Identification of BPR3P0128 as an Inhibitor of Cap-Snatching Activities of Influenza Virus
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The aim of this study was to identify the antiviral mechanism of a novel compound, BPR3P0128. From a large-scale screening of a library of small compounds, BPR3P compounds were found to be potent inhibitors of influenza viral replication in Madin–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells. BPR3P0128 exhibited inhibitory activity against both influenza A and B viruses. The 50% inhibitory concentration values were in the range of 51 to 190 nM in MDCK cells, as measured by inhibition-of-cytopathic-effect assays. BPR3P0128 appeared to target the viral replication cycle but had no effect on viral adsorption. The inhibition of cap-dependent mRNA transcription by BPR3P0128 was more prominent with a concurrent increase in cap-independent cRNA replication in a primer extension assay, suggesting a role of BPR3P0128 in switching transcription to replication. This reduction in mRNA expression resulted from the BPR3P-mediated inhibition of the cap-dependent endoribonuclease (cap-snatching) activities of nuclear extracts containing the influenza virus polymerase complex. No inhibition of binding of 5' viral RNA to the viral polymerase complex by this compound was detected. BPR3P0128 also effectively inhibited other RNA viruses, such as enterovirus 71 and human rhinovirus, but not DNA viruses, suggesting that BPR3P0128 targets a cellular factor(s) associated with viral PB2 cap-snatching activity. The identification of this factor(s) could help redefine the regulation of viral transcription and replication and thereby provide a potential target for antiviral chemotherapeutics.

Influenza viruses are respiratory pathogens that affect humans and are responsible for substantial morbidity and mortality. The viral genome (viral RNA [vRNA]) comprises eight segments of negative-sense RNA that encode up to 12 proteins (43, 60). Each segment of RNA is encapsidated into a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex containing a trimeric RNA-dependent RNA polymerase complex comprising PA, PB1, and PB2 and multiple copies of a nucleocapsid protein (NP). The viral polymerase activity resides in the RNP complexes, whose replication and transcription take place in the nucleus of the infected cells. The newly synthesized viral RNPs (vRNPs) must be transported out of the nucleus, and this export requires cellular and viral proteins (4).

The influenza virus polymerase transcribes cap- and poly(A)-dependent mRNA using a cap-dependent endoribonuclease (cap-snatching) mechanism (45). The host pre-mRNAs are bound to the cap-binding domains of the PB2 subunit by their 5’ cap. A fragment of the first 10 to 13 nucleotides of the host mRNA is cleaved by the endoribonuclease located in the N terminus of the PA subunit (11, 43, 63). The production of primers is activated only when the 5' and 3' end sequences of vRNA bind sequentially to the PB1 subunit (33). vRNA has been used as a template to transcribe the mRNA joined by the PB1 subunit (33, 43). Transcription of influenza virus can thus be divided into the following steps: (i) binding of the 5’ and 3’ vRNA sequences to the PB1 subunit, which is likely to cause a conformational change in the polymerase complex (6, 33); (ii) binding of the 5’ cap (m⁷GTP) of a host pre-mRNA to the PB2 subunit (22); (iii) cleavage of a phosphodiester bond 10 to 13 nucleotides downstream of the cap by the PA subunit; and (iv) activation of the transcription of viral mRNAs at the cleaved 3’ end of the capped fragment. This polymerase complex catalyzes both mRNA transcription and replication of negative-strand vRNAs, which contrasts with the primer (cap)-independent process and produces a full-length replicative intermediate cRNA. This cRNA is then replicated to produce more vRNA. The timing of mRNA and cRNA/vRNA synthesis differs because replication follows mRNA transcription and de novo protein synthesis (43). However, the tuning mechanism for the balance between transcription and replication has remained elusive. Hypotheses based on pieces of vital evidence of the factors controlling the viral switch to replication have been proposed. The switch is thought to be regulated by the availability of an NP, the stability of cRNA mediated by the vRNP complex, and NS2/NEP (nonstructural protein 2/nuclear export protein) (35, 48, 56). A more recent finding identified the mechanism through which influenza virus-specific small viral RNAs regulate the switch (44).

The regions within the PB2 subunit of the influenza virus RNA polymerase involved in cap binding have also been studied in great detail. Early studies showed that cap binding is a function of PB2 (43). In addition, mutagenesis and cross-linking studies show that other regions of PB2, PB1, and, possibly, PA are required for cap binding (14, 16, 23). Attempts have been made to map the region...
of PB2 involved in cap binding. Two aromatic amino acids, Phe363 and Phe404, are required for cap binding and for transcriptional activity. These have been proposed to sandwich a methylated guanosine, as in other cap-binding proteins (13, 14). The location of the cap-binding site on PB2 has been determined at the atomic level by crystal structure and functional analyses, although the direct involvement of Phe363 was not confirmed (22).

