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SUMMARY In this paper, we propose a computationally efficient method to solve the large dimension Adaptive Subcarrier Assignment and Bit Allocation (ASABA) problem of multiuser orthogonal frequency division multiplexing system. Our algorithm consists of three Ordinal Optimization (OO) stages to find a good enough solution to the considered problem. First of all, we reformulate the considered problem to separate it into subcarrier assignment and bit allocation problem such that the objective function of a feasible subcarrier assignment pattern is the corresponding optimal bit allocation for minimizing the total consumed power. Then in the first stage, we develop an approximate objective function to evaluate the performance of a subcarrier assignment pattern and use a genetic algorithm to search through the huge solution space and select the best subcarrier assignment patterns based on the approximate objective values. In the second stage, we employ an off-line trained artificial neural network to estimate the objective values of the best subcarrier assignment patterns obtained in stage 1 and select the best patterns. In the third stage, we use the exact objective function to evaluate the best subcarrier assignment patterns obtained in stage 2, and the best one associated with the corresponding optimal bit allocation is the good enough solution that we seek. We apply our algorithm to numerous cases of large-dimension ASABA problems and compare the results with those obtained by four existing algorithms. The test results show that our algorithm is the best in both aspects of solution quality and computational efficiency.
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1. Introduction

The Adaptive Subcarrier Assignment and Bit Allocation (ASABA) for multiuser Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) system has been studied for a number of years. This issue is initialized by Wong et al. in [1] and is formulated as a nonlinear integer programming problem to minimize the total power consumption while satisfying the users' data communication request and system's constraints. Since then, various heuristic methods, ranging from the more computation-time consuming and global-like mathematical programming based approaches [1], [2] to the less computation-time consuming and more local-like two module scheme [3], two-step subcarrier assignment approaches [4], [5] and iterative grouping scheme [6], were proposed to cope with this NP-hard constrained combinatorial optimization problem.

In recent years, due to the considerable increase in the number of mobile users in wireless network, and the expanding capacity of the multiuser OFDM system to meet the increasing wireless communication demand, the dimension of the ASABA problem is growing. The increasing dimension will (i) reverse the computational complexity of the already time consuming mathematical programming based approaches [1], [2] and (ii) enlarge the discrete solution space, which will degrade the quality of the solutions obtained by the more local-like approaches [3]–[6] as well as the corresponding computation time. Thus, dealing with large-dimension ASABA problem is a challenging issue in wireless communication, and the purpose of this paper is proposing a computationally efficient method to solve the considered problem for a good enough solution. The quality of the solution obtained by the mathematical programming based approach [1] is considered to be one of the best so far. However, they arbitrarily round the optimal continuous subcarrier assignment pattern off to the closest discrete values may cause infeasibility problem and not guarantee to be a good solution, if feasible. To avoid the undesirable effect caused by rounding off, we will handle the discrete solution space directly and use a global-like approach. However, the global searching techniques [7] such as Genetic Algorithm (GA), Simulated Annealing method, Tabu Search method and Evolutionary Programming are not adequate here because of their tremendous computation time, which is even worse than the mathematical programming based approach due to (i) evaluating the objective value of a feasible subcarrier assignment pattern is time consuming, (ii) handling the constraints is not an easy task and (iii) the size of the discrete solution space is huge. Evaluating the exact performance (i.e. the objective value) of a feasible subcarrier assignment pattern is a conventional “value” concept. However, it is indicated in a recently developed optimization technique, the Ordinal Optimization (OO) theory [8], [9], that the performance order of discrete solutions is likely preserved even evaluated by a surrogate model. In other words, the OO theory claims that there is high probability that we can find the actual good discrete solutions if we limit ourselves to the top n% of the estimated good discrete solutions evaluated by a surrogate model [10]. Thus, to retain the merit of global searching technique while avoiding the cumbersome
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conventional performance evaluation of a discrete solution, our approach is based on OO theory to solve the considered problem for a good enough solution with high probability using limited computation time.

