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ABSTRACT: We have developed a new heptacyclic benzodi-(cyclopentadithiophene) (BDCPDT) unit, where 3,7-positions of the central benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b′]dithiophenes (BDT) subunit are covalently rigidified with 3-positions of the two external thiophenes by two carbon bridges, forming two external CPDT rings that share two thiophene rings with the central BDT core. The distannyl-BDCPDT building block was copolymerized with 1,3-dibromo-thieno[3,4-c]pyrrole-4,6-dione (TPD) by Stille polymerization to afford a new alternating donor–acceptor copolymer PBDCPDT-TPD. The implementation of forced planarization greatly suppresses the interannular twisting to extend the effective conjugated length and preserve the interactions between the donor and acceptor segments. The device using the PBDCPDT-TPD/PC71BM (1:3 in wt%) blend processed with dimethyl sulfoxide as an additive delivered a marked PCE of 6.6% which represents a significant enhancement compared to the device using the corresponding nonfused polymer analogue with a PCE of 0.2%.
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INTRODUCTION

Considerable progress has been made on the development of organic materials for photovoltaic applications in recent years. Bulk heterojunction (BHJ) using an n-type and a p-type semiconductor materials in the active layer is the most widely adopted device architecture to ensure maximum internal donor–acceptor (D–A) interfacial area for efficient charge separation. To achieve high efficiency of PSCs, the most critical challenge at the molecular level is to develop a p-type conjugated polymer that can simultaneously possess sufficient solubility for processability and miscibility with an n-type material low band gap (LBG) for strong and broad absorption spectrum to capture more solar photons and high hole mobility for efficient charge transport. **4H-Cyclopenta[2,1-b:3,4-b′]-dithiophene (CPDT)** has attracted considerable research interest because it can act as an excellent building block to construct LBG polymers. Poly(cyclopentadithiophene-alt-4,7-(2,1,3-benzothiadiazole)] (PCPDBTBT) represents one of the early successful LBG polymers for the use in PSCs. The abilities to lower HOMO–LUMO energy band gap and enhance charge mobility are the advantageous features of the coplanar CPDT moieties. The electron-rich benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b′]dithiophene (BDT) derivatives, a central benzene ring fused with two thiophenes, are an attractive building block due to its symmetric, rigid, and coplanar structure. These structural characteristics are crucial to induce strong intramolecular π-π interaction for efficient charge transport. Conjugated polymers incorporating benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b′]dithiophenes (BDT) derivatives have accomplished high-performance polymer solar cells. On the other hand, thieno[3,4-c]pyrrole-4,6-dione (TPD) emerges as an unique acceptor because of its compact thiophene-based structure with a moderate electron-withdrawing ability. In 2010, Leduc et al. first reported the synthesis of a poly[benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b′]dithiophenes-alt-thieno-[3,4-c]pyrrole 4,6-dione] PBDT-TPD copolymer. The solar cell with this polymer reached a high power conversion efficiency of 5.5% (Scheme 1). Meanwhile, Jen et al. and Fréchet et al. also independently reported the PBDT-TPD derivatives with similar device results. In an attempt to further optimize light-harvesting and charge transporting abilities, two additional 3-otylthiophene units were introduced to the two sides of the BDT cores in the backbone of PBDT-TPD. Unfortunately, the resulting polymer, poly(dithienyl BDT-alt-TPD) (PDTBDT-TPD), only yielded an unexpectedly low PCE of 0.2% (Scheme 1). The dramatic decay of the performance might be attributed to the steric hindrance that severely causes the interannular twisting along the conjugated backbone of PDTBDT-TPD. A straightforward strategy to
circumvent this structural deficiency is forced planarization by covalently fastening adjacent aromatic units in the polymer backbone, which strengthens the parallel p-orbital interactions to elongate effective conjugation length and facilitate intrinsic charge mobility.\textsuperscript{12}