A search for influenza virus-inhibiting drugs is particularly important in the face of new pandemic strains and the high rate of emergence of influenza virus strains resistant to several existing influenza virus antivirals (10, 32, 59). We conducted a drug-screening study using a large-scale anti-influenza virus cell-based assay based on the inhibition of the virally induced cytopathic effect (CPE) (52, 53, 62). An initial hit, CSV0C019002, with a 50% effective (inhibitory) concentration \( EC_{50} \) of 4.27 \( \mu \)M toward A/WSN/33 was identified after screening 20,800 randomly selected small compounds (molecular masses, <500 Da) from a library. This lead compound was effective against a panel of influenza A and B viruses with similar potency (data not shown). The activity of this novel class of compounds was improved using structure-activity relationship studies to explore the pharmacophore (molecular framework responsible for a drug’s biological activity) requirements for anti-influenza virus activity. The most potent compound, BPR3P0128, was identified biochemically as having potent anti-cap-snatching activity, and its chemical structure was distinct from that of two compounds identified previously in this screening (Fig. 1) (52, 53, 62) and two other viral polymerase inhibitors, T-705 (favipiravir) and compound A3 (19, 27). Here, we characterized BPR3P0128 and identified its underlying antiviral mechanism.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Viruses, cell culture, and viral infection.** Influenza virus strain A/WSN/33 (H1N1) virus stocks purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) were used in this study unless stated otherwise. The clinical influenza virus strains listed in Table 1 were from the Clinical Virology Laboratory of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taiwan, except A/CA/07/2009 (H1N1pdm), which was obtained from the Taiwanese Centers for Disease Control. The information for other viruses is as follows: enterovirus 71 (EV71/TAI/4643/98/M4) was obtained from Jen-Ren Wang, National Cheng Kung University (Tainan, Taiwan), coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3; clinical lab quality control [QC] no. CB3-PC-0811; collection date, November 2008; validated by antibody; catalog no. 3306, from Millipore, Billerica, MA), herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2; clinical lab QC no. H201; collection date, January 2003; validated by antibodies, catalog no. 206-84, from Argene), and adenovirus (Ad; clinical lab QC no. Ad-PC-0812; collection date, December 2008; validated by antibodies, catalog no. 5009, from Millipore). The human rhinovirus 2 (HRV2) strain used was clinical lab QC no. HR201. Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK), human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293), and rhabdomyosarcoma (RD) cells were from ATCC. MDCK cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, Invitrogen), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco), and a nonessential amino acid mixture (NEAA; 0.1 mM; Gibco). HEK293 and RD cells were cultivated in DMEM containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS. Human lung carcinoma A549 cells were cultivated in minimal essential medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS and 0.1 mM NEAA. Influenza viruses and enteroviruses were propagated using MDCK and RD cells, respectively, and viral titers were measured using a plaque assay (52, 61).

**Chemicals.** Oseltamivir carboxylate (GS4071), the active metabolite of oseltamivir, was prepared by Kak-Shan Shia (National Health Research Institute, Miaoli, Taiwan), and a stock solution of 10 mM was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). BPR3P0128 is a 6-bromo-2-[1-(2,5-dimethylphenyl)-5-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]-quinoline-4-carboxylic acid, 5-bromoisatin (1.0 mmol), 1-[1-(2,5-dimethyl-phenyl)-5-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl]-ethanol (1.0 mmol), and KOH (4 mmol) were dissolved in ethanol (2 ml), and the mixture was refluxed for 48 h. Removal of the solvent under vacuum produced a residue, which was dissolved in H\(_2\)O (5 ml), and the aqueous solution was washed twice with ethanol (5 ml). The aqueous phase was cooled and acidified to pH 1 with 3 N HCl, and the precipitate formed was collected by suction filtration, washed with H\(_2\)O, and vacuum dried to give the title compound in 38% yield.

**Cytotoxicity and antiviral effectiveness assays.** The compound library was obtained from ChemDiv Inc. (San Diego, CA). The cytotoxic effect of BPR3P0128 on cells was determined using a 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT)-based assay. Cells (2 \times 10\(^4\)/well) were seeded into 96-well culture plates and incubated overnight. The medium was then removed, and the cells were incubated with various concentrations of BPR3P0128 in the medium for 72 h. Triplicate wells were used for each treatment. The medium was then removed, MTT was added at a concentration of 5 mg/ml, and the cells were incubated for 3 h at 37°C. The medium was then withdrawn carefully.