Our approach consists of three OO stages. First of all, we will reformulate the considered problem to separate it into subcarrier assignment and bit allocation problem such that the objective function of a feasible subcarrier assignment pattern is the corresponding optimal bit allocation for minimizing the total consumed power. Then, in the first stage, we will develop an easy-to-evaluate approximate objective function to estimate the objective value of a subcarrier assignment pattern and employ a GA to search through the huge discrete solution space to find the top s subcarrier assignment patterns based on the estimated objective values. In the meantime, a subtle representation scheme and a repair operator for GA need be designed to handle the constraints of the considered problem. In the second stage, we use an off-line trained Artificial Neural Network (ANN) to estimate the objective values of the s subcarrier assignment patterns obtained in stage 1 and pick the top l patterns based on the estimated objective value. In the third stage, we use the exact objective function to evaluate the l subcarrier assignment patterns obtained in stage 2, and the best one associated with the corresponding optimal bit allocation is the good enough solution that we seek. In the proposed three-stage approach, the models employed to evaluate a solution are varying from very rough (stage 1) to exact (stage 3). In the meantime, the candidate solution space is reduced from the original huge solution space (stage 1) to only l candidate solutions (stage 3). In general, a more accurate approximate objective function will take more time to evaluate a solution; however as can be seen from our three-stage approach, when a more accurate approximate objective function is used, the search space is already reduced considerably, and the computation time is largely reduced accordingly.

Our paper is organized in the following manner. In Sect. 2, we will state the considered problem and its formulation. In Sect. 3, we will present our three OO stages to solve the considered problem. In Sect. 4, we will apply our algorithm to numerous large-dimension ASABA cases and compare with some existing algorithms in the aspects of solution quality and computation time. Finally, we will draw a conclusion in Sect. 5.

2. Problem Statement and Reformulation

2.1 Problem Statement

As shown in Fig. 1, we assume that the system has K users to share N subcarriers. Each user’s data rate request will be allocated to a nonoverlapping set of subcarriers and distributed among them. It is also assumed that a subcarrier cannot be shared by more than one user.

In the transmitter part of Fig. 1, the serial data from K users are fed into the block represented by the proposed ASABA algorithm. The algorithm will be executed in every allocating period to assign the set of subcarriers to each user and the number of bits to be transmitted on each assigned subcarrier based on the updated channel information of all users. Then proper modulation scheme and transformation scheme will be used to transform the assigned bits into time domain samples, which will be transmitted to the receivers by different frequency selective fading channels to different users as shown in Fig. 1.

We assume the subcarrier assignment and bit allocation information is sent to the receivers via a separate control channel. For the sake of simplicity, we only show the receiver part of one user in Fig. 1. The received time domain samples of the kth user is transformed back and demodulated to bits from the subcarriers assigned to the kth user based on the subcarrier assignment and bit allocation information.

In this paper, we focus on proposing a computationally efficient method, the ASABA algorithm, to solve the following ASABA problem of the multiuser OFDM system† for a good enough feasible solution.

\[
\min_{c_{k,n}} P_T \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\rho_{k,n}}{\alpha_{k,n}} f_k(c_{k,n}) \right)
\]

subject to \(0 \leq c_{k,n} \leq M\), for all \(k, n\),

\[
R_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_{k,n} c_{k,n}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, K,
\]

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \rho_{k,n} = 1, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N,
\]

\[
\rho_{k,n} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \text{for all } k \text{ and } n
\]

where \(K\) denotes the number of users in the system that con-

†There are two types of formulations on this issue. One is the Margin Adaptive (MA) optimization, whose formulation is (1), and the other is the Rate Adaptive (RA) optimization, which maximizes the data rate under a power constraint [2]. Kim et al. had shown in [2] that the RA optimization problem can be solved via recursive MA optimization.
sists of $N$ subcarriers for data transmission; $\alpha_{k,n}$ is the channel gain for user $k$ using subcarrier $n$, and $\frac{\rho_{k,n}}{\rho_{k,n}}$ denotes the required transmission power for user $k$ to use subcarrier $n$; $P_T$ denotes the total transmission power to be minimized; $\rho_{k,n} \in \{0, 1\}$ is an indicator variable such that $\rho_{k,n} = 1$ means subcarrier $n$ is assigned to user $k$, and 0 otherwise; in the meantime, a subcarrier can be occupied by at most one user as described by the second equality constraint; $R_k$ (bits per OFDM symbol) denotes the requested data rate of the $k$th user; $c_{k,n}$, an integer, denotes the number of bits of the $k$th subcarrier to the $n$th subcarrier, and $M$ denotes the maximum available number of bits in a subcarrier; thus the first equality constraint implies that the subcarrier assignment and bit allocation should meet the user’s data rate request. Clearly, (1) is a nonlinear integer programming problem or a constrained combinatorial optimization problem, because $\rho_{k,n}$ and $c_{k,n}$ are integers for all $k$, $n$, and $P_T$ is nonlinear.

