Subcooled flow boiling heat transfer and associated bubble characteristics of R-134a in a narrow annular duct
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Abstract

Experiments are conducted here to investigate how the channel size affects the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer and associated bubble characteristics of refrigerant R-134a in a horizontal narrow annular duct. The gap of the duct is fixed at 1.0 and 2.0 mm in this study. From the measured boiling curves, the temperature undershoot at ONB is found to be relatively significant for the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a in the duct. The R-134a subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient increases with a reduction in the gap size, but decreases with an increase in the inlet liquid subcooling. Besides, raising the imposed heat flux can cause a substantial increase in the subcooled boiling heat transfer coefficient. However, the effects of the refrigerant mass flux and saturated temperature on the boiling heat transfer coefficient are small in the narrow duct. Visualization of the subcooled flow boiling processes reveals that the bubbles are suppressed to become smaller and less dense by raising the refrigerant mass flux and inlet subcooling. Moreover, raising the imposed heat flux significantly increases the bubble population, coalescence and departure frequency. The increase in the bubble departure frequency by reducing the duct size is due to the rising wall shear stress of the liquid flow, and at a high imposed heat flux many bubbles generated from the cavities on the heating surface tend to merge together to form big bubbles. Correlation for the present subcooled flow boiling heat transfer data of R-134a in the narrow annular duct is proposed. Additionally, the present data for some quantitative bubble characteristics such as the mean bubble departure diameter and frequency and the active nucleation site density are also correlated.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Flow boiling heat transfer in small channels has been intensively investigated in the past decade since the important role it plays in designing highly compact heat exchangers which are widely used in current energy saving air conditioning and refrigeration systems [1,2]. The use of subcooled flow boiling in these systems can further improve their energy efficiencies due to the presence of large temperature drop in the flows along with the boiling processes. Certain characteristics of flow boiling for some fluids in small circular and rectangular channels and narrow concentric ducts have been reported in literature [3–12]. These studies suggest that when the channel is smaller than certain critical size, the two-phase flow regimes and the associated heat transfer differ significantly from those in channels of conventional size. Some studies indicate that in small channels the flow boiling heat transfer is dominated by the bubble nucleation, which is ascertained by the strong dependence of the boiling heat transfer coefficient on the heat flux and weak dependence on the mass flux. While other studies show that both the bubble nucleation and convection are important in contributing the flow boiling heat transfer in small pipes. Besides, it has been noted that reducing the channel dimension produces a negative effect on the boiling heat transfer. But the opposite trend is also noted in other studies. Moreover, Sheng and Palm [13] visualized the flow pattern and bubble shape for water in a single small glass tube and noted that the bubble departure diameter depended much on the mass flow rate. Recently, Lee...
et al. [14] examined the bubble dynamics in a micro channel. The bubble departure radius was correlated by the modified form of the Levy equation.

Considerable amount of work has been carried out for subcooled flow boiling in channel of convectional size. Bang et al. [15] examined the behavior of near-wall bubbles in subcooled flow boiling of water and R-134a in a vertical rectangular channel and described the coalescence of the bubbles. The bubbles were found to be smaller at a higher mass flux. Similar study for water in a vertical annular duct showed that the bubble generation was suppressed by raising the refrigerant mass flux and subcooling, and only the liquid subcooling exhibited a significant effect on the bubble size. Flow boiling of FC-87 in a vertical rectangular channel investigated by Thorncroft et al. [18] manifested that both the bubble growth and departure rates increased with the Jacob number, but the bubble departure diameter decreased with the mass flux. Low pressure subcooled flow boiling inside a vertical concentric annulus examined by Zeitoun and Shoukri [19] showed that the mean size and lift duration of the bubbles increased at decreasing liquid subcooling.

The above literature review clearly indicates that extensive research has been carried out for flow boiling heat transfer of some fluids in channels of convectional size. However, the detailed bubble characteristics associated with the flow boiling in small channels remain less explored especially for new refrigerants. In a recent experimental study [20] we measured the saturated flow boiling heat transfer and associated bubble characteristics for R-134a in a horizontal narrow annular duct. In this continuing study we move further to explore the heat transfer and bubble behavior in subcooled boiling flow of R-134a in the same duct. The effects of the imposed heat flux, gap size, and R-134a mass flux and inlet subcooling on the boiling heat transfer characteristics will be examined in detail. Particularly, flow visualization is conducted here to examine the bubble characteristics associated with the flow boiling such as the mean bubble departure diameter and frequency from the heating surface, intending to improve our understanding of the subcooled flow boiling processes in a narrow channel.

