An investigation of natural convection in parallel square plates with a heated bottom surface by an absorbing boundary condition
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1. Introduction

A subject of natural convection in parallel plates is still an important and attractive topic in both fundamental and applied research. The subject involves problems of multiple open boundaries which demarcate an inside and an outside of a domain and have functions to allow fluids to flow into and out of the domain reasonably and smoothly according to related physical conditions. Therefore, characteristics of the open boundary deeply affect the phenomena of the inside of the domain. From a view point of theoretical analysis, a correct and appropriate treatment of the open boundary becomes a complex and serious topic.

In order to study the problem induced by the open boundary in an incompressible flow problem, a method [1] of addition of an extra region to the original domain is very popularly adopted. A fully developed condition at the edge of the extra region is often accompanied with the usage of the method mentioned above, and approximate results were obtained. Regrettably, in addition to extra analyses due to the addition of the extra region, the method is often used in analyzing an incompressible flow problem. The method has difficulty to solve the existence of the pollution [2] caused by the interference between the pressure wave reflected from the open boundary and flowing fluids when a numerical computation of a compressible flow is conducted. Therefore, a non-reflecting boundary in which the interference mentioned above can be eliminated is held on the open boundary and to solve the problem of the compressible fluid flow. Rudy and Strikwerda [3] proposed a simple non-reflecting boundary and the results were more consistent with realistic situations than those obtained by a method based on a pressure boundary. Poinso and Lele [2] developed an ingenious method of Navier–Stokes characteristics boundary condition (NSCBC) to treat compressible fluids flowing through open boundaries of the domain and clarify the effect of the method on the behaviors of compressible fluids flowing through the open boundaries of the domain. Polifke et al. [4] modified the linear relaxation term of the NSCBC, and remarkable results of plane acoustic waves of different frequencies being not reflected from the boundaries of the domain were achieved. Available situations of the above literature [2] and [4] are obtained under the large Mach number M > 3 of the speed of fluid. Fu and Li [5,6] proposed a modified method of the NSCBC which can be adopted in all speeds of compressible flows. However, sequential methods of the NSCBC are limited in the treatment of the problem of the non-reflecting boundary on the cross section of the open boundary having one normal direction such as the physical model shown in [6]. And these methods have difficulties to solve the domain having neighboring open boundaries which is like parallel square plates shown in Fig. 1 in which planes of abdc, bfhd, fegh and eacg have neighboring open boundaries. Related reasons of the non-reflecting boundary being unavailable for the problem having neighboring open boundaries had been pointed out by Yoo et al. [7] and Lodato et al. [8]. When the direction of the fluid flow was not orthogonal to the non-reflecting boundary, transverse terms were produced on the non-reflecting boundary that caused unstable results induced by accumulation of reflection effects in the corner and edge regions of neighboring non-reflecting boundaries to occur. Finally, the results trended to diverge. Therefore, a
method of an absorbing boundary was proposed to solve the domain of the problem including several neighboring open boundaries. In the method used by adding an absorbing boundary, an artificial buffer zone is necessarily added to the original domain. In the artificial buffer zone, two new terms of artificial convection and damping terms are additionally added to the original governing equations to eliminate the reflection of pressure wave from the edge of the artificial buffer zone.