We assume the solution of (1) exists, which is equivalent to the following assumption: there are enough subcarriers to meet the data rate request of all users, i.e. the following inequality hold

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} R_k \leq M$$

(2)

because a subcarrier cannot be shared by more than one user. Notation $\lceil y \rceil$ in (2) denotes the integer closest to $y$ on the right-hand side.

Remark 1: (i) The situation that the solution of (1) does not exist, i.e. assumption (2) does not hold is beyond the scope of this paper. (ii) For the extreme case that $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{R_k}{M} = N$ and the spectrum is fixed for each user, (1) becomes a very simple optimal bit allocation problem and can be readily solved by the existing greedy algorithm [11]. However, methods proposed in this paper and [1]–[4] aim to solve ASABA problem, (1), which is much more complicated than the above mentioned extreme case.

2.2 Reformulation

To develop an approximate objective function for a subcarrier assignment pattern, we need to reformulate (1). We let $\rho$, $c$, $a$ and $R$ denote the vectors $[\rho_{k,n}]$, $[c_{k,n}]$, $[\alpha_{k,n}]$, $k = 1, \ldots, K, n = 1, \ldots, N$, and $[R_k]$, $k = 1, \ldots, K$, respectively, and define $C(\rho)$, the feasible set of bit allocation $c$ for a given $\rho$, as

$$C(\rho) = \left\{ c | 0 \leq c_{k,n} \leq M \text{ and } R_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_{k,n} c_{k,n}, \right\}$$

(3)

$$k = 1, \ldots, K, n = 1, \ldots, N, \text{ for a given } \rho$$

Now for a given subcarrier assignment pattern $\rho$ that satisfies $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \rho_{k,n} = 1$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$ and $\rho_{k,n} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $k$ and $n$, (1) becomes an optimal bit allocation problem under the given $\rho$ that is to find the optimal $c$ of the following problem:

$$\min_c \sum_{c_{k,n} \in C(\rho)} f_k(c_{k,n})$$

subject to $c \in C(\rho)$

$$C(\rho) = \left\{ c | 0 \leq c_{k,n} \leq M \text{ and } R_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_{k,n} c_{k,n}, \right\}$$

subject to $c \in C(\rho)$

$$C(\rho) = \left\{ c | 0 \leq c_{k,n} \leq M \text{ and } R_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_{k,n} c_{k,n}, \right\}$$

where the constraint $C(\rho) \neq \emptyset$ represents the existence of feasible bit allocation $c$ for the given $\rho$. Note that the assumption, (2), of our problem does not imply $C(\rho) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\rho$ that satisfies $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \rho_{k,n} = 1$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$ and $\rho_{k,n} \in \{0, 1\}$; for example, in an extreme case that $\rho_{1,1} = \rho_{1,2} = \ldots = \rho_{1,N} = 1$ and the rest of $\rho_{k,n} = 0$, then if (2) is satisfied but $R_k \neq 0$ for any $k \neq 1$, we have $C(\rho) = \emptyset$ for the given $\rho$. However, the assumption (2) guarantees that there exists $\rho$ such that $C(\rho) \neq \emptyset$. In fact, the constraint $C(\rho) \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent to the inequality constraints $R_k \leq M \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_{k,n}, \ k = 1, \ldots, K$. Thus, we can rewrite (1) into the following form:

$$\min_\rho \left\{ \min_c \sum_{c_{k,n} \in C(\rho)} f_k(c_{k,n}) \mid c \in C(\rho) \right\}$$

subject to $R_k \leq M \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_{k,n}, \ k = 1, \ldots, K$

(3)

$$R_k \leq M \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_{k,n}, \ k = 1, \ldots, K$$

(5)

(5) can be viewed as a separation of subcarrier assignment and bit allocation problem, because the optimization problem inside the big bracket is the optimal bit allocation problem for a given feasible $\rho$ that satisfies all the constraints in (5), and the overall problem is finding the best feasible $\rho$ associated with an optimal bit allocation. Furthermore, the optimal bit allocation problem is separable for a given feasible $\rho$, because its objective function $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\rho_{k,n}}{\rho_{k,n}} c_k c_k$ as well as the constraints $c \in C(\rho)$ are separable. Thus we can decompose it into the following $K$ independent subproblems: For $k = 1, \ldots, K$,

$$\min_{c_{k,n} \in C(\rho)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\rho_{k,n}}{\rho_{k,n}} f_k(c_{k,n})$$

subject to $0 \leq c_{k,n} \leq M, \ n = 1, \ldots, N$,

$$R_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_{k,n}$$

(6)