2. Experimental apparatus and procedures

The experimental system employed in the previous study [20] is also used here to investigate the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a in a narrow annular duct. It is schematically depicted in Fig. 1. The experimental apparatus consists of three main loops, namely, a refrigerant loop, a water–glycol loop, and a hot-water loop. The test section of the experimental apparatus is a horizontal annular duct with the outer pipe made of Pyrex glass to permit the visualiza-

### Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_s$</td>
<td>outside surface area of the heated inner pipe, m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_0$</td>
<td>Boiling number, $B_0 = \frac{q}{q_{sat}}$, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_h$</td>
<td>hydraulic diameter, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_p$</td>
<td>mean bubble diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_p$</td>
<td>dimensionless mean bubble departure diameter, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>mean bubble departure frequency, Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_d$</td>
<td>dimensionless mean bubble departure frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_l$</td>
<td>friction factor for liquid flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Fr$</td>
<td>Froude number, $Fr = \frac{q}{\sqrt{s\cdot D_h}}$, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>acceleration due to gravity, m/s$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>mass flux, kg/m$^2$ s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_{1\phi}$</td>
<td>single-phase liquid convection heat transfer coefficient, W/m$^2$ °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_t$</td>
<td>subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient, W/m$^2$ °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{fg}$</td>
<td>enthalpy of vaporization, J/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ja$</td>
<td>Jakob number, $Ja = \frac{\rho_c \cdot \Delta T_{sat}}{\rho_q \cdot h_q}$, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_l$</td>
<td>thermal conductivity of liquid R-134a, W/m °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{nc}$</td>
<td>active nucleation site density, n/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{conf}$</td>
<td>confinement number, $N_{conf} = \frac{(\sigma / (g \Delta T))^1/3}{D_h}$, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu$</td>
<td>Nusselt number for single-phase liquid flow, $Nu = \frac{h_{id} D_h}{k_l}$, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr_l$</td>
<td>Prandtl number of liquid R-134a, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>average imposed heat flux, W/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{b+i}$</td>
<td>heat flux due to bubble nucleation, single-phase convection, total value, W/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_n$</td>
<td>net power input, W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Re_l$</td>
<td>all liquid Reynolds number, $Re_l = \frac{Q_n}{\rho_q}$, dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_r, T_{r,i}$</td>
<td>mean, inlet temperature of liquid R-134a, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{sat}$</td>
<td>saturated temperature of refrigerant R-134a, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_w$</td>
<td>wall temperature of heated inner pipe, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_g$</td>
<td>average volume of a departing bubble, m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>axial coordinate for annular duct flow, mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Greek symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T$</td>
<td>temperature difference, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T_{sat}$</td>
<td>wall superheat, $(T_w - T_{sat})$, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T_{sub}$</td>
<td>inlet subcooling, $(T_{sat} - T_{r,i})$, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>gap size of annular duct, mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_i$</td>
<td>viscosity of liquid R-134a, N s/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{v}, \rho_l$</td>
<td>vapor and liquid densities of R-134a, kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \rho$</td>
<td>density difference, $(\rho_l - \rho_g)$, kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>surface tension, N/m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tion of boiling processes in the refrigerant flow. The glass pipe is 160 mm long with an inside diameter of 20.0 mm. Its wall is 4.0 mm thick. Both ends of the pipe are connected with copper tubes of the same size by means of flanges and are sealed by O-rings. The inner copper pipe has 16.0 or 18.0-mm nominal outside diameter with its wall being 1.5 or 2.5 mm thick and is 0.73 m long. Thus the gap of the annular duct is 2.0 or 1.0 mm ($D_h = 4.0$ or 2.0 mm).

In order to insure the gap being smooth and uniform, the outside surface of the inner pipe is polished by fine sandpaper. An electric cartridge heater of 160 mm in length and 13.0 mm in diameter with a maximum power output of 800 W is inserted into the inner pipe. Then, 8 T-type calibrated thermocouples are electrically insulated by electrically nonconducting thermal bond before they are fixed on the inside surface of the inner pipe so that the voltage signals from the thermocouples are not interfered with the DC current passing through the cartridge heater. The thermocouples are positioned at three axial stations along the inner pipe. The details of the three loops, photographic apparatus, data acquisition unit, and experimental procedures are already available in our early studies [17,20] and are not repeated here.

