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Abstract—A mathematical model of the circular-cut hypoid gear set has been developed herein to represent the tooth surface geometry of hypoid gears. It can be applied to simulate the tooth profiles manufactured by the Duplex Method, Helical Duplex Method, and Formate Method. By applying the computer graphics and the proposed mathematical model, the profile of the hypoid gear and the precision coordinates of any tooth surface point can be obtained. The coordinates of the tooth surface can be considered as the standard for checking the profile precision of the actual products. The proposed mathematical model of the hypoid gear can also be applied to the computer numerical controlled (CNC) machining, tooth contact analysis (TCA), and finite element stress analysis.

NOMENCLATURE

\begin{align*}
\text{A}, \text{B}, \text{C} & \quad \text{Auxiliary function defined in equation (33)} \\
\alpha_{ij} & \quad \text{Auxiliary function defined in equation (14) } (i = 1, \ldots, 3, \\
& \quad j = 1, \ldots, 3) \\
b_{ij} & \quad \text{Auxiliary function defined in equation (22) } (i = 1, \ldots, 3, \\
& \quad j = 1, \ldots, 4) \\
D_Z & \quad \text{Work head setting, increment of machine center to back, as shown in Figure 4b} \\
E_s & \quad \text{Sliding base movement, } E_s \text{ is equal to the slidding base setting minus helical motion movement, as shown in Figure 4c} \\
E_v & \quad \text{Vertical offset of the work head with respect to the machine center, as shown in Figure 4c} \\
L & \quad \text{Machine eccentric constant. } L = 3 \text{ inches for Gleason #106 hypoid generator, and } L = 4.875 \text{ inches for Gleason #122 hypoid generator} \\
L_s & \quad \text{Helical motion of the sliding base per radian} \\
n & \quad \text{Surface unit normal vector} \\
O_d & \quad \text{Machine center, as shown in Figure 4b} \\
O_d' & \quad \text{Crossing point of the vertical projection of the axes of two mating gears, as shown in Figure 4b} \\
O_e & \quad \text{Pitch apex, as shown in Figure 4b} \\
PAB & \quad \text{Distance measured from the crossing point of the vertical projection of the axes of mating gears to the pitch apex of the gear} \\
Q & \quad \text{Basic cradle angle, as shown in Figure 2} \\
R_m & \quad \text{Nominal cutter radius, as shown in Figure 1a} \\
S_\alpha & \quad \text{Coordinate system rigidly attached to the face mill cutter with axis } Z_\alpha \text{ coincides with the rotational axis of the face mill cutter, as shown in Figure 1b} \\
S_b & \quad \text{Coordinate system rigidly attached to the fixed frame of the machine base (cradle housing), as shown in Figure 4a}
\end{align*}
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1. INTRODUCTION

Hypoid gear set is a primary element widely used by the industry to transmit the torque between crossed axes. In the past, since the precision coordinates of the hypoid gear profile had not been well developed, experimental testing was the only method used by the industry for the tooth contact analysis (TCA) and stress analysis. The experimental testing was time consuming and expensive. In this paper, a mathematical model for the complete hypoid gear profile is developed. It can be applied to the computer-aided tooth contact analysis (TCA), kinematic error analysis, stress analysis, dynamics analysis, and tooth geometry optimization of the hypoid gear.


In this paper, based on the theory of gearing [9], a mathematical model of the circular-cut hypoid gear set has been developed to simulate the widely used Gleason type circular-cut hypoid gear generators. The complete tooth geometry of the circular-cut hypoid gear has been mathe-
matically modeled. The mathematical model of the hypoid gear proposed herein can also cover the model of the spiral bevel gear developed by Fong and Tsay [7,8]. The proposed mathematical model includes the active tooth surfaces, fillet surfaces, bottom land, top land, face cone, and back cone of the hypoid gear tooth surfaces. It can be applied to simulate both the generated and non-generated manufacturing methods, and can also be applied to the computer-aided TCA and finite element stress analysis.