Clearly (5) is a constrained combinatorial optimization problem with (i) hard to evaluate objective function, because the objective function $\min_{c \in C(\rho)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\rho_{k,n}}{\rho_{k,n}} f_k(c_{k,n})$ itself is an optimization problem, (ii) equality and inequality constraints involving integers and (iii) huge discrete solution space for $\rho$ as long as $K$ and $N$ are large.

Remark 2: As indicated previously, the assumption (2)
is to assume that the solution of (1) exists. Since (5) is equivalent to (1), the assumption (2) should also apply to (5) to assume the solution of (5) exists. It should be noted that no additional assumption is needed to derive (5) from (1). Furthermore, the decomposition of the objective function of (5), i.e. the term inside the big bracket of (5), into (6) has nothing to do with the assumption (2). This decomposition simply says that for a given feasible , the optimal bit allocation for individual user is independent of each other.

3. The Three-Stage Ordinal Optimization (OO) Approach — The ASABA Algorithm

The proposed ASABA algorithm for solving (5), or (1) equivalently, consists of three OO stages as stated in the following.

3.1 Stage 1: Using GA to Select Top s Subcarrier Assignment Patterns Based on an Easy-to-Evaluate Approximate Objective Function

As shown in (6) that the objective function of (5) for a given feasible can be decomposed into independent optimal bit allocation subproblems. We let denote the total number of subcarriers assigned to and the average power consumption coefficient of user , respectively. Then, we use the following to approximate the optimal power consumed by user , i.e. the optimal objective value of (6), for the given . We assume the total data rate request of user is distributed equally to the assigned subcarriers, i.e. setting for each assigned subcarrier, and assume each of the subcarriers has the same power consumption coefficient defined above, then the power consumed by user , denoted by , can be computed by . Consequently, we can obtain the approximate total consumed power for the given , denoted by , by calculating , which will serve as the approximate objective function of (5).

Then, to use GA as a global searching technique, we need to define a representation scheme to map all the that satisfy into a set of chromosomes first [7], [12, Ch.14]. Let the alphabet of the representation scheme be the set . We define the chromosome as a string of symbols, , such that the th symbol , which takes an element from the alphabet, indicates the user that subcarrier is assigned to. In other words, means , means , and for all . This representation scheme ensures that are in [0, 1] and satisfies . However not all chromosomes can satisfy the inequality constraints required in (5). The required number of subcarriers for user to meet the inequality constraint is at least . We define if and otherwise. Thus, the number of subcarriers assigned to user in a chromosome is . To meet the inequality constraint, the following has to hold

We define , then if , then implies the number of subcarriers assigned to user is enough or surplus, and implies the other way. Therefore, for a given we can compute for and order them in an ascending sequence , where the ordered indices are and we have no order preference for the ’s with the same values of . Thus if , implies satisfies (7) for all and is feasible.

Suppose , then is infeasible. Such an infeasibility problem may occur to any newly generated chromosomes, resulted from initial population generation, crossover operations, and mutation operations, and can be resolved by a repair operator, which is designed to recover the infeasible chromosome to a feasible one as stated in the following. Under the assumption that we have enough subcarriers to meet all users’ data rate request, (2), if , there must exist such that , , , , and . Thus, we can reassign the surplus subcarriers of users to users by making the insufficient subcarriers to the random user , that is randomly pick an from all ’s with and reset the picked as . When all surplus subcarriers of user are reassigned, we proceed with picking the surplus subcarriers of user and so forth. Similarly, when the number of insufficient subcarriers of user is made up, we proceed with making up the insufficient subcarriers of user and so forth. The above process will continue until the number of insufficient subcarriers of user is made up. Then the resulting will be feasible. Based on this repair operator, we may describe the employed GA to solve (5) in the following.