**TABLE 1 Antiviral activities of BPR3P0128 against various viruses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line or virus strain</th>
<th>CC(_{50}) ((\mu)M)</th>
<th>EC(_{50}) ((\mu)M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDCK</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A549</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEK293</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/WSN/33 (H1N1)</td>
<td>0.083 \pm 0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/TW/3355/97 (H1N1)</td>
<td>0.09 \pm 0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/CA/07/2009 (H1N1pdm)</td>
<td>0.145 \pm 0.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/TW/3446/02 (H3N2)</td>
<td>0.190 \pm 0.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/TW/99/07</td>
<td>0.051 \pm 0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/TW/710/05</td>
<td>0.110 \pm 0.051</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/TW/70325/05</td>
<td>0.054 \pm 0.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV71/TAI/4643/98/M4</td>
<td>0.029 \pm 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coxackievirus B3</td>
<td>0.062 \pm 0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human rhinovirus 2</td>
<td>0.079 \pm 0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV-2</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Influenza virus was tested in MDCK cells; coxackievirus B3, enterovirus 71, and human rhinovirus 2 were tested in RD cells; and adenovirus and HSV-2 were tested in A549 cells. Data are presented as means \pm SDs of the results of at least two independent experiments.
without touching the cells. DMSO (200 μl/well) was added to dissolve the crystals, and the absorbance of each well was measured at 570 nm in a microplate reader (VICTOR3; PerkinElmer).

The anti-influenza virus activity (EC50) exerted by BPR3P0128, measured as the inhibition of virus-induced cell death (CPE), was measured using the crystal violet staining method. Briefly, 96-well tissue culture plates were seeded with 2.4 × 10^4 cells/well and incubated for 16 to 20 h at 37°C under 5% CO2. The cells were washed once with Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS), infected with virus at 9 50% tissue culture infective doses, and then overlaid with various concentrations of compounds in a total volume of 200 μl of E6 medium (DMEM with penicillin [100 U/ml], streptomycin [100 μg/ml], l-glutamine [2 mM], NEAA mixture [0.1 mM], and 2.5 μg/ml trypsin). After incubation at 37°C under 5% CO2 for 72 h, the cells were treated with 100 μl of 4% paraformaldehyde overnight and then stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 30 min at room temperature. The density of the cells was measured at 570 nm on a VICTOR1 microplate reader. The concentration of compounds necessary to reduce the virus-induced cell death by 50%, relative to the virus control, was calculated according to the Reed-Muench method and was expressed as the EC50.

For the plaque assay, MDCK cells were seeded into six-well tissue culture plates and incubated overnight. The cells were incubated with influenza virus at about 60 PFU/well with serial dilutions of the test compounds. After adsorption of the virus for 1 h at 37°C, the virus suspension was removed and the cells were washed with Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS). The cells were then overlaid with DMEM containing 0.3% agarose with the indicated concentrations of compounds. After incubation for 48 h at 37°C under 5% CO2, the cells were fixed with 10% formaldehyde and then stained with 1% crystal violet. The numbers of plaques were counted, and the antiviral activity of the compounds was calculated with respect to the virus control.

Immunofluorescence microscopy and Western blotting. MDCK cells (1 × 10^5 cells) were seeded onto a glass coverslip and incubated overnight. The cells were infected with influenza virus A/WSN/33 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1 for 1 h, washed three times with DPBS, and then treated with DMEM with or without BPR3P0128 (1 μM). The cells were incubated 9 h after infection, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton in PBS for 3 min at room temperature. The coverslips were incubated with blocking solution (0.5% bovine serum albumin in PBS) for 1 h at room temperature and then reacted with goat anti-NP primary antibody (ViroStat, Portland, ME) diluted in blocking solution for 1.5 h. The coverslips were then washed three times with blocking solution and incubated with the appropriate Alexa-Fluor-488- or Cy3-labeled secondary antibody, and the fluorescence was evaluated using a Zeiss Axiostar 200 M microscope.

For Western blotting, MDCK cells (5 × 10^5) were seeded into each well of a six-well plate and incubated overnight. The cells were then infected with influenza virus A/WSN/33 at an MOI of 1 for 1 h, washed with PBS, treated with DMEM with or without BPR3P0128 (1 μM), and harvested at the indicated times after infection. Cell lysates were resolved using sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and the proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, which were incubated with primary antibody for 1 h and then with the appropriate secondary antibody. The proteins were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence Western blotting detection system (Millipore). Antibodies directed against NP and M1 of influenza A virus were from Abcam (Cambridge, United Kingdom) and ViroStat, respectively. Anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA).

Monoclonal antibody against double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) was prepared to characterize the replicative RNA by immunofluorescence microscopy. Briefly, methylated bovine serum albumin (Calbiochem) was mixed with an equal volume of poly(I:C) (Sigma) dissolved in SSC buffer (150 mM NaCl and 15 mM sodium citrate, pH 7.2), and the resultant insoluble mixture was emulsified with one part Freund’s adjuvant (18).