2. EQUATIONS FOR THE HYPOID GEAR TOOTH PROFILES

Derivation of the equations for the hypoid gear tooth profiles can be divided into four main modules as follows:

(1) *Equations for the face mill cutter blade.* Generally, at the normal section of the head cutter blade, there are two straight-edged and two circular-arc as shown in Figure 1. For any other types of cutter blades, one can modify the corresponding equations of the cutter blade in this module.

(2) *Equations for the cradle set-up.* If four angles on the cradle including the cradle angle \( \psi_c \), eccentric angle \( \psi_e \), swivel angle \( \psi_s \), and cutter spindle rotation angle \( \psi_t \) are set-up, the tilted mechanism of the imaginary generating hypoid gear can be simulated to generate the hypoid gear that is located at the work head.

(3) *Equations of the spatial relations between the cradle and gear blank.* The geometric mechanism of the cradle and work head can be established in this module. Some different generating methods investigated herein include: (a) Formate (nongenerated) and Duplex (generated) methods, (b) helical motion of the work head during cutting process.

(4) *Equation of meshing.* Based on the theory of gearing, this module is needed for the generating process. If nongenerated method is adopted, this module is omitted.

For simplicity, the following assumptions are made during the derivation process of the mathematical model:

(1) No elastic deformation is considered. In other words, rigid body motion is assumed during the cutting process.

(2) Neither dynamics loading nor temperature effect is considered.

(3) All the machine settings are precisely set-up. The tolerances and clearances of the hypoid gear generator are ignored.

3. THE GLEASON FACE MILL CUTTER

The normal section of the head cutter blade is represented in Figure 1a, and the enlarged section of the cutter blade is shown in Figure 1b. The normal section of the cutter blade consists
of two circular-arc and two straight-edged. Parameters $\theta_i$ and $\theta_o$ are the surface coordinates of the inside and outside circular-arc of the cutter blade, respectively. Parameters $u_i$ and $u_o$ are the surface coordinates of the inside and outside straight-edged of the cutter blade, respectively. According to these four parameters, the equations of the cutter blade at the normal section can be represented in the coordinate system $S_n (X_n, Y_n, Z_n)$ as follows.

(a) Equations of the inside and outside circular-arc portions are

\[
X_{fi} = R_m - \frac{W}{2} + \rho_i \left( \frac{1 - \sin \psi_i}{\cos \psi_i} \right) - \rho_i \sin \theta_i, \quad Y_{fi} = 0, \quad Z_{fi} = -\rho_i (1 - \cos \theta_i),
\]

and

\[
X_{fo} = R_m + \frac{W}{2} - \rho_o \left( \frac{1 - \sin \psi_o}{\cos \psi_o} \right) + \rho_o \sin \theta_o, \quad Y_{fo} = 0, \quad Z_{fo} = -\rho_o (1 - \cos \theta_o).
\]

(b) Equations of the inside and outside straight-edged portion are

\[
X_i = R_m - \frac{W}{2} - \rho_i \left( \frac{1 - \sin \psi_i}{\cos \psi_i} \right) \sin \psi_i - U_i \sin \psi_i, \quad Y_i = 0, \quad Z_i = -\rho_i (1 - \sin \psi_i) - U_i \cos \psi_i,
\]

and

\[
X_o = R_m + \frac{W}{2} + \rho_o \left( \frac{1 - \sin \psi_o}{\cos \psi_o} \right) \sin \psi_o + U_o \sin \psi_o, \quad Y_o = 0, \quad Z_o = -\rho_o (1 - \sin \psi_o) - U_o \cos \psi_o.
\]

where superscripts “$fi$” and “$fo$” indicate the inside and outside circular-arc, respectively, superscripts “$i$” and “$o$” indicate the inside and outside straight-edged, respectively, and subscript “$s$” indicates that the equations are represented in coordinate system $S_s (X_s, Y_s, Z_s)$. Parameters $\psi_i$ and $\psi_o$ indicate the pressure angles of the inside and outside straight-edged, respectively, while $\rho_i$ and $\rho_o$ indicate the radii of the inside and outside circular-arc, respectively, $R_m$ is the cutter radius, and $W$ is the point width of the cutter blade as shown in Figure 1.