In this research, we have designed a new heptacyclic benzodi(cyclopentadithiophene) (BDCPDT) unit, where 3,7-positions of the central BDT subunit are covalently bridged with 3-positons of the two outer thiophenes by two carbon atoms. The ladder-type BDCPDT structure contains two external CPDT rings that share the two thiophene rings with the central BDT core. The BDCPDT unit was copolymerized with TPD unit to create a new alternating copolymer PBDCPDT-TPD (Scheme 1). In fact, PDTBDT-TPD and PBDCPDT-TPD have similar structural composition and arrangement of the conjugated backbones. The major difference is that the BDCPDT unit in PBDCPDT-TPD is covalently planarized, whereas the dithienyl-BDT segment in PDTBDT-TPD (Scheme 1) is a nonfused open-chain counterpart. This structural variation provides a good model to investigate the planarization/rigidity effect. It is anticipated that this new CPDT/BDT hybridized structure may inherit the intrinsic advantages from the CPDT and BDT moieties leading to a more planar and expanded conjugated structure. Furthermore, four aliphatic side chains at the two bridging carbons can readily provide sufficient solubility. As such, introducing aliphatic side chains at 4, 8 positions of the BDT core of BDCPDT is not necessary and can be thus omitted for better synthetic economy.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Synthesis and Characterization.** The synthetic route of BDCPDT is depicted in Scheme 2. Stille coupling of 2,6-trimethylstannyl BDT (1)\textsuperscript{13} with ethyl 2-bromothiophene-3-carboxylate (2) yielded compound 3. Double nucleophilic addition of the ester groups in 3 by (4-octyloxy)phenyl magnesium bromide led to the formation of benzylic alcohols in 4 which was subjected to intramolecular Friedel−Crafts cyclization under acidic condition to successfully furnish the heptacyclic arene BDCPDT. It should be noted that conventional preparation of the tricyclic CPDT unit generally requires tedious multistep synthesis.\textsuperscript{14} Due to the ring strain, the formation of CPDT derivatives by using Friedel−Crafts cyclization is also not successful with low chemical yield.\textsuperscript{15} Encouragingly, in our system, we are able to construct two CPDT rings in BDCPDT with an excellent yield of 88%. To preserve aromaticity of the central benzene ring, 3,7-positions...
of the benzodithiophene core intrinsically have stronger nucleophilicity, thereby facilitating intramolecular electrophilic aromatic substitution. Afterward, the BDCPD unit can be lithiated by n-butyllithium followed by quenching with trimethyltin chloride to afford distannyl Sn-BDCPD in a moderate yield of 62%. Sn-BDCPD monomer was then polymerized with 1,3-dibromo-thieno[3,4-c]pyrrole-4,6-dione acceptor by Stille coupling to afford a highly soluble PBDCPD-TPD (Mn = 24.5 kDa, PDI = 3.06) (Scheme 2, Table 1).

Thermal Properties. The thermal stability of the polymers was analyzed by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). It should be noted that the fused PBDCPD-TPD showed much higher decomposition temperatures (Td) of 410 °C (Figure 1) than the nonfused PDTBDT-TPD with Td of 330 °C. 10 It has been proposed that dialkoxy groups at the 4,8-positions of BDT units are the relatively weak sites to undergo thermal decomposition.16 Consistently, the absence of 4,8-substituents on the BDT core in our molecular design indeed improves the thermal stability of PBDCPD-TPD. Thermal properties of the polymers were determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). PBDCPD-TPD showed neither glass transition temperatures (Tg) nor melting point, suggesting that these polymers tend to form amorphous glasses.

Optical and Electrochemical Properties. In contrast to PBDTTPD’s absorption spectrum showing a λmax at 614 nm with vibronic structures, PDTBDT-TPD having two more thiophene rings in the repeating unit exhibited a single broad band with an unexpected blue-shifted λmax at 517 nm.10 The ca. 100 nm hypsochromic shift of the λmax implies that the alkylthiophene rings cause steric hindrance to the central BDT cores, giving rise to large twisting dihedral angles around the interannular single bonds. Such disorder twisting conformations will in turn weaken the orbital interactions between the electron-rich segments and electron-deficient TPD units. However, with implementation of the forced planarization, PBDCPD-TPD does display much red-shifted λmax at 634 nm in toluene solution (Table 1). Moreover, a vibronic shoulder in its absorption spectrum reflecting rigid and coplanar characteristics of BDCPD was observed again (Figure 2). Although the profiles are essentially unchanged, the absorption spectrum of PBDCPD-TPD shifts toward longer wavelengths from the solution state to the solid state, indicating that the planar structure of BDCPD is capable of inducing strong interchain π−π* interactions.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was employed to examine the electrochemical properties (Figure 3). PBDCPD-TPD showed stable and reversible p-doping/n-doping process in the cathodic and anodic scans, and a deep-lying HOMO energy level of −5.36 eV was estimated, which is at the ideal range to
ensure better air stability and greater attainable $V_{oc}$ in the device. The LUMO energy level was determined to be $-3.2$ eV.

**Photovoltaic and OFET Characteristics.** Bulk heterojunction photovoltaic cells were fabricated on the basis of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDCPDT-TPD:PC$_71$BM/Ca/Al configuration, and their performances were measured under a simulated AM 1.5 G illumination of 100 mW/cm$^2$.