The basic theory of the absorbing boundary is mainly divided into two parts of the perfectly matched layer (PML) and zonal...
boundary. The PML was originally proposed by Berenger [9] to solve the Maxwell equation and led electromagnetic waves of different frequencies and incident angles to penetrate an absorbing layer without reflection. Also, the PML was broadly applied to the research of computational electromagnetics. In addition to the extension of application of the PML [10,11], Hu [12] also modified the PML for solving the Euler equation, but it was limited in inviscid fluid flow problems. In order to match the demand of the neighboring open boundaries for viscous fluid flow problems, the zonal boundary in which an artificial buffer zone was added to the original domain was then proposed by Ta’asan and Nark [13] and Wasistho et al. [14]. The method of the zonal boundary mainly adopted the artificial convection and damping terms to eliminate the reflection pressure wave and decay the disturbances. Freund [15] integrated the related theories proposed by Ta’asan and Nark [13] and Wasistho et al. [14] and newly proposed an absorbing layer to substitute the PML method mentioned above to solve problems in viscous fluid flow situations. And the absorbing layer is successfully used to solve one dimensional compressible Navier–Stokes equations indicated in the literature [15]. Based upon the theory of [15], Fu and Li [16] modified the absorbing layer for treating a two dimensional open boundary problem and applied it to solve a two dimensional compressible flow problem with the open boundaries. However, when the modified method [16] was used to apply to a three dimensional open boundary problem, it is rather difficult to determine correct directions of the artificial convection and damping terms in the intersection zone such as a rectangular cubic zone shown in Fig. 1 of which the top and bottom surfaces are \( bb_0, b_1b_2 \) and \( d_1d_2d_3d_4 \) respectively. Consequently, the problem of fluids flowing through plates with a three dimensional open boundary, which is one of the most realistic and important problems for industrial applications, is still not clarified yet. A subject of natural convection in parallel square plates is just a problem which is consistent with the problem mentioned above.

Therefore, the study aims to develop a new available method to solve natural convection of parallel square plates with a heated bottom surface numerically. The modified method holds the absorbing boundaries on the open boundaries. In this method the artificial convection and damping terms in the artificial buffer zone are used to avoid reflection phenomena occurring on the open boundaries. Methods of the Roe scheme, preconditioning and dual time stepping are combined and used simultaneously for solving governing equations of the compressible flow induced by a high temperature difference. The results show that the largest magnitude of fluid velocity flowing into parallel squares is observed at the center region of the bottom surface edge, and the largest magnitude of the local Nusselt number is found to be close to the corner region of the bottom surface.

2. Physical model

A physical model of three dimensional parallel square plates mentioned above is indicated in Fig. 1. The three dimensional parallel square plates regarded as an original domain are composed of the top surface of \( abcd \) and bottom surface of \( efga \). Open boundaries of the original domain are \( bfhde - efga \). The length of the square plate is \( l_1 \) and the height between the two squares is \( l_2 \). The temperature of the heated bottom square is \( T_0 \), and the top square is adiabatic. The direction of gravity is the negative y direction. Since the absorbing boundary is used, an additional zone called an artificial buffer zone is necessarily added to the original domain and indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 1. In this physical model, the direction of the normal line of the artificial buffer zone, in which the surface of \( b_1b_2 \) is the top surface and the surface of \( d_1d_2 \) is the bottom surface, directs in the positive x direction. Similarly, the other three artificial buffer zones can be defined, and the three directions of the normal lines of the artificial buffer zones are the negative x direction and the positive and negative z directions, respectively. However, an intersection artificial buffer zone of neighboring artificial buffer zones can be observed at each corner such as a cubic rectangle composed of the top surface of \( bb_0b_1b_2 \) and the bottom surface of \( d_2d_3d_4d_5 \). The intersection artificial buffer zone includes two artificial buffer zones which have different directions of normal lines mentioned above, and the phenomena in the intersection buffer zone are naturally different from those in the artificial buffer zone described earlier. The solution methods used in the true zone are then different. Therefore, the artificial buffer zone should exclude the intersection artificial buffer zone, and the residual zone, in which the surface of \( bb_0b_1b_2 \) is the top surface and the surface of \( d_2d_3d_4d_5 \) is the bottom surface for example, is exclusively called by the artificial buffer zone afterwards. The distance between the boundaries of the original domain and artificial buffer zones is \( l_3 \). The temperature and pressure outside the artificial buffer zone are \( T_0 = 300 \) K and \( P_0 = 1 \) atm, respectively.

For facilitating the analysis, the following assumptions are made:

1. The flow is laminar flow.
2. The work fluid is ideal gas and follows the equation of state of ideal gas.
3. Velocities on the walls satisfy no slip condition.

The governing equations described in the original domain in which the parameters of viscosity and compressibility of the fluid and gravity are considered simultaneously are shown in the following equations.