The equations of the head cutter can be represented in coordinate system $S_a (X_a, Y_a, Z_a)$ by rotating the normal section of the cutter blade along the axis $Z_a$ through a rotation angle $\beta$ as shown in Figure 1b. By applying the transformation matrix equation, the equations of the cutter blade represented in coordinate system $S_s (X_s, Y_s, Z_s)$ can be transformed into coordinate system $S_a (X_a, Y_a, Z_a)$ as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_a \\
Y_a \\
Z_a
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\sin \beta & -\cos \beta & 0 \\
\cos \beta & \sin \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X_s \\
Y_s \\
Z_s
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{5}
\]

Substituting equations (1) and (2) into equation (5), the inside and outside profiles of the head cutter for the circular-arc portion can be obtained as follows:

\[
X_{ai} = \left\{ R_m - \frac{W}{2} + \rho_i \left( \frac{1 - \sin \psi_i}{\cos \psi_i} \right) - \rho_i \sin \theta_i \right\} \sin \beta, \quad Y_{ai} = \left\{ R_m - \frac{W}{2} + \rho_i \left( \frac{1 - \sin \psi_i}{\cos \psi_i} \right) - \rho_i \sin \theta_i \right\} \cos \beta, \quad Z_{ai} = -\rho_i (1 - \cos \theta_i),
\]
Similarly, by substituting equations (3) and (4) into equation (5), the inside and outside profiles of the head cutter of the straight-edged portion can be obtained as follows:

\[
X_a^i = \left\{ R_m + \frac{W}{2} - \rho_i \left( \frac{1 - \sin \psi_i}{\cos \psi_i} \right) \sin \psi_i - U_i \sin \psi_i \right\} \sin \beta \\
Y_a^i = \left\{ R_m + \frac{W}{2} - \rho_i \left( \frac{1 - \sin \psi_i}{\cos \psi_i} \right) \sin \psi_i - U_i \sin \psi_i \right\} \cos \beta \\
Z_a^i = -\rho_i (1 - \sin \psi_i) - U_i \cos \psi_i,
\]

and

\[
X_a^o = \left\{ R_m + \frac{W}{2} + \rho_o \left( \frac{1 - \sin \psi_o}{\cos \psi_o} \right) \sin \psi_o + U_o \sin \psi_o \right\} \sin \beta \\
Y_a^o = \left\{ R_m + \frac{W}{2} + \rho_o \left( \frac{1 - \sin \psi_o}{\cos \psi_o} \right) \sin \psi_o + U_o \sin \psi_o \right\} \cos \beta \\
Z_a^o = -\rho_o (1 - \sin \psi_o) - U_o \cos \psi_o.
\]

The surface unit normal vector of the head cutter is required for the derivation of the equation of meshing. Therefore, derivation of the surface unit normal vector of the head cutter should be performed herein. Based on equations (6) and (7), the surface unit normal vectors of inside and outside profiles of the head cutter circular-arc portion can be obtained as follows:

\[
n_{za}^i = \sin \theta_i \sin \beta \\
n_{ya}^i = \sin \theta_i \cos \beta \\
n_{za}^i = -\cos \theta_i,
\]

and

\[
n_{za}^o = -\sin \theta_o \sin \beta \\
n_{ya}^o = -\sin \theta_o \cos \beta \\
n_{za}^o = -\cos \theta_o.
\]

Similarly, based on equations (8) and (9), the surface unit normal vectors of the inside and outside profiles of the head cutter straight-edged portion can also be obtained as follows:

\[
n_{za}^i = \cos \psi_i \sin \beta \\
n_{ya}^i = \cos \psi_i \cos \beta \\
n_{za}^i = -\sin \psi_i,
\]

and

\[
n_{za}^o = -\cos \psi_o \sin \beta \\
n_{ya}^o = -\cos \psi_o \cos \beta \\
n_{za}^o = -\sin \psi_o.
\]

The notations of superscripts and subscripts represented in equations (10)–(13) are the same as those represented in equations (6)–(9).
4. THE GLEASON TILTED HEAD CUTTER