The device using PBDCPDT-TPD copolymers are shown in Figure 4. The device A using PBDCPDT-TPD showed a PCE of 51.7% with a $V_{oc}$ of 0.85 V, a $J_{sc}$ of 11.95 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and a FF of 60.4%. In sharp contrast, the device incorporating nonfused PDTBDT-TPD of 51.7%.

First, the boiling point of DMSO is higher than that of ODCB. Second, PBDCPDT-TPD counterpart only achieved a low PCE of 0.2% with a $V_{oc}$ of 0.66 V, a $J_{sc}$ of 1.2 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and a FF of 26%. Clearly, twisting of the conjugated backbone of PDTBDT-TPD severely affects the charge transporting properties.

Nanoscale morphology of the active layer can be controlled and optimized by judicial choice of the processing additives.17 We envision that polydimethylsiloxide (DMSO) can serve as a good alternative for a binary polymer:PC$_71$BM blending system.18 First, the boiling point of DMSO is higher than that of the host solvent of ortho-dichlorobenzene (ODCB). Second, DMSO is a good solvent for the PC$_71$BM component but a relatively poor solvent for the PBDCPDT-TPD polymer. Therefore, the presence of a small amount of DMSO may induce a closer intermolecular packing of PBDCPDT-TPD. Encouragingly, by adding 5 vol % DMSO into ODCB as the host solvent of PBDCPDT-TPD, the SCLC hole mobility of the additive-processed device reached $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, which is more than 1 order of magnitude higher than that of the nonadditive device ($4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$). In addition, a slightly higher FET hole mobility ($6.8 \times 10^{-2}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) was also observed in the additive-processed device as compared with that of the nonadditive device ($5.6 \times 10^{-2}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) (Figure 6).

Furthermore, by changing the hole-extraction layer from PEDOT:PSS to a layer of MoO$_3$ in device C, all the parameters were further improved, leading to a highest efficiency of 6.6% with a $V_{oc}$ of 0.87 V, a $J_{sc}$ of 12.21 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and a FF of 62.2%. It has been known that the work function of MoO$_3$ is located at $-5.4$ eV. The enhancement may be ascribed to the close energy alignment between the work function of MoO$_3$ and the HOMO energy level of PBDCPDT-TPD, thereby facilitating charge transporting at the interface.

CONCLUSIONS

We have developed a new ladder-type BDCPDT unit integrating the well-known CPDT and BDT subunits into a coplanar conjugated entity. By taking advantage of the stronger nucleophilicity at the 3,7-positions of the BDT unit, BDCPDT can be successfully synthesized with a high yield through a Friedel–Crafts cyclization. Due to the coplanar, symmetrical, and elongated conjugated structure of BDCPDT, strong donor–acceptor interactions along the conjugated backbone can be preserved, leading to more red-shifted and broader absorption spectrum and better charge mobility. Under the optimal device conditions using DMSO as the additive and MoO$_3$ as the hole-selective layer, the device using PBDCPDT-

---

**Table 2. Photovoltaic Performances of the Devices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>copolymers</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDTBDT-TPD$^a$</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBDCPDT-TPD$^b$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBDCPDT-TPD$^c$</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBDCPDT-TPD$^d$</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

$^a$Data reported from ref 10. $^b$Device A: ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDCPDT-TPD:PC$_71$BM (1:3 in wt %)/Ca/Al. $^c$Device B: ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDCPDT-TPD:PC$_71$BM (1:3 in wt %) with DMSO/Ca/Al. $^d$Device C: ITO/MoO$_3$/PBDCPDT-TPD:PC$_71$BM (1:3 in wt %) with DMSO/Ca/Al.

---

**Figure 4.** Current density–voltage characteristics (left) and IPCE spectra (right) of the devices. Device A: ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDCPDT-TPD:PC$_71$BM (1:3 in wt %)/Ca/Al; device B: ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDCPDT-TPD:PC$_71$BM (1:3 in wt %) with DMSO/Ca/Al; device C: ITO/MoO$_3$/PBDCPDT-TPD:PC$_71$BM (1:3 in wt %) with DMSO/Ca/Al.
PEDOT:PSS (Clevios 4083 provided by H. C. Stark) or a 10 nm isopropyl alcohol. Then, the substrates were covered by either a 30 nm PDTBDT-TPD outperforms the device using the corresponding nonfused equation HOMO = −\( \varepsilon \) (Eox onset − Ered onset) − 4.8 eV. Surface topography was investigated using Veeco Nanoscope 3100 AFM and standard tips (type Tap 300; L, 135 nm; FREQ, 300 MHz; k, 40 N/m).