3. Data reduction

The imposed heat flux for the subcooled boiling of the refrigerant flow in the annular duct is calculated on the basis of the total power input and the total outside heat transfer area of the inner pipe $A_s$. The results from the single-phase liquid convection test in the duct indicate that the heat loss from the test section is generally less than ±4% of the total power input. The outside surface temperature $T_w$ of the inner heated pipe at each thermocouple location is deduced from the measured inside surface temperature of the pipe by accounting for the radial heat conduction in the wall. In the two-phase test, the local subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient is defined as

$$h_l = \frac{Q_n/A_s}{(T_w - T_r)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here $T_r$ is the local mean liquid refrigerant temperature and is estimated by assuming that it varies linearly in the axial direction and $Q_n$ is the net heat transfer rate to the refrigerant.

Uncertainties of the measured heat transfer coefficients are estimated according to the procedures proposed by
Kline and McClintock [21] for the propagation of errors in physical measurement. The results from this uncertainty analysis are summarized in Table 1.

4. Results and discussion

The present experiments for exploring the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer and associated bubble characteristics of refrigerant R-134a flowing in a narrow annular duct are conducted for the refrigerant mass flux $G$ varying from 200 to 300 kg/m$^2$s, imposed heat flux $q$ from 0 to 55 kW/m$^2$, inlet liquid subcooling $\Delta T_{\text{sub}}$ from 6 to 13 $^\circ$C, duct gap $\delta$ from 1 to 2 mm, and refrigerant saturated temperature $T_{\text{sat}}$ from 10 to 15 $^\circ$C (corresponding to the R-134a saturated pressure from 414 to 488 kPa). Attention will be focused on how the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer and associated bubble behavior are affected by the duct size and inlet liquid subcooling. To insure the repeatability of the results, the data are finalized by repeating the test four times for each case. The heat transfer characteristics in the R-134a subcooled flow boiling are demonstrated first in terms of the measured boiling curves for various flow and thermal conditions. Then, selected experimental data and flow photos from the present study are presented to illustrate the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient and associated bubble characteristics in the boiling flow including bubble departure diameter, departure frequency and active nucleation site density. Finally, empirical equations are proposed to correlate the present data for the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient and bubble characteristics.

4.1. Subcooled flow boiling curves

The effects of the three experimental parameters, namely, the refrigerant mass flux, inlet subcooling, and gap size of the duct, on the subcooled flow boiling characteristics at the middle axial location ($z = 80$ mm) of the annular duct are illustrated in Fig. 2. First, the effects of the refrigerant mass flux are shown in Fig. 2(a). The results indicate that for a given boiling curve, at low imposed heat flux the temperature of the heated wall is below the saturated temperature of R-134a and heat transfer in the duct is completely due to the single-phase liquid forced convection. As the imposed heat flux is raised gradually, the...
heated wall temperature increases slowly to exceed $T_{\text{sat}}$ at a certain $q$ and we have a positive wall superheat $\Delta T_{\text{sat}}$. When the positive wall superheat reaches certain critical level, a small increase in $q$ causes boiling bubbles to suddenly appear on the heated wall and the heated wall temperature drops immediately to a noticeable degree. Thus there is a significant temperature undershoot during the onset of nucleate boiling (ONB). Note that the temperature undershoot at ONB can be as high as 18°C for $G = 200$ kg/m²s, $\delta = 1$ mm, $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C and $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 13$ °C (Fig. 2(a)). Note that the refrigerant mass flux to a certain degree affects the magnitude of the temperature undershoot during ONB. Specifically, at a lower mass flux the temperature undershoot is larger. Besides, a slightly higher wall superheat is needed to initiate the nucleate boiling for a lower $G$. Beyond the ONB a small rise in the wall superheat causes a large increase in the wall heat transfer rate and the slopes of the boiling curves are much steeper than those for the single-phase convection. Checking with the data in Fig. 2(a) further reveals that beyond ONB the refrigerant mass flux exhibits rather slight effects on the boiling curve. But in the single-phase region the heated wall temperature is somewhat affected by the refrigerant mass flux. Note that at a higher mass flux the imposed heat flux needed to initiate ONB is larger.

