veeco/Sloan Instruments). The density of each adsorbed dye was calculated from the concentration difference of each solution before and after TiO2 film immersion. The TiO2 electrode with a geometric area of 0.25 cm2 was immersed overnight in a MeCN/tert-butanol (1:1, v/v) solution of 3 × 10−4 M cis-di(thiocyanato)bis(2,2′-bipyridyl-4,4′-dicarboxylato)-ruthenium(II) bis(tetrabutylammonium) (N719, Solaronix SA) or in a THF solution containing 3 × 10−4 M organic sensitizers. Thermally platinized FTO was used as the counter electrode; its active area was controlled to 0.36 cm2 using adhered polyester tape having a thickness of 60 μm. After rinsing with MeCN or THF, the photoanode was placed on top of the counter electrode and tightly clipped together to form a cell. Electrolyte was injected into the space and then the cell was sealed with Torr Seal cement (Varian). The electrolyte comprised 0.5 M LiI, 0.05 M I2, and 0.5 M 4-tert-butylpyridine (TBP) in MeCN. The photovoltage transients of the assembled devices were recorded using a digital oscilloscope (LeCroy, WaveSurfer 24Xs). Pulsed laser excitation was applied using a Q-switched Nd:YAG laser (Continuum, model Minilite II) operated at 532 nm, with a 1 Hz repetition rate and a 5–ns pulse width at half-height. The beam size was slightly larger than 0.5 × 0.5 cm2 to cover the area of the device. The photovoltage of each device was adjusted by incident pulse energy to be 40 mV.

4.1.3.4. Device measurements. A 0.6 × 0.6 cm2 cardboard mask was clipped onto the device to constrain the illumination area. Photoelectrochemical characterization of the DSSCs was performed using an Oriel Class A solar simulator (Oriel 91195A, Newport). The photocurrent–voltage characteristics of the DSSCs were recorded using a potentiostat/galvanostat (CHI650B, CH Instruments) at a scan rate of 10 mV s−1 using an Oriel Class A solar simulator (Oriel 91195A, Newport). The intensity of each device was adjusted by incident pulse energy to be 40 mV.
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