**Solar Cell Device Fabrication.** ITO/Glass substrates were ultrasonically cleaned sequentially in detergent, water, acetone, and isopropyl alcohol. Then, the substrates were covered by either a 30 nm PEDOT:PSS (Clevios 4083 provided by H. C. Stark) or a 10 nm thermally evaporated MoO3 as the hole-extraction layer. After annealing in air at 150 °C during 30 min, the samples were cooled down to rt. The active layer (90 nm thick) consisting of the blend of PBDCPDT-TPD and PC71BM (Nano C) with a weight ratio of 1:3 (with or without 5 vol % DMSO) was then spin coated from ortho-dichlorobenzene (ODCB) solvent. After annealing the active layer at 150 °C for 10 min, the cathode made of calcium (25 nm thick) and aluminum (100 nm thick) was evaporated through a shadow mask under high vacuum (<10−6 Torr). Each device is constituted of four pixels defined by an active area of 0.04 cm². The current density–voltage (\( J−V \)) characteristics were measured under simulated air mass 1.5 global (AM 1.5G) irradiation of 100 mW cm⁻².

**Hole-Only Devices.** In order to investigate the respective hole mobility of the different copolymer films, unipolar devices have been prepared following the same procedure except that the active layer is made of pure PBDCPDT-TPD and the Ca/Al cathode is replaced by evaporated gold (40 nm). The hole mobilities were calculated according to space charge limited current theory (SCLC). The \( J−V \) curves were fitted according to the following equation:

\[
J = \frac{9}{8} \varepsilon_0 \frac{V^2}{L^2}
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) is the dielectric permittivity of the PBDCPDT-TPD, \( \mu \) is the hole mobility, and \( L \) is the film thickness (distance between the two electrodes).

**FET Device Fabrication.** An n-type heavily doped Si wafer with a SiO2 layer of 300 nm and a capacitance of 11 nF cm⁻² was used as the gate electrode and dielectric layer. Thin films (40–60 nm in thickness) of PBDCPDT-TPD were deposited on octadecanethiolosilane (OTS)-treated SiO2/Si substrates by spin-coating their o-dichlorobenzene solutions (6 mg mL⁻¹). Then, the thin films were annealed at 150 °C for 10 min. Gold source and drain contacts (60 nm thick) were deposited by vacuum evaporation on the active layer through a shadow mask, affording a bottom-gate, top-contact device configuration. Electrical measurements of OTFT devices were carried out at room temperature in air using a 4156C (Agilent Technologies). The field-effect mobility was calculated in the saturation regime by using the equation \( \mu_{FE} = (\mu_{WC}/2L)(V_{GS} − V_T)^2 \), where \( L \) is the drain-source current, \( \mu \) is the field-effect mobility, \( W \) is the channel width (3500 μm), \( L \) is the channel length (60 μm), \( C \) is the capacitance per unit area of the gate dielectric layer, and \( V_C \) is the gate voltage.

**Synthesis of Compound 3.** To a 100 mL round-bottom flask was introduced 1 (2.3 g, 3.88 mmol), ethyl 2-bromothiophene-3-carboxylate 2 (2.81 g, 9.31 mmol), Pd(PPh3)4 (0.22 g, 0.19 mmol), and degassed

---

**Table 3. SCLC and FET Hole Mobilities of the Device Processed with and without DMSO Additive**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>( \mu_h ) (cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no additive, SCLC</td>
<td>4.0 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with additive, SCLC</td>
<td>2.5 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no additive, FET</td>
<td>5.6 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with additive, FET</td>
<td>6.8 × 10⁻²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TPD:PC71BM (1:3 in wt %) has delivered a marked PCE of 6.6%, which is one of the best performances among the BDT-based or TPD-based copolymers. This efficiency dramatically outperforms the device using the corresponding nonfused PDBTBDT-TPD as the donor material.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

All chemicals are purchased from Aldrich or Acros and used as received unless otherwise specified. \(^1\)H and \(^13\)C NMR spectra were measured using a Varian 300 MHz instrument spectrometer. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was measured on a TA Q200 Instrument, and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Pyris under nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Absorption spectra were collected on a HP8453 UV–vis spectrophotometer. The molecular weights of polymers were measured by the GPC method on a Viscotek VE2001GPC, and polystyrene was used as the standard (THF as the eluent). The electrochemical cyclic voltammetry (CV) was conducted on a Autolab ADC 164. A glassy carbon electrode coated with a thin polymer film was used as the working electrode and standard calomel electrode as the reference electrode, while 0.1 M (type Tap 300; FREQ, 300 MHz; k, 40 N/m).
toluene (40 mL). The mixture was heated to reflux under nitrogen for 16 h. After removal of toluene under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate, v/v, 10/1) and then recrystallized from THF to give an orange solid 3 (1.34 g, 69%). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz, ppm): δ 1.31 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 4.33 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.29 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.55 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.75 (s, 2 H), 8.21 (s, 2 H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 75 MHz, ppm): δ 14.4, 61.1, 116.9, 125.1, 129.4, 130.9, 135.1, 138.1, 138.3, 142.7, 158.0, 163.2. MS (EI, C24H18O4S4): calcd, 498.66; found, 498.