For the Gleason hypoid gear generator, the head cutter has to satisfy the specified tilted and declination during the tool setting process. Therefore, four adjustable angles at the cradle mechanism including cradle angle $\psi_c$, eccentric angle $\psi_e$, swivel angle $\psi_s$ and cutter spindle rotation angle $\psi_t$ are needed. The tilted cradle mechanism of the Gleason hypoid gear generator was analyzed by Litvin [5] in 1988. The relations of coordinate systems of the tilted cradle mechanism are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The corresponding homogeneous coordinate transformation matrix equation is shown below to transform the locus of the head cutter from coordinate system $S_a$ to coordinate system $S_o$.

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
X_o \\
Y_o \\
Z_o \\
1
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X_a \\
Y_a \\
Z_a \\
1
\end{bmatrix},

\tag{14}
$$

where

\begin{align*}
a_{11} &= \cos \tau (\cos^2 \epsilon \cos \psi_t + \sin^2 \epsilon) - \sin \tau \cos \epsilon \sin \psi_t \\
a_{12} &= \cos \tau \cos \epsilon \sin \psi_t + \sin \tau \cos \psi_t \\
a_{13} &= 0.5 (1 - \cos \psi_t) \cos \tau \sin 2\epsilon + \sin \tau \sin \epsilon \sin \psi_t \\
a_{14} &= L [\cos \psi_c - \cos(\psi_c + \psi_e)] \\
a_{21} &= -\sin \tau (\cos^2 \epsilon \cos \psi_t + \sin^2 \epsilon) - \cos \tau \cos \epsilon \sin \psi_t \\
a_{22} &= -\sin \tau \cos \epsilon \sin \psi_t + \cos \tau \cos \psi_t \\
a_{23} &= -0.5 (1 - \cos \psi_t) \sin \tau \sin 2\epsilon + \cos \tau \sin \epsilon \sin \psi_t \\
a_{24} &= -L [\sin \psi_c - \sin(\psi_c + \psi_e)] \\
a_{31} &= 0.5 (1 - \cos \psi_t) \sin 2\epsilon \\
a_{32} &= -\sin \epsilon \sin \psi_t \\
a_{33} &= \sin^2 \epsilon \cos \psi_t + \cos^2 \epsilon \\
\tau &= \psi_c + \psi_e + \psi_s.
\end{align*}

Similarly, the surface unit normal vector of the head cutter can also be represented in coordinate system $S_o$ by applying the transformation matrix equation as follows:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
n_{z0} \\
n_{y0} \\
n_{z0}'
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
n_{z0} \\
n_{y0} \\
n_{z0}'
\end{bmatrix},

\tag{15}
$$
5. MECHANISM OF THE GLEASON HYPOID GEAR GENERATOR

The geometric mechanism of the Gleason hypoid gear generator [10] is shown in Figure 4. The generator is available to both the generated and nongenerated hypoid gear manufacturing methods. As shown in Figure 4a, coordinate systems $S_b$ and $S_c$ are attached to the cradle housing and cradle, respectively. The angle $\zeta_c$ is the rotation angle of the cradle with respect to the cradle housing. In Figure 4b, coordinate systems $S_d$ and $S_e$ are attached to the gear blank housing and gear blank, respectively. The angle $\zeta_w$ is the rotation angle of the gear blank with respect to the gear blank housing. The geometric relation between the cradle housing and gear blank housing is shown in Figure 4c. The relation between rotation angles $\zeta_c$ and $\zeta_w$ is related by:

$$\zeta_w = \zeta_c \times \left( \frac{T_a}{T_b} \times \frac{T_c}{T_d} \times 50 \right) \frac{50}{T_n},$$

where $T_a$, $T_b$, $T_c$, and $T_d$ are the $Nc/50$ ratio gears, and they should follow the data given by the Gleason hypoid gear generator (Refer to Table 1), and $T_n$ represents the teeth number of the generated gear.