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = S
\]

\[
P = \rho RT
\]

Contents of \( U,F,G,H \) and \( S \) are separately indicated as follows:

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \\ \rho v \\ \rho w \\ \rho E \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
F = \begin{pmatrix} \rho u \\ \rho u^2 + P - \tau_{xx} \\ \rho uv - \tau_{xy} \\ \rho uw - \tau_{xz} \\ \rho Hu + Pu - k_{xx} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix} \rho v \\ \rho u v - \tau_{yx} \\ \rho vw - \tau_{yz} \\ \rho Hv + Pv - k_{yy} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \tau_{yx}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \tau_{yz}}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix} \rho w \\ \rho wv - \tau_{xz} \\ \rho vw - \tau_{yx} \\ \rho Hw + Pw - k_{zz} \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \tau_{yz}}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix} -(\rho - \rho_0)g \\ 0 \\ -(\rho - \rho_0)gv \end{pmatrix}
\]
The viscosity and thermal conductivity of the fluid are based upon Sutherland’s law and shown as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu(T) &= \mu_0 \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{\frac{8}{7}} \frac{T_0^{1.110}}{T^{1.110}} \\
k(T) &= \frac{\mu(T)}{\gamma-1} \\
E &= \frac{\mu(T)}{\gamma-1} + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2 + w^2)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \mu_0 = 1.1842 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{s}, \ g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2, \ \mu_0 = 1.85 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Ns/m}^2, \ \gamma = 1.4, \ R = 287 \text{ J/kg/K} \text{ and } \text{Pr} = 0.72. \)

As for the governing equations described in the artificial buffer zone [15], the artificial convection and damping terms are newly adopted. The function of the artificial convection term mainly accelerates outward velocities of fluids via the artificial buffer zone to reach a high speed which almost reaches a supersonic speed at the edge of the artificial buffer zone. And the function of the artificial damping term eliminates the disturbances in the artificial buffer zone. Then general artificial buffer zones. The function of the artificial damping term eliminates the disturbances in the artificial buffer zone. Then general forms of the governing equations in the artificial buffer zone can be described as Eq. (5). Since Eq. (5) is exclusively adopted in the artificial buffer zones, the source term induced by gravity does not exist that is different from Eq. (3) in the original domain

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial z} + \mathbf{\bar{\sigma}} = 0
\]

where

\[
\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F} + \eta_x \mathbf{F}, \eta_y = \eta_0 + \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G} + \eta_x \mathbf{G}, \eta_z = \eta_0 + \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H} + \eta_x \mathbf{H}
\]

and

\[
\eta_x = \eta_x \mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix}
\eta_x \rho \\
\eta_x \rho u \\
\eta_x \rho v \\
\eta_x \rho w \\
\eta_x \rho E
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\eta_y = \eta_y \mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix}
\eta_y \rho \\
\eta_y \rho u \\
\eta_y \rho v \\
\eta_y \rho w
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\eta_z = \eta_z \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix}
\eta_z \rho \\
\eta_z \rho u \\
\eta_z \rho v \\
\eta_z \rho w
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\mathbf{\bar{\sigma}} = \bar{\sigma}_x + \eta_y \mathbf{\bar{\sigma}} + \eta_z \mathbf{\bar{\sigma}} = \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_x (\rho - \rho_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_x (\rho u - \rho u_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_x (\rho v - \rho v_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_x (\rho w - \rho w_{\text{target}}) + \sigma_x (e - e_{\text{target}})
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_x (\rho - \rho_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_x (\rho u - \rho u_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_x (\rho v - \rho v_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_x (\rho w - \rho w_{\text{target}}) + \sigma_x (e - e_{\text{target}})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\eta_x = \begin{cases}
\eta_{x0} \left( \frac{w_{\text{max}} - w_{x}}{w_{x}} \right)^{\gamma_x} & 0 \leq y < W_{yl} \\
0 & W_{yl} \leq y < y_{\text{max}} - W_{yr} \\
\eta_{x0} \left( \frac{y - y_{\text{max}} - W_{yr}}{W_{yr}} \right)^{\gamma_x} & y_{\text{max}} - W_{yr} \leq y < y_{\text{max}}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\eta_y = \begin{cases}
\eta_{y0} \left( \frac{w_{\text{max}} - w_{y}}{w_{y}} \right)^{\gamma_y} & 0 \leq z < W_{zl} \\
0 & W_{zl} \leq y < z_{\text{max}} - W_{zyr} \\
\eta_{y0} \left( \frac{y - z_{\text{max}} - W_{zyr}}{W_{zyr}} \right)^{\gamma_y} & z_{\text{max}} - W_{zyr} \leq z < z_{\text{max}}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\eta_z = \begin{cases}
\eta_{z0} \left( \frac{w_{\text{max}} - w_{z}}{w_{z}} \right)^{\gamma_z} & 0 \leq z < W_{zl} \\
0 & W_{zl} \leq y < z_{\text{max}} - W_{zy} \\
\eta_{z0} \left( \frac{y - z_{\text{max}} - W_{zy}}{W_{zy}} \right)^{\gamma_z} & z_{\text{max}} - W_{zy} \leq z < z_{\text{max}}
\end{cases}
\]