We randomly generate , say 200, chromosomes such that each symbol of each chromosome is assigned by an element randomly selected from the alphabet, , and apply the repair operator to them. The resulting feasible chromosomes will serve as the initial population of the employed GA. To evaluate the fitness of a chromosome based on the above mentioned approximate total power consumption, we first compute , and , and for every . Then, the fitness of will be , where . Based on the fitness values of all chromosomes in the population pool, we use roulette wheel selection scheme to select chromosomes into the mating pool, from which we select chromosomes to serve as the parents for crossover. The probability that a chromosome is selected as a parent is , say 0.7.

We apply a single point crossover scheme to the se-
lected parents, and the generated offsprings may be infeasible as indicated previously. Therefore we will apply the repair operator to each generated offspring, and the resulting feasible offsprings will replace the corresponding parents in the mating pool. Subsequently, we will apply the mutation operation to each chromosome in the mating pool with mutation probability $p_m$, say 0.02. Any changed chromosome after mutation operation may also be infeasible, and we will apply the repair operator to it. Consequently, the resulting chromosomes in the mating pool after the above evolution process will be the population pool of next iteration.

The above process completes one iteration of our GA. We stop the GA when the number of iterations exceeds 60. After the applied GA converges, we rank the final $l$ chromosomes (i.e. u’s) based on their fitness values and pick the best $s$ (50) u’s. We can then convert these $s$ chromosomes into the subcarrier assignment patterns $\rho$’s in the following manner: $\rho_{k,\alpha} = \delta_l(u_n)$ for all $k$ and $n$. Then these converted $\rho$’s are the subcarrier assignment patterns determined in this stage, and they are feasible for (5).

Remark 3: Based on [10], larger $s$ will consist of more actual good subcarrier assignment patterns. However, larger $s$ may cause more computation time for further evaluation. Thus, the value of $s$ should be determined based on the available computation budget to obtain and the required goodness of the good enough solution. In the current application, the computation time is of more concern.

3.2 Stage 2: Choose Top $l$ Subcarrier Assignment Patterns from the $s$ Based on an ANN Model

Since evaluating the $s$ $\rho$’s obtained in Stage 1 using the exact objective function is still too time consuming, based on [8], we can trim the candidate solution set further using a more accurate approximate objective function. Therefore, we will employ a supervised learning ANN [13] to estimate the optimal power consumed by user $k$ and select top $l$ (=3) $\rho$’s from the $s$.

Remark 4: The value of $l$ is also determined based on a tradeoff between the computation time required to obtain and the goodness of the good enough solution.

This ANN is trained off-line using 5000 input/output pairs of data. The input data associated with user $k$ is the data rate request $R_k$, the number of subcarriers assigned to user $k$, $N_k$, and the power consumption coefficient $\alpha_{k,n}$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_k$, for the assigned $N_k$ subcarriers $n_1, \ldots, n_{N_k}$. However, the dimension of the vector $(R_k, \alpha_{k,n_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k,n_{N_k}})$ is large provided that $N_k$ is large. A large ANN, i.e. an ANN consisting of large number of neurons in both input and hidden layers, will consume more computation time to obtain the output even if it is trained off-line. Although larger ANN can serve as a more accurate function approximator, what we care here is the performance order rather than the performance value. Therefore, for computation-time concern, we favor a simpler ANN. Since the values of $\alpha_{k,n_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k,n_{N_k}}$ may have some kind of distribution, to characterize these values without using the details, we may use the correspond-

3.3 Stage 3: Determine the Good Enough Subcarrier Assignment and Bit Allocation

Since there are only $l$ (=3) candidate feasible $\rho$’s left, we can use the exact objective function of (5) to calculate the objective value of each $\rho$. That is to solve the optimal bit allocation problem (6) for the given $\rho$ using the greedy algorithm mentioned above to obtain the optimal power consumption $P_k$ for user $k = 1, \ldots, K$. Then we calculate $P_T = \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_k$ for the given $\rho$. Consequently, the $\rho$ associated with the optimal bit allocation corresponding to the smallest $P_T$ among the $l$ feasible $\rho$’s will be the good enough solution of (1) that we look for.
4. Test Results and Comparisons

In this section, we will demonstrate the performance of the proposed ASABA algorithm on solving the large-dimension ASABA problem (5), which is equivalent to (1), in the aspects of solution quality and computational efficiency by comparing with other algorithms. We assume the OFDM system has 256 subcarriers (i.e. \( N = 256 \)), which can carry two, four, and six bits/symbol; therefore in this system \( M = 6 \). We adopt the following approximate formula employed in [1]–[4] for the \( f_k(c) \) in the transmission power \( \frac{P_T}{\alpha} \) shown in the objective function of (1):

\[
f_k(c) = \frac{N_0}{3} \left[ Q^{-1} \left( \frac{P_c}{4} \right) \right]^2 (2^c - 1) \tag{8}\]

where \( Q^{-1}(x) \) is the inverse function of

\[
Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt \tag{9}\]

\( P_c \) denotes Bit Error Rate (BER) and \( N_0 \) denotes the noise Power Spectral Density (PSD) level, and we set \( P_c = 10^{-4} \) and \( N_0 = 10^{-12} \) watt in the following simulations.