Next, the effects of the inlet liquid subcooling on the subcooled boiling curves are manifested in Fig. 2(b). The results indicate that at $G = 200$ kg/m²s during ONB a substantial increase in the temperature undershoot occurs when the inlet liquid subcooling is raised from 6 °C to 13 °C. Thus a higher wall superheat is needed to initiate the boiling on the heated surface for a higher $\Delta T_{\text{sub}}$. We also observed in the experiment that at the higher $G$ of 300 kg/m²s the inlet liquid subcooling exhibits only slight influence on the magnitude of the temperature undershoot at ONB. It is also noted from Fig. 2(b) that beyond ONB the boiling curves are not affected to a significant degree by the subcooling in the nucleate boiling region. A similar trend is also noted by Ammerman and You for lower $G$ [22,23]. It is evident that a higher imposed heat flux is needed to initiate boiling on the heated surface for a higher inlet liquid subcooling for a given $G$. However, in the single-phase region a higher liquid subcooling results in a higher heat transfer from the wall to the refrigerant so that at a given wall superheat the imposed heat flux is significantly higher for a higher liquid inlet subcooling. This reflects the fact that at a given wall superheat the temperature difference between the wall and bulk liquid increases with the inlet subcooling.

Finally, the effects of the duct gap on the boiling curves are shown in Fig. 2(c). Note that a substantial reduction in the temperature undershoot during ONB occurs when the duct gap is reduced from 2 to 1 mm. Thus a lower wall superheat is needed to initiate the boiling on the heated surface for a smaller $\delta$. This mainly results from the fact that for given $G$, $q$, $T_{\text{sat}}$ and $\Delta T_{\text{sub}}$ the mass flow rate through the duct is lower for a smaller $\delta$. Thus the axial temperature rise of the refrigerant flow is larger for a smaller $\delta$, which in

![Fig. 3. Subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient of R-134a (a) for various refrigerant mass fluxes at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C, $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 13$ °C and $\delta = 1$ mm, (b) for various inlet subcoolings at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C, $G = 200$ kg/m²s and $\delta = 2$ mm, and (c) for various gap sizes at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C, $G = 200$ kg/m²s and $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6$ °C.](image-url)
turn results in a smaller temperature difference between the heated wall and bulk refrigerant flow and hence a lower wall superheat at ONB. It is also noted that the boiling curves are shifted to the left in the nucleate boiling region as the gap size is decreased, indicating that the boiling heat transfer in the duct with a smaller gap is better. Moreover, a lower imposed heat flux is needed to initiate boiling on the heated surface for a duct with a smaller gap for a given G. However, in the single-phase region the effect of d on the boiling curves is slight. It is also noted in the experiment that the effects of the refrigerant pressure (saturated temperature) on the boiling curves are slight except at low refrigerant mass flux.

### 4.2. Subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficients

The subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficients of R-134a measured at the middle axial location (z = 80 mm) in the narrow annular duct affected by the three experimental parameters are shown in Fig. 3. The results in Fig. 3(a) indicate that the increase of the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient with the imposed heat flux is relatively significant at the high inlet liquid subcooling of 13 °C in the narrower duct with d = 1 mm. Besides, the refrigerant mass flux exhibits a negligible effect on the boiling heat transfer coefficient. However, the boiling heat transfer is much better for a smaller inlet liquid subcooling (Fig. 3(b)). For instance, at $q = 40 \text{ kW/m}^2$, $T_{\text{sat}} = 15 ^\circ C$, $G = 200 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{s}$ and $\delta = 2 \text{ mm}$, $h_t$ for $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6 ^\circ C$ is about 40% higher than that for $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 13 ^\circ C$ (Fig. 3(b)). It is of interest to note from the data in Fig. 3(c) that reducing the duct size can effectively enhance the subcooled boiling heat transfer in the duct. For the specific case with $q = 40 \text{ kW/m}^2$, $T_{\text{sat}} = 15 ^\circ C$ and $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6 ^\circ C$, $h_t$ for $\delta = 1 \text{ mm}$ is about 30% higher than that for $\delta = 2 \text{ mm}$ (Fig. 3(c)). This is considered to result mainly from the fact that in the narrower duct the radial gradient of the liquid axial velocity is larger, which in turn exerts higher shear force on the bubbles and causes them to depart from the heating surface at a higher rate. This obviously enhances the boiling heat transfer effectively.