The mixture was then injected subcutaneously into BALB/c mice. The supernatants of hybridoma cells to poly(I:C) and the dsRNA of influenza virus (A/WSN/33) were characterized using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and immunofluorescence microscopy, respectively. Our anti-dsRNA antibodies thus have the specificity to detect dsRNA in influenza virus-infected cells, which contrasts with the commercially available J2 monoclonal antibodies that were screened against L–dsRNA from Saccharomyces cerevisiae killer virus (50, 58).

Measurement of virus RNA synthesis by qRT–PCR. MDCK cells were infected with A/WSN/33 virus at an MOI of 1, and BPR3P0128 was added during the infection period as described in the scheme shown in Fig. 3. Total RNA was extracted from cells at the times indicated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The first strand of cDNA was synthesized using Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase and random hexamers (Invitrogen). Real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT–PCR) was performed in a 20-μl reaction mixture containing 50 nM forward and reverse primers (M1 forward primer, 5′-GAC CAA TCC TGT CAC CTC-3′; reverse primer, 5′-GAT CTC GTC TCC CAT TAA GAG-3′), 1× SYBR green master mix (Promega) and various amounts of template. The fluorescence emitted by SYBR green was detected using the ABI StepOne Plus sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). To quantify the changes in gene expression, the change in threshold cycle (ΔCT) method was used to calculate the relative changes normalized against the GAPDH gene (forward primer, 5′-AAG AAG GTG TTG AAG CAG GC-3′; reverse primer, 5′-TCC ACC ACC CTG TTG CTG TA-3′). The C(T) was defined as the cycle at which fluorescence was determined to be significantly greater than the background. The ratio of viral RNA to the internal control was normalized to the control level 0 h after infection, which was arbitrarily set equal to 1.0.

Inhibition of viral polymerase activity by BPR3P0128 using a reporter assay and primer extension assay. The production of viral RNA was measured using a plasmid-based reverse genetics (minireplicon or RNP reconstitution) system, followed by a luciferase assay (42) or primer extension (38) assay.

For the luciferase assay, about 5 × 10^4 HEK293 cells/well were transfected with plasmid pPOLL-firefly luciferase (Fluc) (0.1 μg), pH2000-PB1 (0.1 μg), pH2000-PB2 (0.1 μg), pH2000-PA (0.1 μg), or pH2000-NP (0.1 μg) or with pTK- Renilla luciferase (Rluc; driven by the thymidine kinase promoter; 5 ng; Promega, Madison, WI) as the transfection control using the calcium phosphate method. pPOLL-Fluc is a plasmid which expresses the influenza virus–like RNA driven by a truncated human RNA polymerase 1 promoter. These polymerase complex proteins originated from A/PR/8/34 virus. Tenfold serial dilutions of BPR3P0128 were added 8 h after transfection, and the cells were incubated for a further 48 h. Cells were then harvested and subjected to the luciferase assay using a dual-luciferase assay system (Promega) and a VICTOR3 plate reader (PerkinElmer). Values at each dose were analyzed in triplicate, and the means and standard deviations (SDs) from three experiments were graphed. The y axis in Fig. 5A is the ratio of Fluc to Rluc control, which was normalized to the mock treatment (arbitrarily set equal to 1.0).