We use a frequency-selective channel consisting of six independent Rayleigh multipaths to model the wireless transmission channel, and each multipath is modeled by Clark’s flat fading model [17]. We assumed that the power delay profile is exponentially decaying with \( e^{-2\eta} \) where \( p = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \) and 5 denote the multipath index. Hence, the related power of the six multipath components are 0 dB, \(-8.69 \) dB, \(-17.37 \) dB, \(-26.06 \) dB, \(-34.74 \) dB, and \(-43.43 \) dB. We also assume the average subcarrier channel gain \( E[|a_{k,n}|^2] \) is unity for all \( k \) and \( n \). Based on the above assumptions, we can generate power consumption coefficients \( a_{k,n}, \) \( k = 1, \ldots, K \), \( n = 1, \ldots, N \), using MATLAB for our simulations.

We consider cases of various number of users for \( K = 10, 20, 30, 40, \) and 50. For each \( K \), we assume a fixed total data rate request \( R_T = 1024 \) bits/symbol and randomly generate \( R_k, k = 1, \ldots, K \), based on the constraint \( \sum_{k=1}^{K} R_k = R_T \). For each \( K \) and the associated \( R \), we randomly generate 5000 sets of \( a_{k,n}, k = 1, \ldots, K, n = 1, \ldots, N \), based on the above mentioned power consumption coefficient generation process and denote \( a' \) as the \( i \)th set in the 5000. With the above test setup, we apply our algorithm to solve (1) on a Pentium 2.4 GHz processor and 512 Mbytes RAM PC. We also apply the more global-like mathematical programming based approaches proposed by Wong et al. and Kim et al. in [1] and [2], respectively, and the more local-like two-module scheme and two-step subcarrier assignment approaches proposed by Ergen et al. and Zhang in [3] and [4], respectively, to the same test cases on the same PC. For the purpose of comparison, we can use the average bit Signal-to-Noise Ratio (\( abSNR \)) to replace \( P_T \), because \( abSNR \) is defined as the ratio of the average transmit power, \( P_c \), to the noise PSD level \( N_0 \). As we have assumed that all the data rates per symbol are fixed at \( R_T \), and the \( N_0 \) is just a constant, thus \( P_T \) is proportional to \( abSNR \).

Remark 5: As shown in (8), \( P_T \) consists of the term \( N_0 \). Therefore, the magnitude of \( N_0 \) employed in our tests is not relevant to the results of \( abSNR \), because the term \( N_0 \) will be cancelled out as noted in the definition of \( abSNR \).

For each \( K \) with the associated vector \( K \), we denote \( abSNR(k') \) as the resulted \( abSNR \) when \( k' \) is used and calculate \( abSNR = \sum_{k=1}^{5000} abSNR(k') \), where \( abSNR \) denotes the average of the 5000 \( abSNR \)’s for a given \( K \). The resulted \( abSNR \) for each \( K \) and each algorithm are shown in Fig. 2.

Form Fig. 2, we see that the \( abSNR \) obtained by our algorithm, which are marked by “△,” is smallest among all algorithms. Moreover, the result obtained by our algorithm is even better when the number of users increases as can be observed from Fig. 2.