### 4.3. Bubble behavior

When the wall superheat exceeds the incipient boiling temperature, it is noted in the experiment that tiny bubbles form on the active nucleation sites and grow continuously until they depart from the heating surface. At low imposed heat flux the bubble growth and departure are somewhat regular and the bubbles are nearly spherical in shape. The bubble formation, growth and detachment processes in the duct obviously depend on the flow and thermal con-
ditions and on the geometry of the active cavities on the heating surface. To illustrate the bubble behavior in the entire duct, photos of the boiling flow from the side and top view covering the whole duct for the case with \( G = 200 \, \text{kg/m}^2\text{s}, \quad T_{sat} = 15^\circ\text{C}, \quad \delta = 2 \, \text{mm}, \quad \Delta T_{sub} = 6^\circ\text{C}, \quad \text{and} \quad q = 40 \, \text{kw/m}^2\text{m}^2 \) are shown in Fig. 4. The results clearly indicate that the onset of nucleate boiling first appears at the lower part of the heating surface. A longer axial distance is needed for ONB at the upper part of the surface. This is due to the difference in the buoyancy effect in different parts of the duct. More specifically, in the lower portion of the duct the flow is heated from above and hence is thermally stable. This in turn results in a lower convection heat transfer coefficient and obviously the heated surface temperature is higher for a fixed wall heat flux. This higher \( T_w \) causes the earlier inception of the bubbles from the surface in the lower portion of the duct. Besides, the bubble motions in the upper and lower portion of the duct are significantly different. On the upper part of the heated surface, bubbles are noted to either lift off directly from the active nucleation sites or slide for a short distance, and then accelerate to a greater speed over that of the surrounding bulk liquid flow. Collision and coalescence of bubbles are insignificant. But in the low portion of the duct, the bubbles departing from the nucleation sites slide circumferentially along the heating surface. Thorncroft and Klausner [24] pointed out that the bubble sliding could enhance the FC-87 flow boiling heat transfer. It is also noted in the present study that the collision and coalescence of bubbles are rather intense except at a low imposed heat flux.

The characteristics of bubbles in the subcooled flow boiling in a small region around the middle axial location \((z = 80 \, \text{mm})\) of the annular duct are illustrated in Fig. 5 by showing the side view photos taken from the cases for different imposed heat fluxes, refrigerant mass fluxes, duct sizes and inlet subcoolings. The selected region is marked in Fig. 4(a). Some qualitative features of the bubble motion can be manifested by the flow photos. First of all, the bubbles at the low \( q \) of 25 \( \text{kw/m}^2\text{m}^2 \) for the case with \( T_{sat} = 15^\circ\text{C}, \quad G = 200 \, \text{kg/m}^2\text{s}, \quad \delta = 2 \, \text{mm} \) and \( \Delta T_{sub} = 6^\circ\text{C} \) can be seen from Fig. 5(a). Checking with the video tapes recording the long time bubble motion reveals that the bubbles form and grow at the active nucleation sites while they experience a short period of stationary growth to certain critical sizes. Then the bubbles detach from the heating surface and accelerate in the subcooled liquid. As the imposed heat flux is increased slightly to \( q = 35 \, \text{kw/m}^2\text{m}^2 \) (Fig. 5(b)), more bubbles are nucleated on the heating surface and bubbles are occasionally observed to collide and coalesce. The coalescence bubbles are larger and rise faster than the tiny bubbles due to the larger buoyancy force associated with them. As the heat flux is raised to \( q = 45 \, \text{kw/m}^2\text{m}^2 \) (Fig. 5(c)), coalescence of the bubbles occurs irregularly. In fact, the large coalescence bubbles are highly deformed. At even higher heat fluxes the bubble nucleation density becomes too large to visually distinguish the individual nucleation sites. In general, increasing the imposed heat flux directly provides more energy to the cavities and more cavities on the heating surface can be activated. Besides, the bubble departure frequency is also found to increase substantially with the imposed heat flux. Moreover, the bubble departure diameter increases slightly with the imposed heat flux due to the resulting higher wall superheat. Note that the buoyancy and shear force cause the bubbles to lift off and depart from the heating surface, but the surface tension tends to keep the bubbles to stay on the heating surface.

Next, Fig. 5(d)–(f) shows the bubble characteristics around the middle axial location affected by the refrigerant mass flux by presenting the photos for the higher \( G \) of 300 \( \text{kg/m}^2\text{s} \) but at the same \( q \), \( T_{sat} \), \( \delta \) and \( \Delta T_{sub} \) as that for Fig. 5(a)–(c). A close inspection of the corresponding photos and video tapes at different mass fluxes reveals that at a higher \( G \) the higher liquid speed can condense the bubbles intensively in the early stage of the bubble growth, resulting in a lower bubble departure frequency and smaller bubble departure diameter. Thus the partial nucleate boiling dominates in the flow at a high \( G \) and low \( q \). But the higher liquid speed for a higher \( G \) can sweep the bubbles away from the cavities in an easier way resulting in a higher bubble departure frequency. Besides, at a higher \( G \) the liquid temperature is lower for a given imposed heat flux at a given \( \Delta T_{sub} \). Hence less bubble nucleation is activated on the heated surface and the bubble nucleation density is lower.