For the minireplicon assay in transfected cells, 1 μg of each of pH2000-PB1, pH2000-PB2, pH2000-PA, pH2000-NP, and pPOLL-CAT-RT was cotransfected into HEK293 cells in a six-well plate. pPOLL-CAT-RT possesses the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) open reading frame in negative polarity surrounded by the untranslated regions of the NS gene of influenza virus A/WSN/33. BPR3P0128 was added 8 h after transfection, and the cells were incubated for a further 48 h. Total cellular RNA was then isolated using an RNeasy minikit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and hybridized with 32P-end-labeled primers at 50°C for 3 h. This primer extension reaction was performed using avian myeloblastosis virus (AMV) reverse transcriptase (Primer Extension System; Promega) at 42°C for 2 h. The resulting mixtures were terminated by denaturing at 90°C for 10 min and then separated on 8% polyacrylamide
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FIG 3  Inhibition of viral protein and vRNA synthesis by BPR3P0128. MDCK cells were infected with A/WSN/33 virus at an MOI of 1 at -1 h. BPR3P0128 (1 μM) was added from 0 h, and cell lysates were harvested at the indicated times after infection (hours postinfection [hpi]). The data are presented as means ± SEMs of the results from at least two independent experiments. (A) Inhibition of viral protein synthesis by BPR3P0128. Aliquots of 50 μg lysate per lane were subjected to SDS-PAGE separation, followed by Western blotting using anti-M1 and anti-NP antibodies. GAPDH was used as a loading control. The immunoblot results were quantified by densitometric analysis and at 3 h after infection were normalized to those for the virus control, whose level was arbitrarily set equal to 1. The data are presented as means ± SEMs of the results of two independent experiments. * P < 0.05 compared with the respective control. (B) BPR3P0128 (3P0128) inhibits viral protein synthesis, as indicated by immunofluorescence staining. MDCK cells were infected with A/WSN/33 at an MOI of 1, and the cells were harvested 9 h after infection and stained with anti-NP and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). (C) Inhibition of replicative RNA synthesis by BPR3P0128. The cells were harvested 9 h after infection and observed with immunofluorescence microscopy. DAPI and mouse anti-dsRNA antibody staining show the locations of the nucleus and replicative viral RNAs, respectively. The infected cells are indicated by arrows. The right column shows the overlaid images of dsRNA immunofluorescence and DAPI staining. (D) Inhibition of viral RNA synthesis by BPR3P0128. Viral RNA for M1 was detected by qRT-PCR. The ratio of gene expression to that for its respective internal control (GAPDH) was normalized to the control level at 0 h after infection, which was arbitrarily set equal to 1.0. This is a representative result from two independent experiments.
The expression of dsRNA was reduced markedly in the presence of BPR3P0128, indicating that viral replication was impaired (Fig. 3C; compare the middle row with the top row). The expression of dsRNA was distributed exclusively in the nuclei of the infected cells, where viral RNA replicates actively (Fig. 3C; compare the middle row with the top row). The expression of dsRNA was reduced markedly in the presence of BPR3P0128, indicating that viral replication was impaired (Fig. 3C, bottom row). We also used qRT-PCR to investigate total viral RNA synthesis in MDCK cells infected with virus and treated with or without BPR3P0128 at 3, 6, and 9 h after infection. Random hexamers were used in the reverse transcription reactions, and all three RNA species were detected. The total viral RNA level was reduced significantly by 55 to 68% when cells were treated with BPR3P0128, indicating that viral replication was impaired (Fig. 4). In this time-of-addition assay, even when BPR3P0128 was added 6 h after infection, this suggests that BPR3P0128 affects an early step of the replication cycle after viral entry, such as vRNA synthesis or vRNA export out of the nucleus, although the underlying mechanism requires further investigation (Fig. 4). This compound did not inhibit the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway, which is activated at an early stage of infection to support influenza virus replication (data not shown) (12).

**TABLE 2** Susceptibility to BPR3P0128 of recent oseltamivir-resistant influenza virus H1N1 isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influenza virus</th>
<th>BPR3P0128</th>
<th>Amantadine</th>
<th>Oseltamivir carboxylate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A/TW/147/09</td>
<td>0.060 ± 0.001</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.60</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/TW/70058/09</td>
<td>0.075 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.762 ± 0.28</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/TW/70066/09</td>
<td>0.074 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.32</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Susceptibility was determined by inhibition of CPE in MDCK cells. Data are presented as means ± SDs of the results of at least two independent experiments.

We next used immunofluorescence microscopy and qRT-PCR to examine the effect of BPR3P0128 on the synthesis of viral RNA. MDCK cells were infected with influenza virus A/WSN/33 at an MOI of 1 and incubated with or without 1 μM BPR3P0128 during the postinfection stages. The cells were fixed 9 h after infection, reacted with a primary anti-dsRNA antibody, and evaluated by immunofluorescence microscopy. The dsRNA was distributed exclusively in the nuclei of the infected cells, where viral RNA replicates actively (Fig. 3C; compare the middle row with the top row). The expression of dsRNA was reduced markedly in the presence of BPR3P0128, indicating that viral replication was impaired (Fig. 3C, bottom row). We also used qRT-PCR to investigate total viral RNA synthesis in MDCK cells infected with virus and treated with or without BPR3P0128 at 3, 6, and 9 h after infection. Random hexamers were used in the reverse transcription reactions, and all three RNA species were detected. The total viral RNA level was reduced significantly by 55 to 68% when cells were treated with this compound (Fig. 3D). In summary, BPR3P0128 inhibited viral replication in infected cells, and this inhibition reduced the production of viral protein and RNA.