\( \eta_{x0}, \eta_{y0} \) and \( \eta_{z0} \) are the target velocities at the edges of the artificial buffer zones. \( \sigma_x, \sigma_y \) and \( \sigma_z \) are the artificial damping functions and shown as follows:

\[
\eta_{x0} = \eta_{x0} \left( \frac{w_{\text{max}} - w_{x}}{w_{x}} \right)^{\gamma_x} \\
\eta_{y0} = \eta_{y0} \left( \frac{w_{\text{max}} - w_{y}}{w_{y}} \right)^{\gamma_y} \\
\eta_{z0} = \eta_{z0} \left( \frac{w_{\text{max}} - w_{z}}{w_{z}} \right)^{\gamma_z}
\]

where \( \Theta \approx 100 \text{M}^2 \) and \( c \) is the speed of the sound.

From the previous study [16], the appropriate values of \( c_x, c_T, c_h, c_p, c_y, c_z \) are \( c_x = c_T = c_h = 1.15, \ c_p = c_y = c_z = 0.05, \) and \( \beta = 3 \), respectively.
Thus the magnitudes of the artificial convection terms will be equal to zero at the interface of the original domain and artificial buffer zones and equal to the modified acoustic wave speed at the edges of the artificial buffer zones. And the disturbances of the flowing fluids are gradually reduced to zero accompanied with the location close to the edge of the artificial buffer zone.

3. Numerical method

In natural convection, the speed of the compressible fluid flow is much slower than that of the acoustic wave. The Roe Scheme [18] coordinating the preconditioning method are then adopted to resolve the governing equations shown in Eq. (1) which can be derived as the following equation and shown in Eq. (20)

$$\Gamma \frac{\partial U_p}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial U_p}{\partial x} \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = S$$

where $\Gamma$ is the preconditioning matrix proposed by Weiss and Smith [19] and $U_p$ is the primitive form of $[P, u, v, w, T]^T$.

The method of dual time stepping is adopted to calculate the transient state of the physical model. The derived equation is shown in Eq. (21)

$$\Gamma \frac{\partial U_p}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial U_p}{\partial t} \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = S$$

where $\tau$ is an artificial time, $t$ is a physical time and $U_p$ is the primitive form of $[\rho, \rho u, \rho v, \rho w, \rho T]^T$.

When the discretization of Eq. (21) is executed, terms of $\frac{\partial U_p}{\partial \tau}$ and $\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}$ are differentiated by a first-order forward difference and a second-order backward difference, respectively, and terms of $\frac{\partial U_p}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial C}{\partial y}$ are differentiated by a central difference, the following equation can be obtained

$$\frac{U^{k+1} - U^k}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{3U^{n+1} - 4U^n + U^{n-1}}{2\Delta \tau} \left( \frac{F^{k+1}_{j+1/2} - F^{k+1}_{j-1/2}}{2\Delta \tau} \right) + \frac{1}{\Delta y} \left( G^{k+1}_{j+1/2} - G^{k+1}_{j-1/2} \right) + \frac{1}{\Delta z} \left( H^{k+1}_{j+1/2} - H^{k+1}_{j-1/2} \right) = S$$

The terms of $U^{k+1}$ and $F^{k+1}$ in Eq. (22) are necessary to be linearized and expressed as follows:

$$U^{k+1} = U^k + M \Delta U_p$$

in which $M = \frac{\partial U_p}{\partial \tau}$ and $A_p = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}$

$$F^{k+1} = F^k + A_p \Delta U_p$$

Where $A_p = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}$ is the flux jacobian and the same methods for $B_p = \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}$ and $C_p = \frac{\partial C}{\partial y}$ are used in linearization of $G^{k+1}$ and $H^{k+1}$, respectively.