Synthesis of Compound 4. A Grignard reagent was prepared by the following procedure. To a suspension of magnesium turnings (0.36 g, 15.0 mmol) and 3−4 drops of 1,2-dibromoethane in dry THF (10 mL) was slowly added 1-bromo-4-(octyloxy)benzene (4.02 g, 15.0 mmol) dropwise, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. To a solution of 3 (0.5 g, 1 mmol) in dry THF (40 mL) under nitrogen was added 4-(octyloxy)benzene 1-magnesium bromide (5 mL, 5 mmol) dropwise at room temperature. The resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 16 h. The reaction solution was extracted with diethyl ether (50 mL × 3) and ammonium chloride solution (150 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO4. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate, v/v, 10/1) to give a brown oil 4 (1.15 g, 93%). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz, ppm): δ 0.89 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 12 H), 1.28−1.46 (m, 40 H), 1.71−1.76 (m, 8 H), 3.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 8 H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 8 H), 7.07 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 8 H), 7.25 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.88 (s, 2 H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 75 MHz, ppm): δ 14.4, 23.0, 26.3, 29.6, 29.7, 32.0, 68.2, 80.4, 114.0, 116.6, 124.4, 124.5, 129.1, 131.8, 132.1, 136.9, 137.7, 138.1, 140.0, 146.9, 158.6. MS (FAB, C76H90O4S4): calcd, 1195.79; found, 1195.

Synthesis of BDCPDT. To a solution of 4 (1 g, 0.8 mmol) in octane (100 mL) was added acetic acid (10 mL) and sulfuric acid (1 mL, 19 mmol) slowly. The resulting solution was stirred for 4 h at 65 °C. After removal of the octane under reduced pressure, the residue was extracted by sodium carbonate solution (50 mL × 3) and diethyl ether (50 mL × 2) . Then, the crude products were purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate, v/v, 100/1) to give a yellow oil BDCPDT (0.85 g, 88%). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz, ppm): δ 0.88 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 12 H), 1.28−1.45 (m, 40 H), 1.71−1.76 (m, 8 H), 3.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 8 H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 8 H), 7.07 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 8 H), 7.25 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.88 (s, 2 H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 75 MHz, ppm): δ 14.4, 22.9, 26.3, 29.5, 29.6, 32.1, 61.9, 68.1, 114.6, 116.2, 123.0, 127.2, 129.5, 131.2, 134.0, 136.7, 137.4, 141.8, 149.6, 158.4, 162.1. MS (FAB, C76H90O4S4): calcd, 1195.79; found, 1195.9.

Synthesis of Sn-BDCPDT. To a solution of BDCPDT (0.45 g, 0.38 mmol) in dry THF (15 mL) was added a 2.5 M solution of n-BuLi in hexane (0.4 mL, 1 mmol) dropwise at −78 °C. After stirring at −78 °C for 30 min and at RT for 30 min, a 1.0 M solution of chlorotrimethylstannane in THF (1.14 mL, 1.14 mmol) was introduced by syringe to the solution at −78 °C. The mixture solution was quenched with water and extracted with diethyl ether (50 mL × 2) and water (50 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO4. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by recrystallizing from hexane to give a yellow solid Sn-BDCPDT (382 mg, 62%). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 300 MHz, ppm): δ 0.36 (s, 18 H), 0.88 (t, J = 6 Hz, 12 H), 1.28−1.43 (m, 40 H), 1.36−1.45 (m, 40 H), 1.71−1.76 (m, 8 H), 3.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 8 H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 8 H), 7.07 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 8 H), 7.25 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.88 (s, 2 H). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 75 MHz, ppm): δ 14.4, 23.0, 26.3, 29.6, 29.7, 32.0, 68.2, 80.4, 114.0, 116.6, 124.4, 124.5, 129.1, 131.8, 132.1, 136.9, 137.7, 138.1, 140.0, 146.9, 158.6. MS (FAB, C76H90O4S4): calcd, 1195.79; found, 1195.

Figure 6. Output characteristics of p-type OFET devices of PBDCPDT-TPD (a, b) and PBDCPDT-TPD with 5 vol % DMSO (c, d).