**Mechanism-of-action studies of BPR3P0128.** We investigated the specificity of the antiviral activity of BPR3P0128 by subjecting it to bioevaluation against a variety of strains and viruses, including different strains of influenza A and B viruses, EV71, CVB3, HRV2, and two DNA viruses, adenovirus and HSV-2. BPR3P0128 exhibited potency against both influenza viruses A and B (Table 1). Interestingly, this compound also inhibited three other RNA viruses listed in Table 1, EV71, CVB3, and HRV2. BPR3P0128 did not show activity toward the two DNA viruses. BPR3P0128 was also effective against recent clinical isolates of oseltamivir-resistant viruses in an assay using oseltamivir carboxylate as a control (Table 2). As expected, these strains were resistant to oseltamivir carboxylate. BPR3P0128 showed similar inhibitory efficacy against these resistant viruses, strongly suggesting that its inhibitory mechanism differs from that of oseltamivir (Table 2).

To investigate its possible time-dependent inhibitory effects on influenza virus replication, BPR3P0128 was added to monolayers of infected cells during or after viral adsorption, and the viral yield in one infectious cycle was assessed using a plaque formation assay (Fig. 4). In this time-of-addition assay, even when BPR3P0128 was added 4 h after viral adsorption, there was a marked inhibition of viral yield. There remained about a 40% reduction when the compound was added 6 h after infection. This suggests that BPR3P0128 affects an early step of the replication cycle after viral entry, such as vRNA synthesis or vRNA export out of the nucleus, although the underlying mechanism requires further investigation (Fig. 4). This compound did not inhibit the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway, which is activated at an early stage of infection to support influenza virus replication (data not shown) (12).

**BPR3P0128 inhibition of viral polymerase activity.** We used a plasmid-based minireplicon (RNP reconstitution) assay to identify the mechanism underlying the inhibition of influenza viral polymerase by BPR3P0128 (42). Plasmids for the expression of RNP complex of A/PR/8/34 were transfected together with pPOLI-Fluc into HEK293 cells (Fig. 5A). Treatment with BPR3P0128 decreased the ratio of Fluc to Rluc activity, indicating that BPR3P0128 inhibited the RNP complex-mediated transcription of pPOLI-Fluc, resulting in decreased Fluc activity in this system (Fig. 5A). No activity was detected when PA or Fluc was omitted from the minireplicon system, indicating that the inhibition was specific. This inhibitory effect of BPR3P0128 might not result from reduced viral protein expression because the level of NP was not altered in any sample (Fig. 5A, bottom).

We used a primer extension assay to study further whether BPR3P0128 can inhibit the synthesis of specific vRNA species (38) (Fig. 5B). RNA synthesis in transfected cells was subjected to primer extension using radiolabeled oligonucleotides complementary to positive- or negative-sense CAT RNAs. The vRNA detected in the absence of PA (Fig. 5B, lane 2) represents POLI-derived template RNA. BPR3P0128 strongly inhibited mRNA synthesis in a dose-dependent fashion (Fig. 5B).