Eqs. (23) and (24) are substituted into Eq. (22), the following equation is derived

$$\left( \frac{\rho u}{\Delta \tau} + \Gamma^{-1} M_3 + \Gamma^{-1} \left( \delta \phi^k u_k + \delta \phi^k v_k + \delta \phi^k w_k \right) \right) \Delta U_p = \Gamma^{-1} R^k$$

where $\delta \phi^k$, $\delta \phi^k u_k$, and $\delta \phi^k v_k$ are central-difference operators and $R^k = S - \frac{3U^{n+1} - 4U^n + U^{n-1}}{2\Delta \tau} - (\delta \phi^k u_k + \delta \phi^k v_k + \delta \phi^k w_k)$.

The solver of Eq. (26) is the LUSGS implicit method proposed by Yoon and Jameson [20]

$$A_p = \Gamma^{-1} A_p$$
$$B_p = \Gamma^{-1} B_p$$
$$C_p = \Gamma^{-1} C_p$$

Eq. (25) can be rearranged as follows:

$$(L + D + U)\Delta U_p = \Gamma^{-1} R^k$$

where

$$L = \left[ \frac{(\phi^k_j)_i}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{(\phi^k_j)_i}{\Delta \tau} \right]$$
$$D = \left[ \frac{(\phi^k_j)_i}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{(\phi^k_j)_i}{\Delta \tau} \right]$$
$$U = \left[ \frac{(\phi^k_j)_i}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{(\phi^k_j)_i}{\Delta \tau} \right]$$

As for the computation of $R^k = S - \frac{3U^{n+1} - 4U^n + U^{n-1}}{2\Delta \tau} - (\delta \phi^k u_k + \delta \phi^k v_k + \delta \phi^k w_k)$ in the right hand side of Eq. (27), the terms in $F$ shown in Eq. (3) can be divided into two parts. One is an inviscid term $F_{inviscid}$ and the other is a viscous term $F_{viscous}$

$$F_{inviscid} = \begin{pmatrix}
\rho u \\
\rho u^2 + P \\
\rho u v \\
\rho u w \\
\rho u E + P
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$F_{viscous} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
-\tau_{xx} \\
-\tau_{yy} \\
-\tau_{zz} \\
-k \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \tau_{xx} - \tau_{xy} - \tau_{xz}
\end{pmatrix}$$

The methods of Roe scheme and preconditioning are utilized to calculate the magnitude of $F_{inviscid}$ at the location of $(i + \frac{1}{2})$ between the cells for a low Mach number condition

$$F_{inviscid} = \frac{1}{2} \left( F_k + F_j \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \Gamma^{-1} A_p |\Delta U_p| \right)$$

The MUSCL scheme with a third order proposed by Abalakin et al. [21] is used to compute Eq. (31). A forth order central difference is adopted to calculate the $F_{viscous}$ and Eq. (32) can be obtained
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{u_{i+2} - 8u_{i+1} + 8u_{i-1} - u_{i-2}}{12\Delta x} + o(\Delta x^4)
\] (32)

In order to explain the calculation in the artificial buffer zones and the intersection artificial buffer zones mentioned above, a one-dimensional artificial buffer zone shown in Fig. 2 is used to describe the treatment of absorbing boundary conditions. The zones of 1 and 3 are the artificial buffer zones, and the zone of 2 is the original domain \( w \) indicates the length of the artificial buffer zone, \( l \) and \( r \) mean the left and right sides, respectively. \( \phi_0 \) and \( \phi_{\max} \) represent the start and end locations of the total domain including the zones of 1, 2 and 3. In order to avoid the reflection of acoustic waves at \( \phi_0 \) and \( \phi_{\max} \) rebounding into the zone of 2, the artificial convection term accelerates the velocities of fluids, which are in the zones of 1 and 3 and flow out of the original domain, to be a high speed and greater than the sound speed at edges \( \phi_0 \) and \( \phi_{\max} \). The artificial damping term directly multiplies the disturbances of fluid velocities with an appropriate damping function to cause the disturbances to be zero within the artificial buffer zone.