Then, the bubble characteristics affected by the duct size are more revealed by comparing the photos in Fig. 5(a)–(c) for \( \delta = 2 \, \text{mm} \) with Fig. 5(g)–(i) for \( \delta = 1 \, \text{mm} \) at \( q = 25–45 \, \text{kw/m}^2\text{m}^2 \), \( G = 200 \, \text{kg/m}^2\text{s} \), \( T_{sat} = 15^\circ\text{C} \) and \( \Delta T_{sub} = 6^\circ\text{C} \). Due to the space limitation in the narrow duct for \( \delta = 1 \, \text{mm} \) bubbles are squeezed and deformed to some degree. Besides, at \( \delta = 1 \, \text{mm} \) more large bubbles, which result from the coalescence of the small bubbles, are found to slide along the heated surface for some distance before they detach from the heated surface. Moreover, these sliding bubbles have more time to absorb the thermal energy from the heated surface while sliding along the surface and therefore grow up to become bigger. Many large and deformed bubbles are noted to float in the upper part of the channel at high heat flux. As mentioned earlier, in the smaller duct the shear force in the subcooled liquid flow is stronger to sweep the growing bubbles more quickly away from the heating surface, which increases the bubble departing frequency.

Finally, the effects of the inlet liquid subcooling on the bubble characteristics are illustrated by comparing the photos shown in Fig. 5(j)–(l) for \( \Delta T_{sub} = 13^\circ\text{C} \) with Fig. 5(a)–(c) for \( \Delta T_{sub} = 6^\circ\text{C} \) at \( q = 25–45 \, \text{kw/m}^2\text{m}^2 \), \( G = 200 \, \text{kg/m}^2\text{s}, \quad \delta = 2 \, \text{mm} \) and \( T_{sat} = 15^\circ\text{C} \). In general, bubbles are larger at a lower subcooling. The larger bubbles are the consequences of the weaker vapor condensation and more bubble coalescence at a lower inlet subcooling. In addition, an increase in the inlet subcooling reduces the bubble departure frequency. This is due to the fact that
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Fig. 5. Photos of bubbles in the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a in a small region around middle axial location for $T_{\text{sat}} = 15^\circ\text{C}$ for various imposed heat flux, mass fluxes, gap sizes and inlet liquid subcoolings.
at a higher inlet liquid subcooling the temperature of the subcooled liquid–vapor interface is relatively low compared to the hot heated surface. Hence at the same imposed heat flux, the wall superheat is not high enough to sustain the continuing growth of the bubbles when the inlet liquid subcooling is high. Besides, the active nucleation sites decrease at increasing inlet subcooling. The above results clearly reveal the significant influences of the liquid inlet subcooling on the bubble characteristics.

To quantify the bubble characteristics, we move further to estimate the mean bubble departure diameter and frequency and the mean active nucleation site density on the heating surface by carefully tracing the motion of the bubbles from the images of the boiling flow stored in the video tapes. These quantitative data are examined in the following. The effects of the refrigerant mass flux, inlet subcooling, and duct size on the bubble departure diameter for the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a in the small region at the middle axial location (z = 80 mm) of the annular duct are shown in Fig. 6. First, the data given in Fig. 6(a) indicate that the average bubble departure diameter is somewhat larger for a smaller refrigerant mass flux. Note that the effect of the refrigerant mass flux on the bubble departure diameter is more pronounced at low imposed heat flux. Next, it is observed from Fig. 6(b) that the average bubble departure diameter is noticeably larger for a smaller liquid subcooling especially at low imposed heat flux. Finally, the results shown in Fig. 6(c) manifest that the average departing bubbles are larger in the smaller duct. According to the present experimental data, the effects of $T_{\text{sat}}$ on $d_p$ are slight.