**FIG 4** Mode of action of BPR3P0128 by time-of-addition experiments. MDCK cells were infected with A/WSN/33 at an MOI of 0.001 at −1 h. The timing of treatment with the compound (1 μM, at 37°C) is indicated at the top. BPR3P0128 was added at the time of infection (−1 h) and 0, 2, 4, and 6 h after infection, as indicated. After treatment, the culture medium was replaced with fresh medium, and the culture supernatant was harvested for the plaque assay 12 h after infection. Virus control, controls with no compound added. Plaque numbers for each treatment were normalized to the virus control, which was arbitrarily set equal to 100%. The data are presented as means ± SEMs of the results of two independent experiments.
dependent manner, suggesting that transcription but not replication of the polymerase is the primary molecular target of BPR3P0128. This plasmid-based reverse genetics RNP reconstitution assay contains only minimal components of the transcription and replication machinery and might not fully recapitulate typical viral replication inside cells. We confirmed this primer extension result with virally infected MDCK cells (Fig. 5C). Cells were infected with A/WSN/33 at an MOI of 1 and were then incubated with BPR3P0128. Cells were harvested 8 h after infection, and total RNA extraction and reverse transcription were performed using a primer specific for the viral M gene (segment 7) as an indication of RNA synthesis. No vRNAs were detected in mock infection controls, and the three RNA species were clearly identifiable in virus-infected cells, demonstrating that the bands observed correspond to bona fide influenza virus replication (Fig. 5C, lanes 1 and 2). The solvent for the compound (DMSO) did not cause any inhibition, but the synthesis of total RNA was reduced considerably, a finding that is consistent with the minireplicon assay data (Fig. 5B). Nevertheless, similar to the qRT-PCR data (Fig. 3D), total RNA synthesis was reduced in the presence of BPR3P0128 (Fig. 5C, lanes 4 to 6). Interestingly, similar to the reconstituted reverse genetics assay results given above (Fig. 5B), mRNA was severely inhibited with a parallel increase in vRNA production in the presence of BPR3P0128, indicating that this compound specifically targets polymerase-associated functions. The reduction in the amount of cRNA by this compound might indicate that it had gone through the second cycle of replication to make more vRNA (Fig. 5C, lanes 4 to 6).
BPR3P0128 inhibition of viral polymerase cap-snatching activity. The inhibition of viral mRNA synthesis by BPR3P0128 led us to investigate the effect of this compound on the cap-dependent mRNA transcription activity of RNP. Synthesis of mRNAs is capped primer dependent; that is, primed by short capped RNA fragments generated from cellular pre-mRNAs by endonucleolytic cleavage (45). In contrast, the synthesis of cRNA and vRNA molecules is initiated in an unprimed manner de novo during influenza virus replication (43). Thus, the proposed mode of action of BPR3P0128 involves targeting the viral mRNA synthesis machinery, such as cap-snatching-related functions during replication. Cap-binding activity has been shown to reside in the PB2 subunit, and capped RNA binding can be activated only by 5’ vRNA binding to the PB1 subunit (3, 33, 55). We performed assays to measure the cap-binding, endoribonuclease, and 5’-vRNA-binding activities of the polymerase complex prepared from nuclear fractions expressing recombinant PA, PB1, and PB2 in the presence of BPR3P0128. The assay for the binding of capped RNA fragments to polymerase complexes was performed using a gel-shift assay (33). The nuclear extracts containing viral polymerase complexes were incubated with an RNA fragment of a 13-nucleotide capped RNA from ALMV, containing a cap structure, in the presence of increasing concentrations of BPR3P0128. The DMSO control had no inhibitory effect on capped RNA-polymerase complex formation (Fig. 6A, lane 1). No capped RNA-polymerase complex was formed when the polymerase complex was missing from the reaction (negative control) (data not shown). These results indicate that the binding between polymerase and capped RNA was genuine. The inhibition became apparent at a BPR3P0128 concentration of 0.125 μM and was dose dependent. Because capped RNA binding can be stimulated only by 5’ vRNA binding to the RNP complex, we explored whether BPR3P0128 can affect the 5’ vRNA binding activity of the polymerase complex, which is one step before cap binding. As shown in Fig. 6B, 5’ vRNA binding to the polymerase complex was not prevented by BPR3P0128 when the same concentrations of this compound were used to inhibit capped RNA binding.

We further determined whether cap binding inhibited by BPR3P0128 affects the subsequent step of endoribonuclease activity that cleaves the bound capped RNAs 10 to 13 nucleotides from their 5’ ends (45). After sequential binding of the 5’-terminal sequence of vRNA and 3’-terminal oligoribonucleotides, full-length ALMV RNA 4 containing an m7G32pppGm 5’ end was added. No cleavage product was detected in the absence of the polymerase complex (Fig. 6C, lane 1). The endoribonuclease activity was specifically and dose-dependently inhibited by BPR3P0128 but not by the DMSO vehicle control. This suggests that this inhibition results from the loss of cap-binding activity exerted by BPR3P0128 because both activities were similar at an IC₅₀ of 0.25 μM (Fig. 6D). The cap-binding site of PB2 is essential presence of BPR3P0128 and separated on 20% polyacrylamide-urea gels. The results shown here are single representatives of three independent experiments. Control, the labeled RNA was incubated in the absence of a polymerase complex. (D) Quantification of the cap-binding and endoribonuclease experiments. The levels of viral polymerase-cap complex and the cleavage product were normalized to the level of the vehicle control (DMSO), which was arbitrarily set equal to 1.0. The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀), calculated by Reed-Muench method, was about 0.25 μM for both assays. A.U., absorbance units.
for cap-dependent transcription by vRNPs in vitro and in vivo (49), BPR3P0128 may thus target the PB2 subunit or its functionally associated proteins.

**DISCUSSION**

The polymerase complex of the influenza virus is a potential target for the development of antiviral agents because it is responsible for an essential and highly conserved catalytic function of the virus and because the atomic and quasiatomic structures of its subunit have become available for antiviral drug design (9, 28, 49). The viral polymerase of influenza virus catalyzes the reactions responsible for both capped RNA–primed mRNA transcription (vRNA → mRNA) and unprimed replication of vRNAs in the steps vRNA → cRNA → vRNA. How the polymerase complex carries out these two distinct processes—transcription and replication—is poorly understood. Here, we identified a small compound, BPR3P0128, that plays a role in the regulation of transcription and replication of the influenza virus RNA genome. In particular, incubation with BPR3P0128 markedly decreased the ratio between mRNA and cRNA or vRNA in RNP reconstitution and viral infection assays (Fig. 5). Our data are consistent with those from previous studies of the temporal changes in the amount of RNA (24, 31). Our subsequent results suggested that this compound targets a PB2-associated cap-snatching function (Fig. 6).