![Figure 4. Coordinate systems for the mechanism of Gleason hypoid generator.](image)

Based on the relation of the coordinate systems shown in Figure 3, the locus of the head cutter can be represented in the coordinate system $S_c$. The homogeneous coordinate transformation matrix equations are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
X_d \\
Y_d \\
Z_d
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \gamma_m & 0 & -E_s \sin \gamma_m \\
0 & 1 & -E_s \\
-\sin \gamma_m & 0 & -E_s \cos \gamma_m
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X_b \\
Y_b \\
Z_b
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
X_e \\
Y_e \\
Z_e \\
1
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \zeta_c & \sin \zeta_c & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin \zeta_c & \cos \zeta_c & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X_o \\
Y_o \\
Z_o \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
$$
In order to present a completed tooth profile of the hypoid gear, a new coordinate system \( S'_d \) is needed as shown in Figure 4b. Symbol \( O_d \) is the machine center, \( O'_d \) is the crossing point of the axes of mating gears on the vertical projection, and \( O_e \) is the pitch apex. Parameter \( E_s \) is the sliding base movement and can be expressed by

\[
E_s = X_b \left( \frac{L}{2\pi} \right) \zeta_c. \tag{21}
\]

where \( X_b \) is the initial position of the sliding base, and \( \left( \frac{L}{2\pi} \right) \) is the linear translation of the work head (i.e., helical motion) per radian of the cradle roll. The value of \( \left( \frac{L}{2\pi} \right) \) is given by

\[
\frac{L}{2\pi} = 0.083075889 \times \frac{T_e}{T_f} \times \frac{T_g}{T_h} \text{ inches for the Gleason #106 hypoid gear generator}
\]

\[
\frac{L}{2\pi} = 0.313803110 \times \frac{T_e}{T_f} \times \frac{T_g}{T_h} \text{ inches for the Gleason #122 hypoid gear generator},
\]

where \( T_e, T_f, T_g, \) and \( T_h \) are the helical motion gears, and they should follow the data given by the Gleason hypoid gear generator (refer to Table 1). Other types of Gleason hypoid gear generators have different values of \( \frac{L}{2\pi} \). Parameter \( E_v \) is the blank offset, \( D_s \) is the increment of the machine center to the back, \( PAB \) is the distance measured from the crossing point of the axes of mating gears on the vertical projection to the pitch apex of the gear, and \( \gamma_m \) is the machine root angle.

When the distance \( |O_dO_d| \) is set to zero \( (PAB = 0) \), the proposed mathematical model of hypoid gears becomes a mathematical model of spiral bevel gears. In other words, the mathematical model of spiral bevel gears is a special case of the herein proposed mathematical model of hypoid gears.

Equations (17)-(20) can be rearranged and simplified as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_e \\
Y_e \\
Z_e \\
1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} & b_{14} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} & b_{24} \\
b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} & b_{34} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
X_o \\
Y_o \\
Z_o \\
1
\end{bmatrix} \tag{22}
\]

\[
b_{11} = \cos \gamma_m \cos \zeta_c \\
b_{12} = \cos \gamma_m \sin \zeta_c \\
b_{13} = \sin \gamma_m \\
b_{14} = \left( x_b - \frac{L}{2\pi} \zeta_c \right) \sin \gamma_m - (D_x - PAB) \\
b_{21} = \sin \zeta_w \sin \gamma_m \cos \zeta_c - \cos \zeta_w \sin \zeta_c \\
b_{22} = \sin \zeta_w \sin \gamma_m \sin \zeta_c + \cos \zeta_w \cos \zeta_c \
\]
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\[ b_{23} = -\sin \zeta_w \cos \gamma_m \]
\[ b_{24} = -E_v \cos \zeta_w + \left( x_b - \frac{L}{2\pi} \zeta_c \right) \sin \zeta_w \cos \gamma_m \]
\[ b_{31} = -\cos \zeta_w \sin \gamma_m \cos \zeta_c - \sin \zeta_w \sin \zeta_c \]
\[ b_{32} = -\cos \zeta_w \sin \gamma_m \sin \zeta_c + \sin \zeta_w \cos \zeta_c \]
\[ b_{33} = \cos \zeta_w \cos \gamma_m \]
\[ b_{34} = -E_v \sin \zeta_w - \left( x_b - \frac{L}{2\pi} \zeta_c \right) \cos \zeta_w \cos \gamma_m. \]

Equation (22) expresses the locus of the head cutter represented in coordinate system \( S_c \), and coordinates of \( X_o, Y_o, \) and \( Z_o \) are given and expressed in equation (14).