Remark 6: The quality of the solution obtained by the approach proposed by Wong et al. in [1] is excellent and has been used as a comparing standard in most of the literature regarding ASABA problems [2], [4], [5]. We also manifest the quality of their solution in our simulations as shown in Fig. 2. The reason that supports their solution’s excellent quality is their global-like mathematical programming based approach as indicated in Sect. 1. They first employed a Lagrangian relaxation method to solve the continuous version of the ASABA problem then rounded the optimal continuous subcarrier assignment solution off to the closest integer solution. Such an arbitrarily rounding off may cause possible infeasibility and not theoretically guarantee to obtain a good solution, especially when the dimension of the ASABA problem is large. Dislike their approach, we handle the discrete solution space directly. In the first stage of our approach, our specially designed GA, which associates with a surrogate model for fast fitness evaluation, search through the whole feasible solution space to find some good feasible subcarrier assignment patterns. Thus, our approach is also global-like and will not cause any infeasibility problem. Then in the second and third stages, we use the ANN and...
exact models, respectively, to help pinpoint a good enough subcarrier assignment pattern associated with optimal bit allocation among the feasible solutions resulted in Stage 1. The arbitrarily rounding off technique employed in [1] is lack of theoretical support. However, the foundation of our approach is OO theory, which is a theoretically sound general methodology [8] and has several successful applications on the combinational optimization problems with huge discrete solution space [18]–[20].

We also show the average computation time for obtaining an abSNR for each K and each algorithm in Fig. 3. From this figure, we see that the average computation time obtained by our algorithm, which are around 100 milliseconds as marked by “△,” is also smallest among all algorithms. These results show that our algorithm outperforms the other four in both aspects of solution quality and computational efficiency. More importantly, when the number of users increases, the performance of our algorithm is even better. This demonstrates that our algorithm is most suitable for large-dimension ASABA problems.

Remark 7: The methods in [2]–[4] are proposed to overcome the computational complexity of the method in [1]. Indeed, the methods in [3], [4] are more computationally efficient than the methods in [1], [2] as shown in Fig. 3, because the former are local-like heuristic methods while the latter are global-like mathematical programming based approaches. In fact, the authors of [3] and [4] did not compare the computational efficiency of their methods with the method in [1] in their papers, because they take their methods being conceptually faster for granted. However, since the methods in [3], [4] are local-like methods, the computation time of each solution adjustment step is very short, but the improvement of the solution is limited. Hence their convergence rate will be degraded especially when the dimension of the ASABA problem is large. On the contrary, the computational complexity of our approach is less relevant to the size of the ASABA problem, because (i) the population size and number of iterations of the employed GA in stage 1 are fixed, (ii) the parameters s and l in stages 1 and 2, respectively, are fixed, and (iii) the structure of the ANN is also fixed. This is the reason why the computational efficiency of our algorithm can compete with the methods in [3], [4] in solving large-dimension ASABA problems. It is commonly understood that the comparisons based on CPU times may not be objective enough, however we can hardly obtain any analytical expression of the total consumed number of multiplications and additions of the methods in [1]–[4]. In fact, the CPU time is a commonly used tool for the comparisons of computational efficiency in similar subjects appearing in [5], [21], [22].

In previous comparisons, we have set the BER, $P_e = 10^{-4}$. It would be interesting to know how will the Quality-of-Service (QoS) requirement, i.e. various BER, affect the performance of our algorithm. Therefore, we have tested the five algorithms for $K = 10, 20, 30, 40$ and 50 with various $P_e$ ranging from $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-6}$ using randomly generated 5000 sets of $a_{k,l}, k = 1, \ldots, K, n = 1, \ldots, 256$, for each $K$. The conclusions on the performance for the five algorithms for various $K$ are similar. A typical one is shown in Fig. 4, which corresponds to $K = 40$. The abSNR obtained by our algorithm is marked by “△” in Fig. 4. We see that the performance of our algorithm is the best among the five in all cases of $P_e$, and when the QoS level is required higher (i.e. the value of $P_e$ is smaller), the performance of our algorithm is even better (i.e. smaller abSNR compared with the other four algorithms). This further demonstrates the superiority of the solution quality achieved by our algorithm.

5. Conclusion

We have proposed a computationally efficient three-stage OO approach to solve the large-dimension ASABA problem of multiuser OFDM system for a good enough solution. By looking into the insight of the ASABA problem (1), we reformulate it into (5) and develop an approximate objective function as well as a subtle representation scheme and
a repair operator for the GA employed in Stage 1, which makes our OO approach possible in handling the huge discrete solution space as well as the constraints. The easily computed surrogate models employed in Stages 1 and 2 help resolve the computation complexity caused by the hard-to-evaluate objective function. These factors contribute most to the computational efficiency of our algorithm. Furthermore, we have demonstrated the superiority of our algorithm by comparing with four existing algorithms through numerous test cases in the aspects of solution quality and computational efficiency. More importantly, our approach has wide range of applications in resource allocation problems of wireless network and communication.
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