BPR3P0128 possesses an essential backbone structure of quinoline (Fig. 1) and might directly target cap-binding-associated functions but not the endoribonuclease activity because this compound is not structurally akin to any known endoribonuclease inhibitors such as flutimide (54). The PB2 protein carries minimal homology to the cap-binding proteins of eukaryotes, and this enzymatic activity has no known cellular counterpart (13). However, BPR3P0128 at a concentration up to 10 μM did not inhibit recombinant PB2 subunit binding to cap-Sepharose, suggesting that BPR3P0128 is not a cap competitor (data not shown). Quinoline and its derivatives have been developed as anti-human immunodeficiency virus compounds, but they do not target cap-related functions (1, 41).

Our results suggest that BPR3P0128 might regulate the balance between viral replication and transcription. Various models of switching from transcription to replication in influenza A viral infections have been proposed (46, 51). NP, which is involved in encapsulation of the vRNA, was identified as a key player because of its RNA binding, which might modify the panhandle structure for the switch (17). The interaction between NP and the polymerase complex was also proposed to potentiate unprimed RNA replication via inhibiting cap-snatching activity (2, 36, 40). The NS2/NEP and PA subunits of the polymerase complex are also associated with the regulation of viral replication and transcription (29, 48). A new model was recently proposed in which virus-generated small RNAs shift the transition from transcription to replication through interactions with the viral polymerase machinery (44). However, some studies have focused on the role of PB2 in tuning transcription and replication because of its cap-binding activity (14, 20), and we speculate that our compound may target PB2.

Two conserved aromatic amino acids, Phe363 and Phe404, which sandwich the N7-methyl guanine of the cap structure in influenza A and B viruses, have been shown to be important for cap binding, but only Phe404 was shown by mutational analysis to play a role in the switch between transcription and replication (14). This crucial residue Phe404 is also conserved in the recent pandemic swine-origin influenza virus (Fig. 7). His357, on the other side of the sandwich, is unique to influenza A virus and is replaced by the more conventional cap-stacking residue tryptophan in influenza B virus (22). The full-length PB2 sequences of the influenza B virus tested (Table 1) were not available in the public domain. Four representative sequences from 2005 and 2007 from NCBI are shown here.

![Sequence alignment of the PB2 cap-binding domain from human and swine influenza A viruses.](image)

**FIG 7** Sequence alignment of the PB2 cap-binding domain from human and swine influenza A viruses. The secondary structure of A/WSN/1933 is shown over the sequence alignment of strains sensitive to BPR3P0128 (Table 1). Residues His357 and Phe404 of influenza A virus indicated by underlining are principal residues in contact with the cap analogue m7GTP. Phe404 is conserved in influenza A and B viruses, whereas His357 is exclusive to influenza A virus and is replaced by a tryptophan in influenza B virus (22). The full-length PB2 sequences of the influenza B virus tested (Table 1) were not available in the public domain. Four representative sequences from 2005 and 2007 from NCBI are shown here.
lated to Hsp90 and is also involved in influenza virus and poliovirus replication (7, 26). Hsp70 interacts with the PB2 subunit and vRNP of influenza virus, and it plays a role in the nuclear export of vRNP (15, 26). Both Hsp70 and Hsp90 have nuclear and cytoplasmic distributions (25, 26, 39). BPR3P0128 might target such cellular proteins to inhibit RNA viruses. Although our compound is not structurally similar to any known natural or synthetic inhibitors (47), the role of the Hsp family as the target of BPR3P0128 is under investigation. In addition, a number of host factors have been shown to be needed for replication of both influenza virus and poliovirus in host cells through a direct or indirect interaction (8, 57). These communal host factors involved in the virus replication cycle include AKT1, ARAF1, cyclin-dependent kinase 10 (CDK10), CEL, DYRK1B, MAP2K5, mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 (MAPK1), RAB17, and SCN8A. Thus, it is likely that BPR3P0128 can interfere with these crucial host functions, which are needed for influenza virus replication. Inhibition of influenza virus could be achieved through interfering RNA knockdown or pharmacological inhibition of the essential host factors. To our knowledge, BPR3P0128 is the first quinoline-based anti-influenza virus compound identified and characterized to show effective antiviral activity. This compound offers an opportunity for the development of a new anti-influenza virus agent.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Science Council (NSC) in Taiwan and Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (grants NSC97-2321-B-400-002, CMRPD1060323, and CMRPD1060123).

We thank Rei-Lin Kuo for his critical reading of the manuscript and Sung-Nain Tseng for technical assistance.


REFERENCES

33. Li ML, Ramirez BG, Krug RM. 1998. RNA-dependent activation of primer RNA production by influenza virus polymerase: different regions of the same protein subunit constitute the two required RNA-binding sites. EMBO J. 17:5844–5852.