How the experimental parameters affect the mean bubble departure frequency for the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a are illustrated in Fig. 7. First, the data shown in Fig. 7(a) indicate that the average bubble departure frequency is higher for a larger refrigerant mass flux especially at high imposed heat flux. At $q = 40$ kW/m$^2$, $T_{\text{sat}} = 15^\circ C$, $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6^\circ C$ and $\delta = 1$ mm, the average bubble departure frequency for $G = 300$ kg/m$^2$s is about 44% higher than that for $G = 200$ kg/m$^2$s (Fig. 7(a)). Next, it is noted from Fig. 7(b) that the average bubble departure frequency is somewhat higher for a smaller liquid subcooling. Fig. 7(c) shows that the average bubble departure frequency is higher for the smaller duct. The effect is more prominent at a high imposed heat flux. It is also noted in the experiment that the refrigerant saturated temperature exhibits rather slight effects on the bubble departure frequency.

The mean number density of the active bubble nucleation sites affected by the experimental parameters in the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a is shown in Fig. 8. The results in Fig. 8(a) indicate that the average active nucleation site density is significantly higher for a smaller refrigerant mass flux. Next, Fig. 8(b) shows that the average active nucleation site density is somewhat higher for a smaller liquid subcooling. As an example, at

![Fig. 6](image-url)  
Fig. 6. Mean bubble departure diameter for subcooled flow boiling of R-134a (a) for various refrigerant mass fluxes at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15^\circ C$, $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6^\circ C$ and $\delta = 1$ mm, (b) for various inlet subcoolings at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15^\circ C$, $G = 200$ kg/m$^2$s and $\delta = 1$ mm, and (c) for various gap sizes at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15^\circ C$, $G = 200$ kg/m$^2$s and $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6^\circ C$. 
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Fig. 7. Mean bubble departure frequency for subcooled flow boiling of R-134a (a) for various refrigerant mass fluxes at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C, $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6$ °C and $\delta = 1$ mm, (b) for various inlet subcoolings at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C, $G = 200$ kg/m$^2$s and $\delta = 1$ mm, and (c) for various gap sizes at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C, $G = 200$ kg/m$^2$s and $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6$ °C.

Fig. 8. Mean active nucleation site density for subcooled flow boiling of R-134a (a) for various refrigerant mass fluxes at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C, $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 13$ °C and $\delta = 1$ mm, (b) for various inlet subcoolings at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C, $G = 300$ kg/m$^2$s and $\delta = 1$ mm, and (c) for various gap sizes at $T_{\text{sat}} = 15$ °C, $G = 300$ kg/m$^2$s and $\Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6$ °C.
\[ q = 40 \text{ kW/m}^2, \quad \Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6^\circ \text{C}, \quad \delta = 1 \text{ mm}, \quad \text{the average active nucleation site density for} \]
\[ \Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 6^\circ \text{C} \text{ is about 21\% higher than that for} \]
\[ \Delta T_{\text{sub}} = 13^\circ \text{C}. \text{Finally, the data in Fig. 8(c) manifest that} \]
\[ \text{the average active nucleation site density is higher to some degree in a smaller duct especially at a high imposed heat} \]
\[ \text{flux and a lower } \Delta T_{\text{sub}}. \text{The refrigerant saturated temperature is also found to have negligible effects on the active} \]
\[ \text{bubble nucleation site density.} \]

4.4. Correlation equations

An empirical equation to correlate the present data of the heat transfer coefficient in the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a in the horizontal annular duct with a narrow gap is proposed here. The total heat flux input to the boiling flow \( q \) is considered to consist of two parts: one resulting from the bubble nucleation \( q_b \) and another due to the single-phase liquid forced convection \( q_c \). Thus

\[ q_i = q_b + q_c \quad (2) \]

Here \( q_b \) and \( q_c \) can be respectively calculated from the quantitative data for the bubble characteristics presented above and single-phase forced convection as

\[ q_b = \rho_v \cdot V_g \cdot f \cdot N_{\text{ac}} \cdot i_{lg} \quad (3) \]

and

\[ q_c = E \cdot h_{lb}(T_w - T_r) \quad (4) \]

Note that in the above equation an enhancement factor \( E \) is added to \( q_c \) to account for the agitating motion of the bubbles which can enhance the single-phase convection heat transfer. Empirically, \( E \) and \( h_{lb} \) can be correlated as

\[ E = 20N_{\text{conf}}^{0.65} \cdot Fr^{0.75} \cdot (1 - 250Bo)^{2.6} \quad (5) \]

and

\[ h_{lb} = Nu \cdot k_l/D_h \quad (6) \]