6. EQUATION OF MESHING

During the generating process, the generating surface of the head cutter tool and the generated surface of the gear blank are always in tangency, and the relative velocity of these two surfaces is lain on the common tangent plane. Since at the line of common contact, the surface common unit normal vector is perpendicular to the common tangent plane, the following equation must be satisfied [9]:

\[ n_b \cdot V_b^{cg} = 0, \quad (23) \]

where \( n_b \) is the unit normal vector of the generating surface, and \( V_b^{cg} \) is the relative velocity of the generating tool surface with respect to the generated gear blank surface. Subscript “b” indicates that both vectors \( n_b \) and \( V_b^{cg} \) are represented in the coordinate system \( S_b \). Equation (23) is the so-called “equation of meshing” in the theory of gearing. It relates the rotation angle of the cradle \( \zeta_c \) to the surface coordinates \( \psi \) and \( \theta \) (or \( \psi_c \) and \( \theta_c \)) of generating cutter at the straight-edged portion, and \( \theta_i \) and \( \beta \) (or \( \theta_o \) and \( \beta_o \)) at the circular-arc portion. The relative velocity \( V_b^{cg} \) can be obtained as follows:

\[ V_b^{cg} = V_b^c - V_b^g, \quad (24) \]

where

\[
V_b^c = \Omega_c \times R_c = I_3 \omega_c i - \omega_c X_b j - Y_b k = \omega_c Y_b i + \omega_c X_b j, \quad (25)
\]

and

\[
V_b^g = \Omega_g \times R_g + \frac{L}{2\pi} \omega_c k
\]
\[ = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
-\omega_g \cos \gamma_m & -\omega_g \sin \gamma_m & 0 \\
X_b & Y_b - E_v & Z_b - E_s \\
\end{array} \right] + \frac{L}{2\pi} \omega_c k \]
\[ = \omega_g (Y_b - E_v) \sin \gamma_m i - [\omega_g X_b \sin \gamma_m - \omega_g (Z_b - E_s) \cos \gamma_m] j
\]
\[ + \left[ \omega_g (Y_b - E_v) \cos \gamma_m + \frac{L}{2\pi} \omega_c \right] k. \]

Let

\[ \eta(a) = \frac{\omega_g}{\omega_c} = \frac{\zeta_w}{\zeta_c}, \quad (27) \]

and substituting equations (25)-(27) into equation (24), the relative velocity \( V_b^{cg} \) becomes

\[
V_b^{cg} = -\omega_c \left[ X_b (1 - \eta(a) \sin \gamma_m) + (Z_b - E_s) \eta_a \cos \gamma_m \right]
\]
\[ - (Y_b - E_v) \eta_a \cos \gamma_m - \frac{L}{2\pi} \omega_c \right] j, \quad (28) \]
where \( X_b, Y_b, \) and \( Z_b \) are the surface coordinates of the head cutter represented in coordinate system \( S_b \), and they can be obtained by applying the coordinate transformation matrix equation (Figure 4a):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_b \\
Y_b \\
Z_b
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \zeta_c & \sin \zeta_c & 0 \\
-\sin \zeta_c & \cos \zeta_c & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
X_o \\
Y_o \\
Z_o
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
X_o \cos \zeta_c + Y_o \sin \zeta_c \\
-X_o \sin \zeta_c + Y_o \cos \zeta_c \\
Z_o
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{29}
\]

where \( X_o, Y_o, \) and \( Z_o \) are the position coordinates represented in equation (14).