Since phenomena in the artificial buffer zone and the intersection of artificial buffer zones mentioned above are rather different that leads the contents of the artificial convection and damping terms in the governing equations Eq. (4) to be different. Therefore, the governing equations of the artificial buffer zone which is orthogonal to the \( x \) direction can be expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\bar{F} &= F + \bar{\eta}_x, \bar{\eta}_y = \\
\bar{G} &= G + \bar{\eta}_x, \bar{\eta}_z = 0 \\
\bar{H} &= H + \bar{\eta}_y, \bar{\eta}_z = 0
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}_x = \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_x(\rho - \rho_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_x(\rho u - \rho u_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_x(\rho v - \rho v_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_x(\rho w - \rho w_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_x(e - e_{\text{target}})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Governing equations of the artificial buffer zone which is orthogonal to the \( z \) direction can be expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\bar{F} &= F + \bar{\eta}_x, \bar{\eta}_y = 0 \\
\bar{G} &= G + \bar{\eta}_x, \bar{\eta}_z = 0 \\
\bar{H} &= H + \bar{\eta}_y, \bar{\eta}_z = 0
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}_z = \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_z(\rho - \rho_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_z(\rho u - \rho u_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_z(\rho v - \rho v_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_z(\rho w - \rho w_{\text{target}}) \\
\sigma_z(e - e_{\text{target}})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The direction of the fluid flow of the artificial buffer zone should be outward relative to the original domain, and then in the zone of 1 (Fig. 2) a backward finite difference form is adopted to derive the differential form. It can be expressed as Eq. (39). Similarly, in the zone of 3 (Fig. 2) a forward finite difference form is adopted and it can be expressed as Eq. (40).

For zone of 1

\[
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{\bar{\eta}_x} &= \eta_0 \frac{\rho_{\bar{\eta}_x} - \rho_{\bar{\eta}_x+1}}{\Delta \bar{\eta}_x} \\
\eta_{\bar{\eta}_y} &= \eta_0 \frac{\rho_{\bar{\eta}_y} - \rho_{\bar{\eta}_y+1}}{\Delta \bar{\eta}_y} \\
\eta_{\bar{\eta}_z} &= \eta_0 \frac{\rho_{\bar{\eta}_z} - \rho_{\bar{\eta}_z+1}}{\Delta \bar{\eta}_z}
\end{aligned}
\]

For zone of 3

\[
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{\bar{\eta}_x} &= \eta_0 \frac{\rho_{\bar{\eta}_x} - \rho_{\bar{\eta}_x-1}}{\Delta \bar{\eta}_x} \\
\eta_{\bar{\eta}_y} &= \eta_0 \frac{\rho_{\bar{\eta}_y} - \rho_{\bar{\eta}_y-1}}{\Delta \bar{\eta}_y} \\
\eta_{\bar{\eta}_z} &= \eta_0 \frac{\rho_{\bar{\eta}_z} - \rho_{\bar{\eta}_z-1}}{\Delta \bar{\eta}_z}
\end{aligned}
\]
Eqs. (39) and (40) are adopted for the artificial buffer zones of the directions of \(x\) and \(z\), respectively. For the intersection artificial buffer zone, the finite difference derived in the \(x\) direction and the finite difference derived in the \(z\) direction should be considered simultaneously.