Note that \( Nu \) is estimated from the Gnielinski correlation,

\[ Nu = \frac{(f_l/2)(Re_l - 1000)Pr_l}{1.07 + 12.7\sqrt{f_l/2(Pr^{0.33} - 1)}} \quad (7) \]

Here \( f_l \) is the friction factor and is correlated as

\[ f_l = (1.58 \ln Re_l - 3.28)^{-2} \quad (8) \]

where \( \rho_v \) is the vapor density, \( V_g \) is the vapor volume of the departing bubble which is equal to \( \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{4}{7} \right)^3 \), \( f \) is bubble departure frequency, \( N_{\text{ac}} \) is the active nucleation site density, \( i_{lg} \) is the enthalpy of vaporization. Because the experimental \( Re_l \) ranges from 1600 to 5000, we use the Gnielinski correlation to evaluate the single-phase forced convection heat transfer. At a higher imposed heat flux for \( q > 40 \text{ kW/m}^2 \), it is difficult to distinguish the individual bubbles and the above correlations do not apply.

To enable the usage of the above correlation for computing the flow boiling heat transfer, the mean bubble size and departure frequency and the active nucleation site density on the heating surface need to be correlated in advance. The average bubble departure diameter in the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a in the narrow annular duct estimated from the present flow visualization can be correlated as

\[ D_b = \frac{d_p}{\sqrt{\sigma/g \cdot \Delta \rho}} = \frac{315 \cdot N_{\text{conf}} \left( \rho_l/\rho_v \right)^{0.333}}{Re_1^{0.5} \cdot \left[ Ja + \frac{165 \left( \rho_l/\rho_v \right)^{1.133}}{Bo_{\text{conf}}} \right]} \quad (9) \]

Fig. 9 shows that almost all the present experimental data for \( d_p \) fall within \( \pm 25\% \) of the above correlation and the mean absolute error is 14.3%. Besides, an empirical equation is proposed for the product of the mean bubble departure diameter and frequency as

\[ F_d = \frac{f \cdot d_p}{\mu_l/(\rho_lD_h)} = 1642 \cdot Re_1^{0.887} \cdot Ja^{-0.05} \cdot Bo^{0.887} \cdot N_{\text{conf}}^{0.01} \quad (10) \]

Note that more than 90% of the experimental data for \( f \cdot d_p \) collected in this study can be correlated within \( \pm 25\% \) by Eq. (10) and the mean absolute error is 16.2% (Fig. 10). Finally, we propose an empirical correlation for the average active nucleation site density in the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a as

\[ N_{\text{ac}} = 80352 + 8034 \cdot \Delta T_{\text{sat}}^{0.67} \cdot N_{\text{conf}}^{0.51} \quad (11) \]

Fig. 11 shows that the present experimental data fall within \( \pm 30\% \) of the above correlation and the mean absolute error is 22.8%.
When the correlations for $d_p$, $f$, and $N_{ac}$ given in Eqs. (9)–(11) are combined with Eqs. (2)–(8) for $q_t$, more than 90% of the heat transfer data measured in the present study fall within ±30% of the correlation proposed here with a mean deviation of 14.8% (Fig. 12).

### 5. Concluding remarks

The experimental heat transfer data and associated bubble behavior for the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a in the horizontal narrow annular ducts have been presented here. The effects of the imposed heat flux, refrigerant mass flux, inlet subcooling, and duct size on the subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient and associated bubble characteristics have been examined in detail. In addition, empirical equations to correlate the measured heat transfer data and bubble characteristics are provided. The major results obtained in the present study can be summarized in the following.

1. The temperature overshoot at ONB is significant for the subcooled flow boiling of R-134a in the narrow annular duct.
2. The R-134a subcooled flow boiling heat transfer coefficient increases with a decrease in the duct size, but decreases with an increase in the inlet subcooling. Besides, raising the imposed heat flux can cause a significant increase in the boiling heat transfer coefficients. However, the effects of the refrigerant mass flux and saturated temperature on the boiling heat transfer coefficient are small.
3. Correlation equations are provided for the boiling heat transfer coefficient, bubble departure diameter, bubble departure frequency and active nucleation site density in the R-134a subcooled flow boiling.
4. Visualization of the bubble motion in the boiling flow reveals that the bubbles are suppressed to become smaller and less dense by raising the refrigerant mass flux and inlet subcooling. Moreover, raising the
imposed heat flux produces positive effects on the bubble population, coalescence and departure frequency.
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