The unit normal vector \( n_b \) can also be obtained by applying the similar transformation matrix equation:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
n_{xb} \\
n_{yb} \\
n_{zb}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \zeta_c & \sin \zeta_c & 0 \\
-\sin \zeta_c & \cos \zeta_c & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
n_{xo} \\
n_{yo} \\
n_{zo}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
n_{xo} \cos \zeta_c + n_{yo} \sin \zeta_c \\
-n_{xo} \sin \zeta_c + n_{yo} \cos \zeta_c \\
n_{zo}
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{30}
\]

where \( n_{xo}, n_{yo}, \) and \( n_{zo} \) are the components of the surface unit normal vector of the head cutter represented in equation (15).

By substituting equations (28)-(30) into equation (23), the equation of meshing is thus obtained as follows:

\[
n_b \cdot \mathbf{V}^o_b = (n_{zo} \cos \zeta_c + n_{yo} \sin \zeta_c) [(\eta_a \sin \gamma_m - 1)Y_b - \eta_a E_v \sin \gamma_m] \\
+ (-n_{zo} \sin \zeta_c + n_{yo} \cos \zeta_c) [(1 - \eta_a \sin \gamma_m)X_b - \eta_a (Z_b - E_s) \cos \gamma_m] \\
- n_{zo} \eta_a (Y_b - E_v) \cos \gamma_m - n_{zo} \frac{L}{2\pi}
\]

\( = 0. \tag{31} \)

If both sides of equation (31) are divided by \( \eta_a \) and let \( \mathbf{K} = \sin \gamma_m - \frac{1}{\eta_a} \), then equation (31) becomes

\[
(n_{zo} \cos \zeta_c + n_{yo} \sin \zeta_c) [\mathbf{K} (-X_o \sin \zeta_c + Y_o \cos \zeta_c) - E_v \sin \gamma_m] \\
+ (n_{zo} \sin \zeta_c - n_{yo} \cos \zeta_c) [\mathbf{K} (X_o \cos \zeta_c + Y_o \sin \zeta_c) - (Z_b - E_s) \cos \gamma_m] \\
+ n_{zo} E_v \cos \gamma_m - n_{zo} (-X_o \sin \zeta_c + Y_o \cos \zeta_c) \cos \gamma_m - \frac{n_{zo} L}{\eta_a 2\pi}
\]

\( = 0. \tag{32} \)

Equation (32) can also be simplified as follows:

\[
\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \sin \zeta_c + \mathbf{C} \cos \zeta_c = 0, \tag{33} \)

where

\[
\mathbf{A} = \left( \sin \gamma_m - \frac{1}{\eta_a} \right) (Y_o n_{zo} - X_o n_{yo}) + n_{zo} E_v \cos \gamma_m - \frac{n_{zo} L}{\eta_a 2\pi} \\
\mathbf{B} = -E_v n_{yo} \sin \gamma_m - [n_{zo} (Z_o - E_s) - X_o n_{zo}] \cos \gamma_m \\
\mathbf{C} = -E_v n_{zo} \sin \gamma_m + [n_{yo} (Z_o - E_s) - Y_o n_{zo}] \cos \gamma_m.
\]

It is noticed that \( X_o, Y_o, \) and \( Z_o \) are expressed in equation (14), and \( n_{zo}, n_{yo}, \) and \( n_{zo} \) are represented in equation (15). Equation (33) is the equation of meshing for the hypoid gears. The gear tooth surfaces of the generated hypoid gear can be obtained by considering the equation of meshing and the locus of the head cutter simultaneously. Therefore, equations (22) and (33) define the tooth surface of the hypoid gear. The data of the right-hand hypoid pinion and left-hand hypoid gear given by the Gleason Works are listed in Table 1. By applying the computer graphics and proposed mathematical model represented by equations (22) and (33), the tooth profiles of the hypoid pinion and gear can be plotted and shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.
7. CONCLUSION

The proposed mathematical model of the hypoid gear can be applied to simulate many different manufacturing methods for both spiral bevel gears and hypoid gears. It can also be applied to both the generated and nongenerated methods. There are four independent modules in the proposed mathematical model that benefit the coding of the computer program.

Based on the proposed mathematical model, the profile and surface coordinates of the hypoid pinion and gear can be obtained. In addition, the tooth contact analysis, kinematic error analysis, dynamic analysis and tooth geometry optimization can also be investigated.
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