In order to solve the results of the original domain and artificial buffer zone, Eqs. (1) and (5) are combined and the integration of the governing equations can be indicated as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \vec{F}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \vec{G}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial z} + \vec{s} + S = 0
\]  
(41)

For the calculation of the original domain, the artificial convection terms and damping terms are equal to zero. Oppositely, for the calculation of the domain of the artificial buffer zone, the source term is equal to zero. Thus a calculation procedure is briefly described as follows:

1. Assign the initial conditions of pressure, velocity and temperature of the artificial buffer zone, intersection artificial buffer zone and original domain. The temperature of the heated bottom plate is \(T_H = 700\) K.

2. Use the MUSCL method to calculate Eq. (25) and to obtain the magnitude of \(\Delta U_p\).

Fig. 4. Distributions of velocity vectors and thermal fields of different cross-sections under \(Ra' = 1.55 \times 10^6\).
4. Results and discussion

The height of parallel square plates is usually regarded as a characteristic length when the Rayleigh number is defined and expressed as follows:

\[
Ra = Pr \frac{\beta \rho g (T_H - T_0) l_1^3}{\nu \alpha T_0 l_2} \]

(43)

However, the area of the heated bottom wall affects heat transfer phenomena remarkably. In order to highlight the influence of the area of the heated wall, the modified Rayleigh number \(Ra^*\) is newly defined in the following equation:

\[
Ra^* = Ra \times \frac{l_1}{l_2} \]

(44)

Besides, the local Nusselt number \(Nu\), the area averaged Nusselt number \(Nu\) and the average Nusselt number \(\overbar{Nu}\) are defined as follows, respectively

\[
Nu = \frac{l_2}{k_0(T_H - T_0)} \left[ k(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right] \]

(45)

\[
\overbar{Nu}_A = \frac{1}{A} \int_A \frac{l_2}{k_0(T_H - T_0)} \left[ k(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right] dA
\]

(46)

\[
\overbar{Nu} = \frac{1}{A - 1} \int_A \int_{l_1} \frac{l_2}{k_0(T_H - T_0)} \left[ k(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right] dtdA
\]

(47)

In this study, three different magnitudes of \(Ra^*\)s are considered, and there are \(Ra^* = 1.72 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{l_1}{l_2} = \frac{2}{14} \right)\), \(Ra^* = 1.55 \times 10^6 \left( \frac{l_1}{l_2} = \frac{3}{14} \right)\) and \(Ra^* = 4.31 \times 10^6 \left( \frac{l_1}{l_2} = \frac{7}{14} \right)\).

In Fig. 3, in order to take care of both accuracy of the width of the artificial buffer zones and computational efficiency, the local Nusselt numbers distributed on the line \(\bar{y}\) of the heated bottom surface with three different ratios of \(l_1/l_2 = 2/14, l_1/l_2 = 3/14\) and \(l_1/l_2 = 4/14\) are indicated, respectively. The computational grids of the original domain are \(70 \times 40 \times 70\), and the computational grids distributed in the artificial buffer zone are \(10, 15\) and \(20\), respectively. The total computational grids are \(90 \times 40 \times 90, 100 \times 40 \times 100\) and \(110 \times 40 \times 110\). According to the results, the local Nusselt numbers of \(l_1/l_2 = 3/14\) and \(l_1/l_2 = 4/14\) cases are more consistent than those of \(l_1/l_2 = 2/14\) case. Then the width ratio of \(l_1/l_2 = 4/14\) is chosen to calculate the following results.

In Fig. 4a, velocity vectors distributed on the edge of the cross section of \(ijnm\) are indicated. The longer the velocity vector is, the quicker the velocity is. The bottom surface is heated, then cool fluids are sucked from their surroundings and flow between the parallel square plates. As a result, the variation of the velocities of the cooling fluids flowing into the plates at the edge of \(\bar{m}\) is from quick to slow accompanied with a distance increasing from the bottom surface. At a certain location away from the bottom surface, the velocities of the cooling fluids become zero. Beyond the location shown in the figure, the velocities of the cool fluids turn and are gradually accelerated to flow out of the plates. Near the bottom surface, the velocities of the cool fluids are affected by the buoyancy force, and the velocities of the cool fluids move in a slightly upward direction.

The cool fluids flow out of the plates along the top surface after they impinge on the top surface, and then the direction of the velocities of the cool fluids is almost parallel to the top surface.
In Fig. 4b, velocity vectors distributed on the edge of the diagonal plane of gdbe are shown. Since the bottom surface is the square plate, the amount of fluid flows into the plates mainly via edges of cd, dh, hg and gc, and the velocity distribution of the amount of fluid on the four edges mentioned above are the same and symmetric. Velocity vectors distributed on gc of the diagonal plane are then extruded by those distributed on both sides of eacg and efhg. Consequently, velocity vectors are smaller than those shown in Fig. 4a.

In Fig. 4c, a thermal field which is the left half side of the cross section of ijnm is indicated. The darker the color is, the lower the temperature is. The cooling fluids are gradually heated by the bottom surface from the edge to the center. Then a higher temperature region is concentrated in a central region of the plates. A big dark region beside the central region is observed. The phenomenon means that some cool fluids in the dark region flowing into and out of the plates are just induced by the ascending heated fluids in the central region and not directly heated by the bottom surface.

A thermal field on the left half side of the diagonal cross section is indicated in Fig. 4d. In the central region, the temperatures of the cool fluids heated by the bottom surface increase and lead the cool fluids to ascend to the top surface. This phenomenon naturally causes the thermal field shown in Fig. 4d to be similar to that shown in Fig. 4c.

In Fig. 5, a distribution of streamlines in the parallel square plates is indicated. Based on the diagonal cross section, the streamlines distribute symmetrically. The phenomenon is consistent with natural convection mode.

In Fig. 6, distributions of local Nusselt numbers along ij under different modified Rayleigh numbers are shown. Naturally, the larger the Rayleigh number is, the more remarkable heat transfer rate can be achieved. The cool fluids from the outside flow between the plates which leads the magnitudes of the local Nusselt numbers to be decreased from the edge to center of the bottom surface.

In Fig. 7a, a dimensionless parameter L means lengths of ij and gd to be normalized by the length of gd. The temperatures of the fluids in the central region are higher than those in the other regions which causes the local Nusselt numbers in the central region to be lower than those in the other regions, and the results are displayed in Fig. 7a. Shown in Fig. 7b, local Nusselt numbers distributed on the heated bottom surface are indicated. The darker the color is, the smaller the local Nusselt number is. Heated distances from the center (L = 0.5) to the locations of i and j are shorter than those from the center to the location of g and d. Consequently, the shape formed by the same local Nusselt numbers is similar to a concave quadrangle.

![Fig. 7. Distributions of (a) local Nusselt numbers along ij and gd (b) contour of local Nusselt numbers on the heated bottom surface for Ra* = 4.31 × 10^6.](image)

![Fig. 8. Distributions of area averaged Nusselt numbers with time under different modified Rayleigh numbers.](image)

![Fig. 9. Comparison of present results and results of Turgut and Onur [22].](image)
In Fig. 8, variations of area averaged Nusselt numbers obtained by three different Rayleigh numbers with time are shown, respectively. Naturally, the larger the Rayleigh number is, the larger the area averaged Nusselt number can be achieved. Except for an early stage of development, the variations of the local Nusselt numbers reveal a steady phenomenon.

Shown in Fig. 9, the results of this work compared with the experimental results of Turgut and Onur [22] are indicated. Main ranges studied by both works have a slight deviation, and in the overlap range both results have good consistency. The maximum error of $\frac{Nu}{Ra} = 1.72 \times 10^5$ between the present results and existing experimental results is 2.72%.

5. Conclusions

A study of natural convection of fluids moving between parallel squares plates is investigated numerically. Numerical methods of the Roe scheme, preconditioning and dual time stepping are adopted for solving governing equations of a low speed compressible flow. Some conclusions are drawn as follows:

1. The model is parallel square plates, phenomena occurring in the domain are mainly symmetrical. The cool fluids are sucked from their surroundings and heated by the bottom surface and ascend and impinge on the top surface.
2. In order to guarantee the accuracy and economize the computational time, the ratio of the length of the artificial buffer zone to the length of the domain should be validated, in this work the ratio is equal to 4/14.
3. The numerical results of this study have good consistency with the experimental results of the previous study. The method is suitable for solving other three dimensional problems.
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